





Relationship of Kinematic Variables with the Performance of uprise on rings in Men's Artistic Gymnastics

Prashant ¹, Dr. Vinita Bajpai Mishra ²

Research Scholar, Lakshmibai National Institute of Physical Education, Gwalior (M.P), India ,
 Associate Professor, Department of Sports Biomechanics, Lakshmibai National Institute of Physical Education, Gwalior (M.P), India

Abstract: An investigationwas done to find the relation between selected kinematic variables and theperformance of uprise on rings in men's artistic gymnastics. The study was conducted on national-levelgymnasts. The data on angular kinematic variables were collected on four different phases of uprise onrings. Linear kinematic variables were identified two different phases of uprise on rings. The angular kinematic variables did not show any significant relationship at the 0.05 level at the Dislocation Phase. Duringthe Vertical Line Cross Phasea significant relationship was found between angle at the ankle and the hipangle. In Kicking and Pulling Phase angle at the left hip joint and the performance of Uprise has shown a significant relationship. During the Supporting phase angle at the neck and the performance of Uprisewas showing a significant relationship, and also the angle of the left Wrist correlated significantly with the angle of the Hip. Results also reveal that none of the linear kinematic variables at different Phases of Uprise showed any significant relationship at 0.05 level

Keywords: kinematic variables, performance, uprise, rings, men's artistic gymnastics, angular kinematic variables, linear kinematic variables, dislocation phase, vertical line cross phase, kicking and pulling phase, supporting phase, neck angle, wrist angle, hip angle, ankle angle

____X.___

INTRODUCTION

Artistic gymnastics is regulated by the rules framed by FIG (federation international de gymnastics). It is divided into men's and women's gymnastics. Each group has to do different events. Floor, pommel horse, rings, vaulting parallel bars, and high bar are men's events and vault, uneven bar, balancing beam and floor are women's events. The rings are also known as still rings and it is an artistic gymnastics apparatus it is used only by men, due to their extreme upper body strength requirements, gymnasts wear ring grips while performing on the ring. The event is conducted of two rings that hang freely from a metal frame. Each ring is supported by a strap, which has a steel cable, suspended from the metal frame. The gymnast when gripping the ring must control the movement of the ring an exercise on rings consist of swing, strength, and hold elements generally gymnasts are required to fulfil various requirements, and more experienced gymnasts will often perform more than are strength element.

The objective was to investigate the relationship of the selected kinematic variables and the performance of an uprise technique on the rings.

PROCEDURE

A purposive sampling technique was used for choosing highly skilled 5 national male gymnasts from Bhilwara Sports Council Gymnastics Center Rajasthan. Since the gymnast had been trained for a considerable period of time, they were considered skilled and their technique was treated as technically sound on the stated skill and stabilized. The purpose of the study was explained to all the subjects and were



requested to put in their best during each attempt or trial. To achieve the objective of the study following variables were selected

(A) Angular kinematic variables of Uprise on rings.

- 1. Angle of neck
- 2. Left shoulder angle
- 3. Left wrist angle
- 4. Left hip angle
- 5. Left ankle angle

(B) Linear kinematic variables Uprise on rings.

- i) Height of C.G. at the opening of dislocation phase.
- ii) Height of C.G. at supporting phase

The criterion measures for this study were the performanceand the skill was evaluated by qualified judges on the basis of FIG Code of Points, Angles were measured at selected joints and were recorded in the nearest degree, and the height of the center of gravity was recorded to the nearest centimeter.

The test was administered to 5 male gymnast subjects of Bhilwara Rajasthan. Each subject was given 15 minutes time to perform their typical warm-ups and stretch routines. Once the subject was able to perform several upraise (forward to support) and comfortable in performing them in the provided setting, the data was recorded.

The center of gravity of each body segment and the whole body and The angle of various jointswere determined and analyzed by kinovea software.

For the study, descriptive statistics and Pearson's product-moment correlation method were used. SPSS software was used to find a correlation at 0.05 level.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Independent correlation was used to find out the relationship with the performance and the collected score of each selected angular and linear kinematic variable.

The selected linear and angular kinematics variables with dependent variables are presented separately. Obtained values have been presented in table 1.

Table-1: Correlation of Angular Kinematic Variables During Dislocation Phase

| Performance | | Angle of Neck | Angle of Shoulder | | Angle of | Angle of Ankle |
|---------------------------|------|------------------|----------------------|------|----------|-------------------|
| Performance | 1 | -306 | -327 | 577 | .490 | .000 |
| Angle of Neck | 306 | 1 | .726 | 596 | .305 | .662 |
| Angle of left Shoulder | -327 | .726 | 1 | -378 | 046 | .189 |
| Angle of left Wrist | -577 | 596 | 378 | 1 | 606 | 500 |
| Angle of left Hip | .490 | .305 | 046 | 606 | 1 | .849 |
| Angle of left Ankle | .000 | .662 | .189 | 500 | .849 | 1 |

r.05 n-2= .878

Results of the above reveal that all of the angular kinematic variables at the Dislocation Phase has shown in insignificant relationship at 0.05 level.

Table-2: Correlation of Angular Kinematic Variables DuringVertical Line Cross Phase

| | Performanc e | Angle of Neck | Angle of Shoulder | Angle Wrist | of Angleof | Angle of Ankle |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| Performance | 1 | 320 | 176 | .210 | .323 | .000 |
| Angle of Neck | 320 | 1 | 508 | 236 | .165 | .662 |
| Angle of Shoulder | 176 | 508 | 1 | .425 | 852 | .662 |
| Angle of Wrist | .210 | 236 | .425 | 1 | .000 | 226 |
| AngleofHip | .323 | .155 | 852 | .000 | 1 | 901* |
| Angle of Ankle | .000 | .662 | .662 | 226 | 901" | 1 |

r.05(N-2)=0.878

Results of the above tablereveal thatthe angle of the ankle has shown a significant relationship with lefthipangleDuringthe Vertical Line Cross Phaseas the correlated value-.901 is more than the tabulated value. No other angular kinematic variable has shown any significant relationship at 0.05 level.

Table-3: Correlation Of Angular Kinematic Variables DuringKicking And Pulling Phase

| Performance | | Angle of |
|------------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | Neck | Shoulder | Wrist | Hip | Ankle |
| Overall Performance | 1 | .560 | 764 | 764 | .919" | 667 |
| Angle of Neck | .580 | 1 | 733 | 504 | .514 | 840 |
| Angle of left Shoulder | 764 | 733 | 1 | .286 | 636 | .873 |
| Angle of left Wrist | 764 | 504 | .286 | 1 | 869 | .327 |
| Angle of left Hip | .919" | .514 | 636 | -,869 | 1 | 612 |
| Angle of left Ankle | 667 | 840 | .873 | .327 | 612 | 1 |

Results of the above tablereveal that angle at the left hip joint shows a significant relationship with performanced uring the Kicking and Pulling Phase as the coefficient correlation. 919 was greater than the tabulated value at 0.05 level.

Table-4: Correlation of Angular Kinematic Variables during Supporting Phase

| | | | ofAngle of Wrist | Angle of Hip | Angle of Ankle |
|-------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 1 | .913* | 721 | -227 | .088 | 480 |
| .913° | 1 | 439 | 415 | .000 | 439 |
| 721 | .439 | 1 | 473 | 591 | 038 |
| -227 | 415 | 473 | 1 | 880* | .838 |
| .088 | .000 | 591 | 880* | 1 | .803 |
| 480 | 439 | 038 | 838* | 803 | 1 |
| .000 | .184 | .065 | 352 | 497 | 614 |
| | 913* 721 227 .088 | Angle of Neck 1 .913* 1 .913* 1 .721 | .913* 1 439 .721 439 1 .227 415 .473 .088 .000 .591 .480 439 .038 | Angle of Need Shoulder Wrist - 913* - 721 - 227 - 913* 1 439 415 - 721 439 1 - 473 - 227 439 1 - 473 - 227 415 - 473 1 - 088 000 - 561 880* - 480 439 - 038 838* | Angle of Neck Shoulder Wrist Angle of Hip |

Results of the above tablerevealthat angle at neckjoint shows a significant relationship with performance as the coefficient correlation of the angles-.913 is greater than tabulated value (0.878)Angle of left Wrist correlated significantly with Angle of Hip at Supporting phase.880 at 0.05 level.

Table-5: Correlation of Liner Kinematic Variables at Different Phases

| Performance | | CG at Opening Dislocation | ofCG at Supporting Phase | | |
|------------------------------|------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Overall Performance | 1 | .737 | .000 | | |
| CG at Opening of Dislocation | .737 | 1 | 530 | | |
| CG at Supporting Phase | .000 | 530 | 1 | | |

Results of the above tablerevealthat none of the linear kinematic variablesat Different Phasesshowed any significant relationship at the 0.05 level.

DISCUSSIONS OF FINDINGS

The findingsrevealed, that the chosen linear kinematic variables failed to show a significant relationship with uprise technique in all these lected phases. The major reason for such finding scould be that the performance of any games and sports depends upon so many other factors such as physiological , physical , psychological factors, so it is multidimensional .

Few chosen angular kinematic variables at all the four phases have not shownany significant relationship with the Uprise performance in men's artistic gymnastics. Though in gymnastics, these linear and angular kinematic variables are associated with the techniques but the whole performance regarding the skills and techniques consists of a variety of other dominating factors that influence the gymnast's performance to the extent.

Smaller sample size, unavailability of sophisticated types of equipmentand level of performancecould also be one of the reasons forthe insignificant relationship.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of the findings following conclusions were drawn:

- During the Dislocation Phase, the angular kinematic variablesfailed to depict any significant relationship.
- During Vertical Line Cross Phasethe angle of the ankle was found to have a significant relationship with the left hipangle. The attained correlation value was-.901 which was more than the tabulated value. Other than this none of the angular kinematic variables had any significant relationship at 0.05 level.
- DuringKicking and Pulling Phasea significant relationship was attainedbetween performance of Upriseleft hip angle. The coefficient correlation .919. was greater than the tabulated value (0.878)at 0.05 level of significance.
- During Supporting phaseangle at the neckhada significant relationship with performance of Uprise. The coefficient correlation value-.913 is greater than tabulated value (0.878). The angle of left Wrist correlated significantly with the angle of Hip (.880) at 0.05 level.
- Results alsorevealed an insignificant relationshipbetween the linear kinematic variables and performance.

References

- 1. Stuart Nik, Competitive Gymnastics, Great Britain: Anchor Press, 1964, p.4.
- 2. James S. Bosco, "The Effect of Gymnastics in Various Physical Fitness Components Gymnast (15 January 1973):26-27.
- 3. James G. Hay: The Biomechanics of Sports Techniques (Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 1993), 297.
- 4. Ellen Kreighbaum, "Biomechanics Research In Gymnastics: Past, Present and Future" Hper Department Montana State University Bozeman, Montana 59717 U.S.A.
- 5. JOKathryn Luttgens and Nancy Hamilton: Kinesiology: Scientific Basis of Human Motion (New York: McGraw Hill Higher Education, 2002), 262-263

- - 6. Ralph L. Wickstrom: Fundamental Motor Patterns (Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger, 1977)
 - 7. Duane Knudson: Fundamental of Biomechanics (N. Y.: Springer Science Business Media, 2007)
- 8. Doris 1. Miller and Richard C Nelson: Biomechanics of Sport-A Research Approach (London: Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger, 1973)
- 9. Carl J. Payton and Roger Barlett: Biomechanics Evaluation of Movement in Sport and Exercise (London: Routledgee Taylor and Francis, 2007)
- 10. Roger Barlett: Introduction to Sports Biomechanics: Analysing Human Patterns (N.Y.: Taylor and Francis, 2007)
- Retrievedon September11, 2011 from http://www.siliconcoach.com/SupportCentres/Pro/ Pro_training_ MANNUAL.pdf
- 12. Duane Knudson: Fundamental of Biomechanics (N.Y: Springer Science Business Media, 2007)
- 13. Hirata, K. 'Physique and age of Tokyo Olympic Champion*. J. Sports Med, and Phys. Fitness, 6:pp. 2 07-222# 1966.
- 14. Shannon Linderman, "A Biomechanics Characterization of the Gymnastics Round- off Back Handspring First Contact and Implication for Upper Extremity Orthopedic Injury." B.A. Boston University, 2014.
- 15. Gabriella Penitente, William A. Sands, "Exploratory Investigation of Impact Loads During the Forward Handspring Vault." Jonrnal of Human Kinetics Volume 46/2015, 59-68 DOI:10-1515/ hukin-2015-0034.
- 16. Salina Halliday, "Upper extremity vertical ground reaction forces during the back handspring skill in gymnastics: Acomparison of various braced vs. unbraced techniques." (2013). Master's Theses and Doctoral Dissertations. 495.
- 17. CH Yeow Peter, U.S. Lee Jmes, C.H. Goh, "Regression relationships of landing height with ground reaction forces, knee flexion angles, angular velocities and joint powers during double-leg landing." Received 16 September 2008, Revised 3 February 2009, Accepted 7 February 2009, Available online 27 February 2009.
- 18. Pedro Perez, Salvador Llana, Enrique Alcantara, "Standard Test Ability to Measure Impact Force Reduction on Mat." Received Aprile 4, 2008, Accepted June 3, 2008.
- 19. Mattew Kirk Seeley and Eadeic Bressel, "A Comparison of Upper Extremity Reaction Force between the Yurchenko Vault and Floor Exercise." Published (Online): 01 June 2005.
- 20. Jeff G. Seeqmiller, Steven T. Mccaw, J Athl Train, "Ground Reaction forces Among Gymnastics and Recreational Athletes in Drop Landing." 2003 oct-Dec; 38 (4): 311-314
- 21. JLOG, N Mc Cabek, MDegoede HOKUIZUMI, A Aahton-Miller, "On reducing hand impact force in forward Falls: results of a brief intervention in young males." Dept. of Biomedical Eng., Biomechanics Research Lab., GGB 3208, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2125, USA, 2003
- 22. Jeong Myung GIM, "physical education as Artful Knowing," dissertation Abstract International 50:4 (oct.1989) ,.895~A

- - 23. Chris Mills, Matthew T.G. Pain and Maurice R. Yeadon, "Modeling a Viscoelastic Gymnastics Landing Mat During Impact." Journal of Applied Biomechanics, 2006.
 - 24. K.M. Degoede, J.A Ashton-Miller, "Fall Arrest Strategy Affect Peak Hand Impact Force in a Forward Fall." Journal of Bimechanics, Volume 35, Issue 6, June, 2002, Pages 843-848.
 - 25. Peter L Davidson, Brendam Mahar, David J Chalmers, Barry D Wilson, "Iimpact modeling of gymnastics back-handsprings and dive-rolls in children." Journal of applied biomechanics 21 (2), 115-128, 2005.
 - 26. Roman Farana, Daniel Jandcka, jaroslav Uchytil, David Zahradnik, Gareth Irwin. "The effect of different hand position on impact force and elbow loading during the round off in female gymnastics". ISBS-Conference Proceedin Archive 1 (1), 2013.
 - 27. Yashiaki Takei, "Techniques Used by Elite Male Gymnastics Performance a Handspring Vault at the 1987 pan American Games." Journal of Applied Biomechanics.
 - 28. Ferkolj Matjai, "A Kinematic Analysis of the Handspring Double Saito Forward Tucked on A New Style of Vaulting Table." Science of Gymnastics Journal 2 (2010): 3-4.
 - 29. Koh, T.J., Grabiner, M.D., & Weiker, G.G. (1992), "Technique and ground reaction forces in the back handspring." The American Journal of Sports Medicine, 20(1), 61-6.
 - 30. James Chis Stephen, N. Robinovitch, "Prediction of Upper Extremity Impact Force During Fall on the Outstretched Hand." Journal of Biomechanics, 24 May 2000.
 - 31. Timothy J.Koh, Mark D.Grabiner, Garron G.Weiker, "Technique and ground reaction forces in the back handspring." The American Jr. of Sp. Med., 20 (1), 61-6,1992.
 - 32. Eugene W. B, Winifred A. W, Matthew J. W., Doreen E, David M. W, Jerome L. and Daniel J. W., "Attenuation of Ground Reaction Forces In Salto Dismounts From The Balance Beam." 1990.
 - 33. James A. Ashton-Miller, "Biomechanical Simulations of Forward Fall Arrests: Effects Of Upper Extremity Arrest Strategy, Gender And Aging-Related Declines In Muscle Strength." Biomechanics Research Laboratory, Mechanical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2125, USA, 2003.
 - 34. https://www.livestrong.com/article/230839-the-benefits-of-a-non-vegetarian-diet/
 - 35. https://www.thespruceeats.com/ what-is-lacto-vegetarian-3376831
 - 36. https://www.britannica.com/science/hemoglobinhttps://www.ucsfhealth.org/education/hemoglobin and functions of
 - 37. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318440307_A_Comparison_of_a_Vegetarian_and_Non-Vegetarian_Diet_in_Indian_Female_Athletes_in_Relation_to_Exercise_Performance
 - 38. https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/8dd7/c46fa0f336739167cc03d48456bd8bb9b8b4.pdf
 - 39. https://jissn.biomedcentral.com/ articles/10.1186/s12970-019-0289-4
 - 40. https://www.brianmac.co.uk/gentest.htm