Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. 16, Issue No. 4, March-2019, ISSN 2230-7540

A Study on Molecules Partition Functions and Properties

Sushma Devi*

Assistant Professor, Department of Physics, Govt. P.G. College, Ambala Cantt. Haryana, India

***Abstract** – For a polyatomic molecule, the classical partition function is obtained in a form that has a factor corresponding to the free translational motion of the atom for each atom in an effective volume determined by the average vibrational amplitudes of the atom and the geometrical arrangement of the neighboring atoms. For any choice of internal coordinates, a method is provided to measure the suitable volume components. Harmonic motions, internal rotations and "reaction coordinates" are used in the procedure. Quantum mechanical adjustments introduce external considerations for high-frequency vibrations that shrink the volume components.*

Since local properties largely characteristic of the bonds in the vicinity of each atom decide the key factors in this type of the partition function, several of these factors remain virtually constant and can be excluded in calculations comparing the reactants in a chemical reaction with the products or with the activated complex.

***Keywords:** Molecules Partition, Function, Properties;*

- X -

INTRODUCTION

In statistical thermodynamic applications, and particularly in the transition state theory of chemical kinetics, the calculations frequently include the proportion of partition functions for two molecules with many atoms or groups in general, and the contributions of several of the degrees of freedom identified with the common groups would be cancelled as a functional approximation. In the normal formula for the partition function, though, this truth does not find a normal definition, as the moments of inertia for total and internal rotations and the vibrational frequencies (to a lesser extent) apply to the entire molecule. In this article, a variant of the classical partition mechanism is obtained in which local properties, largely characteristic of the bonds in the vicinity of each atom, decide the principal factors. This formula is seen to entail no approximations beyond those needed for the normal formula (neglect of interactions between vibration-rotation and anharmonicity) when supplemented by quantum mechanical influences. The derivation expands the serial approach of incorporating the classical partition mechanism Pitzer built for chain hydrocarbons to a general polyatomic molecule! And has extended to reactions of atom transition recently.

PARTITION FUNCTIONS AND THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES

Let us now explore how this notion of the most likely prevailing distribution of energy gives rise to formulas that provide other thermodynamic properties of molecular-level phrases. The first equation is the basic formula for the Boltzmann population that we have already investigated:

$$P_j = \exp(- E_j /kT)/Q,$$

Trying to express the possibility of discovering the N-molecule device with energy E_j in its quantum state of J_{th}. This phrase is often written as

$$P_j = \Omega_j \exp(- E_j /kT)/Q$$

Where the index j is now used to mark a device energy degree that has energy E_j and degeneracy. It is necessary to use any notation for the student; a level is just a set of those states of equal energy.

SYSTEM PARTITION FUNCTIONS

And use this result, the average Eave energy can be computed, often written as $\langle E \rangle$, of the system

$$<E> = \Sigma_j P_j E_j ,$$

And, as we saw earlier in this segment, to demonstrate that it is possible to recast this number as

$$<E> = kT^2 \partial(\ln Q/\partial T)_{N,V} .$$

In order to examine how this evidence is carried out, we substitute the phrases for P_j and for Q in the phrase for $<E>$. (To encourage the student to get accustomed to this, I will use the notation marking energy levels rather than energy states)

$$<E> = \{\Sigma_j E_j \ \Omega_j \exp(-E_j/kT)\}/\{\Sigma_l \ \Omega_l \exp(-E_l/kT)\}.$$

By noting that $\partial (\exp(-E_j/kT))/\partial T = (1/kT^2) E_j \exp(-E_j/kT),$ we can then $<E>$ rewrite as

$$<E> = kT^2 \{\Sigma_j \ \Omega_j \partial (\exp(-E_j/kT))/\partial T \}/\{\Sigma_l \ \Omega_l \exp(-E_l/kT)\}.$$

And so, recalling the $\{\partial X/\partial T\}/X = \partial \ln X/\partial T,$ we finally obtain

$$<E> = kT^2 (\partial \ln(Q)/\partial T)_{N,V}.$$

In terms of the partition function Q, all other equilibrium properties may also be represented. If the average strain, for instance, i $<p>$ The stress of each quantum state (defined as how, if we adjust the volume of the container by a small amount, the energy of that state changes)

$$p_j = (\partial E_j /\partial V)_N$$

Multiplied by the possibility of P_j accessing the quantum state, summarized over all such states, it can be seen that only E_j (not T or Ω) depends on volume V, that

$$<p> = \Sigma_j (\partial E_j /\partial V)_N \ \Omega_j \exp(- E_j /kT)/Q$$

$$= kT(\partial \ln Q/\partial V)_{N,T} .$$

If you ask why EJ energies can rely on volume V, consider the case of N gas-phase molecules occupying volume V containers. You realize that by the particle-in-a-box formula, the transcriptional energies of any of these N molecules focus on the volume.

$$E_{n_x,n_y,n_z} = \frac{h^2}{8mL^2}(n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2).$$

Changing V may be done by changing the duration of box L. This makes it obvious that the energies actually dependent on the volume V. Of course, the V-dependence of the energy levels has additional outlets. The molecules get more crowded, for instance, when one shrinks V, so their intermolecular energies change as well.

It is necessary to convey all the normal thermodynamic quantities in terms of the partition function Q without belaboring the argument further. As is the heat power, the average energy and average pressure are given above. The average entropy is defined as

$$<S> = k \ln Q + kT(\partial \ln Q/\partial N)_{V,T}$$

Free Helmholtz energy A is

$$A = -kT \ln Q$$

The chemical potential μ is described as follows:

$$\mu = -kT (\partial \ln Q/\partial N)_{T,V}.$$

As we showed earlier, variations in thermodynamic properties can often be expressed in terms of partition function derivatives and, thus, as derivatives of other properties. The fluctuation of energy, for instance, $<(E-<E>)^2>$ It was displayed above to be given by

$$<(E-<E>)^2> = kT^2 C_V.$$

The text Quantitative Dynamics offers an exemplary treatment of these subjects and explains how many of these concepts are extracted. So, whether one was willing to test the partition function Q for N molecules at a temperature T in volume V, either by summing the degeneracy of the quantum level and exp(-Ej /kT) factors

$$Q = \Sigma_j \ \Omega_j \exp(- E_j /kT),$$

Or by taking out the integrated phase-space over all M of the device coordinates and moments

$$Q = h^{-M} \int \exp (- H(q, p)/kT) \, dq \, dp ,$$

The above formulas may then be used to determine some thermodynamic properties and their variations as derivatives of lnQ. The above averages were calculated using the probabilities $P_j = \Omega_j \exp(- E_j /kT)/Q$ Ensemble averages are correlated with the most possible distribution, with the set of information regarding with the stated values of N, V, and T constituting what is considered a canonical ensemble. For all states correlated with defined values of N, V, and E, averages obtained using probabilities PJ = constant are referred to as ensemble averages for a microcanonical ensemble. In statistical mechanics, there is another type of ensemble that is sometimes used; it is called the great canonical ensemble and refers to systems with defined volume V, temperature T, and chemical potential μ (instead of particle number N). In this case, one suggests optimizing the same function to achieve the partition function (from which all thermodynamic properties are obtained).

$$\Omega(\mathbf{n}) = M!/\{\Pi_J n_J!\}$$

Implemented earlier, but now considered each quantum (labelled J) as having an $E_J(N, V)$ energy that depends on the volume and how this volume can be filled by particles. The $n_J(N)$ variables are now used to determine how many of the containers previously implemented include N particles and are in the quantum state of J_{th}. These variables shall conform with the same two limits as for the canonical group.

$$\Sigma_{J,N}\, n_J(N) = M$$

$$\Sigma_{J,N}\, n_J(N)\, \varepsilon_J(N,V) = E,$$

However, they are often expected to follow

$$\Sigma_{J,N}\, N\, n_J(N) = N_{total}$$

This implies that the calculation adds up to the cumulative number of particles in the wide container of the isolated system, separated into smaller containers of M. In this case, it is presumed that the walls dividing each small container allow the movement of energy (as in the canonical ensemble) and the molecules to pass from one container to another (as compared to the canonical ensemble). To optimize lnΩ(n) according to the above three restrictions, utilizing Lagrange multipliers as before implies optimizing

$$F = \ln M! - \Sigma_{J,N}\{n_{J,N}\ln n_{J,N} - n_{J,N}) - \alpha(\Sigma_{J,N}\, n_{J,N} - M)$$

$$-\beta(\Sigma_{J,N}\, n_{J,N}\, \varepsilon_J - E) - \gamma(\Sigma_{J,N}\, N\, n_{J,N}(N) - N_{total})$$

and gives

$$-\ln n_{K,N} - \alpha - \beta\, \varepsilon_K - \gamma\, N = 0$$

or

$$n_{K,N} = \exp[-\alpha - \beta\, \varepsilon_K - \gamma\, N].$$

Imposing the first restriction accounts for

$$M = \Sigma_{K,N} \exp[-\alpha - \beta\, \varepsilon_K - \gamma\, N], \text{ or}$$

$$\exp(-\alpha) = \frac{M}{\sum_{K,N}\exp(-\beta\varepsilon_K(N) - \gamma N)} = \frac{M}{Q(\gamma,V,T)}$$

Where the function Q of the partition is defined by the denominator number. So, now the likelihood that the machine has N particles and is in the quantum state of Kth is

$$P_K(N) = \frac{\exp(-\beta\varepsilon_K(N,V) - \gamma N)}{Q}.$$

Thermodynamic properties (e.g., E, CV, etc.) can then be represented in terms of lnQ derivatives, just as was seen earlier with the canonical ensemble. The text Statistical Mechanics, in good depth, goes over these derivations, so I will not reiterate them here because when handling the canonical ensemble, we showed how to do so. One uses β = 1/(kT) again to summaries them briefly, finding that γ is connected to the chemical potential μ as μ

$$\gamma = -\mu\,\beta$$

and obtains

$$p = \sum_{N,K} P_K(N)\{\frac{-\partial\varepsilon_K(N,V)}{\partial V}\}_N = kT\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial V}\right)_{\mu,T}$$

$$N_{ave} = \sum_{N,K} N P_K(N) = kT\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu}\right)_{V,T}$$

$$S = kT\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial T}\right)_{\mu,V} = k\ln Q$$

$$E = \sum_{N,K}\varepsilon_K(N)P_K(N) = kT^2\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial T}\right)_{\mu,V}$$

$$Q = \sum_{N,K} \exp(-\beta\varepsilon_K(N) + \mu\beta N).$$

With the exception of the consequence representing the average number of molecules in the Nave container in terms of the derivative of the partition function with respect to the chemical potential μ, the formulas look pretty much like those of the canonical ensemble. In addition to the equal a priori probability postulate mentioned earlier (i.e. that any quantum state of an independent equilibrium system having defined N, V, and E is equally possibly at the thermodynamic limit (i.e. big N), statistical mechanics renders another statement. It implies that the total average at the thermodynamic limit (e.g. using equivalent probabilities of PJ for all states of an independent system defining N, V, and E or using N, V, and E)

$P_j = \exp(-E_j/kT)/Q$ For states of a device defining N, V, and T or utilizing N, V, and T $P_K(N) = \frac{\exp(-\beta\varepsilon_K(N,V) + \mu\beta N)}{Q}$ Every sum is equivalent to the long-term average of this quantity for the grand canonical case (i.e. the value one can achieve from monitoring the dynamic progression of this quantity over a very long time). This second postulate means that in any quantum state that has the stated N, V, and E, the dynamics of an independent system expend equivalent amounts of time; this is referred to as the ergodic hypothesis.

Let's take a little bit into consideration what the physical nature or data content of partition functions is. The thermal average number of quantum states that are available to the device at defined values of N, V, and T is represented by canonical ensemble partition functions. This can better be shown by noticing that in the quantum phrase, again,

$$Q = \Sigma_j \Omega_j \exp(-E_j/kT)$$

The partition function is equivalent to a total of the number of quantum states multiplied by the Boltzmann population component in the j_{th} energy level $\exp(-E_j/kT)$ Around that amount. So, Q is dimensionless and is a calculation of how many states at temperature T can be accessed by the device. Another way to think about Q is suggested by rewriting the concept of Helmholtz free energy given above as $Q = \exp(-A/kT)$.This identity demonstrates that Q can be considered as a population of Boltzmann, not of a given energy E, but of a given volume of free energy A. The likelihood of occupying each state with the stated values of N, V, and E for the microcanonical ensemble is equal to

$$P_j = 1/\Omega(N,V,E)$$

Where the sum amount of such states is Ω (N, V, E). In the case of the microcanonical ensemble, Ω (N, V, E) plays the function that Q plays in the case of the canonical ensemble; it offers the number of quantum states open to the machine.

INDIVIDUAL-MOLECULE PARTITION FUNCTIONS

Bear in mind that those of the total N-molecule scheme are the energy levels Ej and the degeneracies Ωj and Ω (N, V, E) discussed so far. Any of the energies Ej can be written as a sum of the energies of each individual molecule in the special case under which the interactions between the molecules can be ignored (i.e. in the dilute ideal-gas limit) at least as far as the state energies are represented,: $E_j = \Sigma_{k=1,N}\ \varepsilon_j(k).$ In such a scenario, the above partition function Q decreases to a single-molecule partition function product:

$$Q = (N!)^{-1} q^N$$

This is where the N! Component occurs as a factor of degeneracy having to do with the N molecules' permutational indistinguishability (e.g., both must not be counted $\varepsilon_j(3) + \varepsilon_k(7)$ Including molecule 3 in state j and molecule 7 in state k and $\varepsilon_j(7) + \varepsilon_k(3)$ With molecular 7 in state j and molecule 3 in state k; they are the same state), and q is an actual molecule's partition function

$$q = \Sigma_l \omega_l \exp(-\varepsilon_l/kT).$$

Here, εl is the particle's lth-level energy and ωl l is its degeneracy.

In turn, the functions of the molecular partition q can be written as translational, rotational, vibrational, and electronic partition function products if the molecule energies εl can be approximated as quantities of these energies. Of course, for gas-phase molecules who vibration and rotation states are defined at the lowest stage, these approximations are most fitting.

The following equations give clear expressions in the most popular case of a non-linear polyatomic molecule for these individual contributions to q:

Translational:

$$q_t = (2\pi mkT/h^2)^{3/2} V,$$

Where the molecule's mass is m and the amount to which its motion is limited is V. The related effect is for molecules confined to the surface of region A.

$q_t = (2\pi mkT/h^2)^{2/2} A,$ For molecules constrained to travel over a length L around a single axis, the result is $q_t = (2\pi mkT/h^2)^{1/2} L.$ You may measure the magnitudes of these partitions functions by using m in amu, T in Kelvin, and L, A, or V in cm, cm^2 or cm^3, as

$$q_t = (3.28 \times 10^{13}\, mT)^{1/2, 2/2, 3/2} L, A, V.$$

The magnitude of qt clearly depends heavily on the number of dimensions in which the molecule passes and moves about. This is attributable to the large variations in 1 , 2 and 3 dimensional translational state densities;

Rotational:

$$q_{rot} = \pi^{1/2}/\sigma\, (8\pi^2 I_A kT/h^2)^{1/2}\, (8\pi^2 I_B kT/h^2)^{1/2}\, (8\pi^2 I_C kT/h^2)^{1/2},$$

Where IA, IB, and IC are the particle's three major inertia moments (i.e. the own meanings of the inertia tensor moment). σ is the molecule's symmetry number, defined as the number of ways in which the molecule can be rotated into a configuration indistinguishable from its original configuration. σ is 2 for H2 or D2, 1 for HD, 3 for NH3, for example, and 12 for CH4, for example. Using bond lengths in Å and masses in amu and T in K, the orders of magnitude of these partition functions can be determined using

$$(8\pi^2 I_A kT/h^2)^{1/2} = 9.75 \times 10^6 (I\, T)^{1/2}$$

Vibrational:

$$q_{vib} = \Pi_{k=1,3N-6} \{\exp(-h\nu_j/2kT)/(1- \exp(-h\nu_j/kT))\},$$

Where vj is the frequency of the molecule's J_{th} harmonic wave, of which there is 3N-6. If one chooses to handle the vibrations at a greater than harmonic level, this expression may be changed by changing the hydraulic energies with higher-level expressions.

Electronic:

$$q_e = \Sigma_J\, \omega_J \exp(-\varepsilon_J/kT),$$

Where the energies and degenerations of the electronic state of Jth are εJ and ωJ; the sum is worked out for those states for which the result is $\omega_J \exp(-\varepsilon_J/kT)$ It is numerically essential (i.e. the levels of every important thermal population). Defining the energy of a molecule or ion with respect to that of its atoms is traditional. Thus, the first word in the role of the electronic partition is generally written as $\omega_e \exp(-D_e/kT),$ Where the depravity of the electronic state of the earth is e and De is the energy required to dissociate the molecule into its constituent atoms, all in their electronic states of the moon.

Notice that the intensity of the translational partition function is far greater than that of the rotary partition function, which, in turn, is greater than that of the vibratory function. In addition, notice that the function of the 3-dimensional translational partition is greater than the 2-dimensional function, which is larger than the 1-dimensional one. These orderings are merely reflections of the average amount of quantum states accessible at temperature T to the respective degrees of freedom, which in turn refers to the energy spacings and degeneracies of such states.

The above partition function and thermodynamic equations constitute the fundamental feature of how statistical mechanics provide the resources for linking molecular-grade properties, such as energy levels and degenerations, to macroscopic properties like Ej and Ωj $<E>, <S>, <p>, \mu,$ etc.

EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANTS IN TERMS OF PARTITION FUNCTIONS

In the sense of the balancing of a chemical process and for the physical transition (for example, adsorption of molecules to a metal surface, or sublimation of molecules from a crystal) in terms of molecular partition functions, one of the most significant and useful implementations of the statistical thermodynamics occurs. In specific, every chemical or physical balance (for example, the former might be the $HF \Leftrightarrow H^+ + F^-$ equilibrium; the latter could be The balance constant may be related to the functions of the partition functions of these molecules in terms of the numbers of molecules per units volume or per units area, based on whether or not organisms experience translational movement in 3 or 2 dimensions. In the imaginary chemical balance, for example $A + B \Leftrightarrow C,$ The balance constant K can be published if the species may be found to have negligibly poor intermolecular ability:

$$K = (N_C/V)/[(N_A/V)\,(N_B/V)] = (q_C/V)/[(q_A/V)\,(q_B/V)].$$

In this case, qJ is the partition function for type J molecules confined to volume V at temperature T. Also regard the standard (N) and zwitterionic (Z) arginine forms discussed in as an isomerization reaction. Here, the appropriate balance constant will be:

$$K = (N_Z/V)/[(N_N/V)] = (q_Z/V)/[(q_N/V)].$$

If the partitional function q for the reactor and component molecules may therefore be calculated in the translational, mechanical, vibrational, and rotational energy values of these species, the balance of these molecular-level properties can be continuously conveyed.

Note that the constant equilibrium expressions described above compare ratios of species concentrations (in, unit volume numbers) to ratios of corresponding partition functions by unit volume. As separation functions represent a count of the number of the system's quantum states (that is, the average quantum state densities), it implies that when we use the above expressions for a constant balance, we compare the species number densities with the quantum state densities. In other terms, the mathematical dynamics creates balance constants related to molecular numbers (i.e. number densities) not to molar concentrations or molal concentrations.

MONTE CARLO EVALUATION OF PROPERTIES

The Monte Carlo (MC) method is a technique that has been shown to be extremely effective in mathematical mechanics as machines have become quick enough to enable simulations of complex systems. In this approach it is possible to test the integrations in the classic partition function mentioned above, producing a sequence of configurations and assigning the weighting factor to these configurations (i.e., positions of all molecules in the system and of all the internal co-ordinates of these molecules). The MC process, by adding a particularly efficient means of constructing high weighting structures, enables one to model highly complex structures with millions of molecules.

To grasp why a method like MC is important, think how to compose a computer programme to test the classic partition function.

$$Q = h^{-NM} (N!)^{-1} \int \exp\,(-\,H(q,p)/kT)\; dq\; dp$$

For a structure composed of N Ar atoms in a volume V box at temperature T. The classical Hamiltonian H(q, p) contains a mixture of kinetic and inter-atomic potential energy

$$H(q,p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + V(q)$$

Integration of the 3N momentum variables can be evaluated and Q can be written as

$$Q = \frac{1}{N!}\left(\frac{2\pi mkT}{h^2}\right)^{3N/2} \int \exp\left(\frac{-V(q_1,q_2,...q_{3N})}{kT}\right) dq_1 dq_2 .. dq_{3N}\,.$$

The integral contribution to Q is also referred to as the configuration partition function.

$$Q_{config} = \int \exp\left(\frac{-V(q_1,q_2,..q_{3N})}{kT}\right) dq_1 dq_2 .. dq_{3N}$$

If the N-Ar atom density is high, as in fluid or solid environments, the theoretical V relies on the 3N-Ar atom co-ordinates such that no more meaningful approximations can be obtained. One will then have to determine an integral spatial function with 3N co-ordinates that relies on both of these co-ordinates. If each 3N co-ordinate axis is to be discretized by saying K points along each axis, the numerical assessment of this integral as a cumulative over the 3N co-ordinates involves the use of statistical effort as K3N. This is the order of 1030 computer operations even for 10 Ar atoms with each axis having K = 10 marks. Obviously, such a simple appraisal of this iconic integral would be dumb to pursue.

The MC technique enables you to test these high-dimensional components

1. not division into K distinct points on each of the 3N axes, but instead

2. Selecting values of q1, q2, ... q3N for which the exp (-V / kT) integrand is not insignificant yet often

3. Avoid q1, q2, ... q3N values for which an exp (-V / kT) integrand is minimal enough to be ignored.

By integrating these parameters with just values of q1, q2, ... q3N, the MC process will approximate the integral. The magic is of course how you build a robust and computationally powerful algorithm to choose the q1, q2, ... q3N that fulfil the requirements.

Let us imagine conducting MC simulation symbolic of liquid water at certain density μ and temperature T to demonstrate how the MC mechanism operates. First, N water molecules are put in a given volume V frame, such that N / V replicates the density defined. In order to conduct the MC procedure, one must suppose that for the whole N molecule structure inside the box and for any values of inner bond lengths and angles of the water molecules, it is possible to measure the total energy potential (intramolecular and intermolecular) of these N water molecules. Note: V does not involve the kinetic energy of the molecules, as illustrated above, when considering the Ar example; it is just the potential energy. This energy V is also represented as a total of intra-molecular bonding and flexing inputs, one for each molecule and one pairing additive intermolecular potential:

$$V = \Sigma_J V(\text{internal})_J + \Sigma_{J,K} \ V(\text{intermolecular})_{J,K},$$

Although no such decomposition is needed for the MC process; energy V could be measured in other manner, if possible. For example, if an ab initio electronic structure calculation for the entire N-molecule system was feasible, V can be assessed as the energy from Born-Oppenheimer. The MC method does not rely on how V is measured but is more frequently determined as seen above.

CONCLUSION

The significance of such cluster tests is to make it possible to convey different thermodynamic properties (e.g., above pressure) as one contribution if the structure consists of non-interacting molecules and a second contribution resulting from the intermolecular forces. Thus, experimental measures of the divergence from an optimal conduct (i.e., non-interacting) may offer a straightforward means of evaluating intermolecular potentials. For instance, measuring pressures at different N / V values and different temperatures may evaluate B2 and thus provide useful information on the intermolecular U potential.

REFERENCES

1. Akpan N. Ikot, Ephriam O Chukwuocha, Michael Onyeaju, C. A. Onate (2018) on Thermodynamics properties of diatomic molecules with general molecular potential

2. Benjamin J. Killian, Joslyn Yundenfreund Kravitz, M. Gilson (2007) on Extraction of configurational entropy from molecular simulations via an expansion approximation.

3. Popovas and U. G. Jørgensen (2016) on Partition functions I. Improved partition functions and thermodynamic quantities for normal, equilibrium, and ortho and para molecular hydrogen?

4. Mahmoud Farout, Ahmed Bassalat, Sameer Ikhdair (2018) on Exact Quantized Momentum Eigenvalues and Eigenstates of a General Potential Model

5. E. E. Ibekwe, Alalibo Thompson Ngiangia, Uduakobong S. Okorie, Hewa Y. Abdullah (2018) on Bound State Solution of Radial Schrodinger Equation for the Quark–Antiquark Interaction Potential

6. Mahmoud Farout, Ahmed Bassalat, Sameer Ikhdair (2018) on Exact quantized momentum eigenvalues and Eigenstates of a general potential model

7. Kailash Uttam, S. Kumar, Renu Singh, Pavitra Tandon (2008) on Thermodynamical quantities of potassium monohalides from spectroscopic data

8. Bailly, D., Salumbides, E. J., Vervloet, M., & Ubachs, W. 2010, Mol. Phys.,

9. Herzberg, J. G. 1960, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure, Vol. II: Infrared and Raman Spectra of Polyatomic Molecules

10. Dudley R. Herschbach, Harold S. Johnstont and Donald Rapp (1959) on Molecular Partition Functions in Terms of Local Properties

11. S Pirhadi, A Amani (2018) on Molecular dynamics simulation of self-assembly in a nanoemulsion system

12. Rao, A. Sadasiva (2000) on Thermodynamic study of polymer solutions

---

Corresponding Author

Sushma Devi*

Assistant Professor, Department of Physics, Govt. P.G. College, Ambala Cantt. Haryana, India

sushmabarmanap@gmail.com