



# CSR Spending Deviation from Mandate in India

Ms. Rekha Rani <sup>1 \*</sup>, Prof. Manoj Kumar Agarwal <sup>2</sup>

1. Assistant Professor, Dept. of Commerce, IPCW, Delhi University & Research Scholar, Dept. of Commerce,  
CCSU, Meerut, U.P., India

rrani@ip.du.ac.in ,

2. Principal, NAS College, CCSU, Meerut, UP, India

**Abstract:** A clause in the Companies Act of 2013, mandates that companies allocate two percent of their revenues to the CSR initiatives. The goal of this research is to examine the reasons behind the CSR expenditure gap in India. Following our directions, the sample consisted of 200 respondents who filled out the survey. The survey concluded with a question designed to collect data on the proportion of a company's budget allocated to CSR initiatives. Colleagues participated in the pilot research, and their input was utilized to refine the questionnaire. One of the most significant markers of a company's connection with society is its level of (CSR), even though the CSR effect operations cannot always be quantified in terms of financial allocations. The results suggest that the inclusion of this provision is a sensible move on the part of regulators aiming to increase the social responsibility of businesses.

**Keywords:** CSR, Mandate, India

----- X -----

## INTRODUCTION

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in India and elsewhere has long been associated

with charity. CSR refers to the practice through which corporations legitimize their presence in the communities in which they operate by contributing a percentage of their profits to charitable causes. Businesses need to assist in fixing societal issues that their operations contribute to. Under the present regulatory system, businesses must strike a balance between maximizing profits and acting responsibly toward society. India is the first nation in the world to require some firms to set aside money for CSR initiatives. The Corporations Act of 2013 has elaborate regulations outlining acceptable spending categories and the required reporting of those categories. This research aims to investigate how well Indian corporations are following the CSR guidelines established by the Companies Act of 2013. Some prominent Indian corporations' CSR expenditures are broken down per industry in this study. The majority of the large sample firms spent more than two percent of their average profit over the last three years, and only a small percentage of the companies surveyed did not meet the minimum CSR expenditure standards (9).

## Corporate Social Responsibility

The major goal of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is to inspire corporations to come up with new ideas and solid management systems to solve social and environmental issues of the nation, as well as to promote a responsible and sustainable business philosophy on a macro level. National goals such as public health, education, livelihood, water conservation, natural resource management, etc. have been included into the CSR mission. Companies of all sizes are increasingly aware of the importance of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and are using it as a means to better integrate themselves into the communities in

which they operate.

Businesses may pool their managerial expertise, technological prowess, and innovative spirit to address national development concerns with the help of the CSR framework. It gives corporations a broad set of principles to follow while they carry out CSR activities, as well as a great deal of leeway in deciding how to put those principles into practice via specific programs. The company's annual report must have certain information in a certain format in order for the audit to be effective (10).

Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013 (hereafter referred to as "the Act") addresses CSR and establishes the criteria for firms that are obligated to perform CSR activities based on net worth, turnover, and net profit in the immediately previous financial year. This section, among other things, details the basic modalities by which the Company's Board of Directors selects, implements, and monitors CSR initiatives. Schedule VII of the Act lays out the permitted activities for firms to incorporate in their CSR plans. As per the Act, companies must allocate at least 2% of their average net profit over the prior three financial years to CSR initiatives each year (11).

CSR measures under the Act, including the establishment of a CSR Committee, the development of a CSR Policy, and the expenditure of a minimum amount on CSR activities, became mandatory as of April 2014. The Businesses (Corporate Social Responsibility Policy) Regulations, 2014 were published by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) in February 2014. (hereafter referred to as the Rules). Companies, especially CPSEs, are now required to comply with the CSR Guidelines as on April 1, 2014. The Department of Public Enterprises (DPE) issued a notice in August 2016 requiring CPSEs to be open and careful when choosing CSR projects to undertake. The Department of Public Employment (DPE) released recommendations in December 2018 for spending money using a thematic approach. During the next school year of 2019-2020, interventions focused on improving educational opportunities, health services, and food security. Sixty percent of all CSR money should be spent on the same thing every year. The recommendations also suggested giving priority to "aspirational areas," as defined by the National Institution for Transforming India (NITI) Aayog. For the fiscal year 2019–20, the Act was amended by adding "disaster management, including relief and rebuilding efforts" to item (xii) of Schedule VII and replacing previous provisions under item (ix) of Schedule VII (11 October 2019).

### **Mandatory CSR**

The government of India passed the Companies Act, 2013, which makes it necessary for firms to engage in CSR initiatives in order to simplify charitable efforts and provide greater accountability and transparency. Companies with an annual revenue of Rs 1,000 crore or more, a net worth of Rs 500 crore or more, or a net profit of Rs 5 crore or more are required to engage in corporate social responsibility (CSR) as described in clause 135 of the Act (12).

CSR spending by these corporations must reach 2% of their average annual earnings over the last three years, according to this provision. Promotion of education, gender equality, and women's empowerment; fighting HIV/AIDS, malaria, and other diseases; eradicating extreme poverty; contributing to the Prime Minister's National Relief Fund and other central funds; social business projects; reducing child mortality; improving maternal health; ensuring environmental sustainability; enhancing vocational skills for

employment; and many other similar endeavors are all included in the law's definition of corporate social responsibility (5).

Companies may accomplish these goals through working with a non-governmental organization (NGO), forming their own trusts and foundations, or joining forces with another business. The legislation also mandates the establishment of a CSR committee charged with making choices about CSR budgeting and activity types. This committee must include at least three directors, including at least one independent director whose participation guarantees some degree of representativeness and diversity in the decision-making process.

India is on the cusp of a demographic dividend, and the country urgently needs to invest in people and physical resources, hence this legislation is of great importance. Investing in schools, hospitals, training programs, and other social infrastructure will increase young people's employability by boosting their health, education, and training.

Historically, the government has been in charge of this, but in light of widespread corruption, bureaucratic inefficiency, and the existence of loopholes in welfare programs, CSR is increasingly being considered as a viable alternative to government supply of merit goods. Cost-effective delivery of products and services to the people may be made possible via CSR, which would boost the availability of cash for welfare operations. To comply with international standards and laws, the provision on environmental sustainability will aid in reducing pollutants and greenhouse gas emissions. Consequently, the CSR clause is a means to the end of long-term social and environmental sustainability (8).

The first part of this article examines the shift in CSR spending among the country's biggest companies after the new Act was passed into law three years ago. In the second part, we examine the growing importance of corporate social responsibility (CSR) to a company's bottom line as it expands in size. In the third part, we break down the different CSR initiatives performed by firms representing 10 of India's most important economic sectors (6).

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

The first major step in this direction was the government of India's mandatory CSR legislation. Yet, investments undertaken in the future using CSR funds were biased and lacked the holistic view that the government had in mind. The apparent question is how sustainable development funding may be prioritized. Firsthand research into the issue uncovered a number of factors that are crucial in this respect, including: corporations investing in areas to have a better public profile; corporations investing in the regions that are in line with government schemes and plans to gain political mileage; corporations investing in areas where less planning is necessary (health and hygiene; for example, supplying medical supplies to hospitals in the region in which they are operating); corporations investing in areas where less planning is necessary (health and hygiene; for example, supplying medical supplies to hospitals in the region in which (7).

SD was not generally used until the 1980s, in contrast to the 1950s and 1960s (when the CSR framework was created). In 1953, Bowen provided one of the first definitions of CSR by stating that it was a company's responsibility to seek policies, make choices, and pursue courses of action that were consistent

with the goals and values of society. Yet first, corporate social responsibility (CSR) was the more common term. Businesses have responsibilities to society beyond what is required by law and economics, according to the concept of social responsibility. Societal expectations of a company's economic, legal, ethical, and discretionary performance are the four pillars of social responsibility, as stated by (16). Businesses must choose how much social responsibility they will take on. The inclusion of CSR categories as SDGs, as well as the inclusion of CSR categories themselves, constitutes a comprehensive global agenda. The proposed paradigm is useful for both CSR and the SDGs because it takes into account both present and future needs, providing a clearer path forward with measurable outcomes (15).

With a lot of trial and error and on-the-job training, CSR in education may help close the skills gap. This procedure will likely be beneficial for the affected individuals, organizations, and society as a whole. When looking at CSR expenditure from the perspective of a specific sector, education is likewise the leading area of contribution (2). Every single IT company in Cape Town was analyzed in a study by Futures cape. Several organizations have served as education partners by contributing funds and manpower to various projects (3). Over half of the businesses surveyed used competitions as a means of publicizing their CSR initiatives. First, they prioritized computer training, and then they shifted their focus to business training, which, surprisingly, included more initiatives and businesses. Programs to improve adult education and employment prospects, as well as charitable giving, were part of the CSR portfolio (4).

CSR spending on classrooms has evolved over time to provide increasingly sophisticated and specific outcomes. Outcome-based interventions have gradually supplanted input-based charitable donations. The complexity of the model, as reflected by the donor's resources and background knowledge, does not immediately convert into a timeline. The following tenets sum up CSR in educational spending. Nonetheless, each technique and standard may have somewhat different details. A CSR donor may support efforts throughout this spectrum, or may have done so in the past, but is likely only sponsoring those that most closely fit with the donor's intervention goals and ideological leanings at present time (1).

The word "SD" was initially used in the wood trade in the 18th century. To ensure the long-term viability of the tree population, only a limited number of trees may be harvested at any one moment. This technique guaranteed that wood would be readily available without depleting supplies for future generations. The report *Limits to Growth* by the Club of Rome caused a global uproar (13).

To prevent further damage to the planet and its natural resources, a plan for economic expansion was formulated. The present SD mission statement is the product of this effort. In 1987, the UN Commission on Environment and Development presented the moral idea of SD to the world. The goal of sustainable development is to provide for current demands without jeopardizing future generations' capabilities to do the same (Sharma 2011). Its two key tenets are that the world's poorest people's basic needs should be met before anybody else's. The environment's ability to meet present and future needs is being constrained by the effects of social institutions and technological progress (14).

Whether a nation is market- or centrally-controlled, mature or developing, its economic and social development goals need to be defined in terms of sustainability. According to Elkington, businesses may increase their worth by focusing on both profit and social responsibility (14). Triple-bottom-line thinking is at the heart of SD (13).

## OBJECTIVE

- To investigate plans for altering CSR initiatives over the next five years.
- To examine the Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Spending on Profitability
- To examine the Perception towards the mandatory regulation

## METHODOLOGY

An Indian survey firm called Corp Scan Business Consultant sent out questionnaires to a sample of Indian businesses that are part of their clientele. According to our instructions, they solicited responses from 200 individuals and distributed them evenly between those who were and were not compelled to provide money to CSR activities under the 2013 Act. Among the responders were businesses from a wide variety of sectors, sizes, and locations. The questionnaires were all filled out by either the company's Director, Managing Director, CEO, CFO, or Senior Manager.

CSR expenditure in India is affected by fifteen drivers and twelve obstacles. Respondents from A and C firms (B and D companies) were asked, in blocks of five (four), which of the following factors had the greatest (least) impact on their decision to allocate resources toward CSR initiatives. Each of the drivers (barriers) is present with every other driver (barrier) and in each of the five (four) possible orders of listing, making this a balanced incomplete block design (BIBD).

Before and after the law was passed, businesses were asked if they planned to raise, reduce, or maintain their current level of CSR expenditure using a specially developed Likert scale question (Likert, 1974). We asked respondents how they felt about obligatory CSR legislation using a five-point Likert scale: highly favorable, positive, neutral, negative, and strongly negative. Next there comes a closed-ended question that presses the responder to provide an explanation for their stance on the issue. A question with a similar structure was used to inquire about managers' perceptions of the financial toll CSR is taking. After this, they will be asked a closed-ended question from which they must choose the perception explanation. Lastly, a query was posed to obtain data on the budget allocated to CSR initiatives.

We developed the survey using two preliminary research. The questionnaire was refined based on the results of a pilot study done with colleagues. The second pilot research included just 10 real participants. Insights from these respondents helped us fix logical issues in some questions and clarify others where they were unclear.

## FINDINGS AND IMPLICATIONS

### Plans for altering CSR initiatives over the next five years

The participants' responses to the question concerning their plans to alter the amount of their CSR expenditure during the next five years are summarized in Table 1. The weighted average of the replies is known as the rating average. The result is the average score of respondents, with the goal of increasing CSR expenditure given a weight of 1, no change receiving a weight of 2, and a decline receiving a weight



of 3. Hence, a low (high) score indicates a plan to boost (reduce) CSR spending.

**Table 1: CSR expenditure during the next five years**

	<i>Rating average</i>			
	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>
CSR activities relating to community	1.47	1.75	1.78	1.52
CSR activities relating to customers	1.49	1.88	1.57	1.84
CSR activities relating to employees	1.22	1.00	1.30	1.56
CSR activities relating to environment	1.46	1.38	1.35	1.52
CSR activities relating to investors	1.54	1.75	1.57	1.76
CSR activities relating to suppliers	1.56	2.25	1.62	1.96
Over All CSR activities	1.18	2.13	1.35	1.48

To learn whether respondents planned to increase, decrease, or keep the same level of CSR expenditure between the pre- and post-regulation eras, a Likert scale question was developed (Likert, 1974). Weighted averages are used to determine total scale scores. Values of 1 indicate an increase, 2 indicate status quo, and 3 indicate a decrease. The final result is obtained by dividing the total product by the total number of responses to a given statement. The purpose of the declarations is to compile data on corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives including stakeholders like workers, customers, investors, communities, and suppliers.

Companies with a grade of A have a strong aim to raise CSR expenditure over the next five years, as seen by their low average grade. The vast majority of A-grade businesses are dedicated to CSR because they invested in them long before it was required of them, and they plan to raise their expenditure in this area in the future. Our data shows that C-corporations cut down on CSR spending just after required legislation was enacted, but this may be an exception, since many of these same businesses plan to grow their CSR budgets over the following five years. This data implies the decrease in CSR expenditure by C corporations in the first year after the new regulations took effective may be at least partly explained by a drop-off in economic growth seen at this time and may not be indicative of a longer-term trend.

The B firms offer a counterexample to the C companies since they did not spend on CSR when it was optional for them, citing a lack of resources. Based on their comments, it seems that moving ahead, they will only be able to satisfy the bare minimum expenditure criteria. Several B corporations may have taken use of the loopholes provided by the 2013 Act in order to avoid making any CSR investments at all, which would explain the dismal level of CSR expenditure in 2013–2014. Companies in category D, like those in category B, did not invest in CSR prior to 2013 but are planning to start doing so. The current state of facts leads us to the conclusion that the Indian government will continue to have difficulty convincing businesses to devote sufficient funds to CSR initiatives.

### **Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Spending on Profitability**

Managers from both A and C organizations were asked about the effect CSR spending had on the bottom line, and their replies are summarized in Table 2. The vast majority of respondents (80%) said that they attribute their firms' increased profitability to their choice to allocate resources toward CSR efforts, based

on both financial and market analyses. While this is the likely reaction, the fact that these businesses are ready to increase the amount of money they commit to CSR shows that they are pleased with the returns on their investments.

**Table 2 (a): Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Spending on Profitability**

Effect on profit		
	A (%)	C (%)
Strongly positive	50.5	41.7
Positive	59.6	43.8
No effect	29.3	37.5
Negative	34.3	31.3
Strongly negative	17.2	18.8

**Table 2 (b): Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Spending on Profitability**

Perception Basis	A (%)	C (%)
Investors' views on the results of CSR spending, analyzed at the 29.3 level	6.1	0.0
The Financial Statements are being investigated.	37.4	27.1
Reporting on the environment with a primary emphasis on 34.3 how CSR money was used	2.0	0.0
Examining the Market for CSR Spending 59.6 what I (or the firm) think, 17.2 guesswork, no statistical testing	54.5	72.9
Investors' views on the results of CSR spending, analyzed at the 29.3 level	0.0	0.0

The figures represent a percentage of the total number of votes cast in response to the question. Businesses in categories A and C practiced CSR on their own initiative much before it was required of them. That's why they had to respond to these inquiries.

### Perception towards the mandatory regulation

Table 3 gives us insight into managers' attitudes regarding the CSR mandate (panel A) and the rationale for those attitudes (panel B). For enterprises in categories A and C, who were already willingly incurring these costs before they were mandated, the general consensus is good. This lines up with their generally favorable track record and their established proficiency in making CSR investments. B corporations' actions don't appear to match their stated support for required CSR expenditure, but management's positive response suggests they see this requirement favorably. Just 12.5 percent of businesses responded negatively. Category D enterprises are the most vocal in their opposition to forced CSR spending, with 70% taking a neutral or negative attitude on the issue. These businesses were not inclined to invest in CSR before the new Act, and because doing so is not mandated by the law, they saw no incentive to start doing so now. They claim that problems in executing CSR initiatives are the primary motivation for their opposition to (mandated) CSR spending.

**Table 3 (a): Perception towards the mandatory regulation**

Panel A: Perceptions	Strongly Positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Strongly Negative
A (%)	43	50.5	4.3	2.2	0
B (%)	25	62.5	0	0	12.5
C (%)	35.1	62.2	0	2.7	0
D (%)	12.2	17.1	39	26.8	4.9

**Table 3 (b): Perception towards the mandatory regulation**

Panel B: Basis for perceptions	Issues with Regulation Clarification	Problems in carrying out CSR initiatives	Challenges in Disclosing CSR Efforts	The company's take on the shift from discretionary to required spending on CSR	Perspective of Organization on Government Role in CSR Determination
A (%)	40.9	63.4	40.9	37.6	22.6
B (%)	37.5	37.5	12.5	75	50
C (%)	32.4	67.6	59.5	29.7	18.9
D (%)	22	48.8	29.3	29.3	24.4

In Panel A, we see how respondents to a closed-ended question felt about obligatory CSR regulation (very favorable, positive, neutral, negative, and highly negative). The results provided in Table A are explained in Panel B's rationales. The presented data is expressed as a proportion of all survey replies.

## CONCLUSION

Training and development programs for workers and health and safety measures in the workplace accounted for the bulk of costs before the new law was enacted. Preventative medicine, education, and the elimination of hunger and poverty were also crucial, as were workplace health and safety rules. The government placed a high priority on the latter but was dismayed to see resources redirected from it to employee-centric initiatives. So, the government revised the 2013 Act to outline where CSR funds must be used.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

One of the government's main worries must be that CSR spending is falling short of projections. This implies that the government will have to weigh whether or not to make compliance with the mandate necessary for smaller businesses, and whether or not to increase the required expenditure to more than 2% of net earnings. With the government becoming more restrictive in what may be funded via CSR, it will be important to track how these dollars are being used to see whether the new rules are having the desired impact.

## References

1. Albuquerque, R., Koskinen, Y., & Zhang, C. (2019). Corporate social responsibility and firm risk: Theory and empirical evidence. *Management Science*, 65(10), 4451-4469.
2. Bhattacharyya, A., & Rahman, M. L. (2020). Mandatory CSR expenditure and stock return. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 28(6), 951-975.
3. Bhuiyan, M. B. U., & Nguyen, T. H. N. (2020). Impact of CSR on cost of debt and cost of capital:



- Australian evidence. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 16(3), 419-430.
4. Camilleri, M. A. (2017). Corporate sustainability and responsibility: creating value for business, society and the environment. *Asian Journal of Sustainability and Social Responsibility*, 2(1), 59-74.
  5. Guha, P. (2020). Why comply with an unenforced policy? The case of mandated corporate social responsibility in India. *Policy Design and Practice*, 3(1), 58-72.
  6. Gupta, P. K., Singh, S., & Verma, P. (2016). Impact of degrees of operating and financial leverage on systematic risk: evidence from India. *Academy of Taiwan Business Management Review*, 12(1), 140-148.
  7. Gupta, P. K., Kumar, S., & Verma, P. (2016). Value relevance of the degree of leverages. *International Journal of Business and Emerging Markets*, 8(2), 166-182.
  8. Gupta, Pradeep. (2017). Value Relevance of DuPont Identity. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*. 7. 48. 10.5296/ijaf.v7i2.11980.
  9. Harjoto, M., & Laksmana, I. (2018). The impact of corporate social responsibility on risk taking and firm value. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 151, 353-373.
  10. Kim, Y., Kim, M., & Mattila, A. S. (2017). Corporate social responsibility and equity-holder risk in the hospitality industry. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 58(1), 81-93.
  11. Kuntluru, S. (2019). Corporate social responsibility and firm performance: Indian evidence. Working papers Indian Institute of Management Kozhikode.
  12. Nair, A. K., & Bhattacharyya, S. S. (2019). Mandatory corporate social responsibility in India and its effect on corporate financial performance: perspectives from institutional theory and resource-based view. *Business Strategy & Development*, 2(2), 106-116.
  13. Pérez, A., del Mar García de los Salmones, M., & Rodriguez del Bosque, I. (2013). The effect of corporate associations on consumer behaviour. *European journal of Marketing*, 47(1/2), 218-238.
  14. Prado-Lorenzo, J., Gallego-Álvarez, I., García-Sánchez, I., & Rodriguez-Dominguez, L.A. (2008). Social responsibility in Spain. *Management Decision*, 46, 1247-1271.
  15. Praveen Bhagawan M, J. P. M. (2018). Does Mandatory Expenditure on CSR Affect Firm Value? Empirical Evidence from Indian Firms.
  16. Press Trust of India (c) (2019, August 23). CSR violations not to be treated as criminal offence, say Nirmala Sitharaman. *India Today* risk taking and firm value. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 151(2), 353-373.
  17. Sydlowski, J. (2018). Mandatory corporate social responsibility in India: Motivations and Effectiveness.