

# Importance of Self-Care Management to Address the Continued Needs and Demands of Individuals with Diabetes

Smita Singh<sup>1\*</sup> Prof. Dr. B. D. Harpilani<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Research Scholar, Department of Nutrition, Shri Venkateshwara University

<sup>2</sup> Rtd Principal and Head of Department Home Science, SVD College, Dhampur, Shri Venkateshwara University

**Abstract – Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is an incessant dynamic metabolic issue portrayed by hyperglycemia fundamentally because of supreme (Type 1 DM) or relative (Type 2 DM) lack of insulin hormone. World Health Organization appraises that in excess of 346 million individuals worldwide have DM. This number is probably going to dramatically increase by 2030 with no mediation. The requirements of diabetic patients are constrained to sufficient glycemic control as well as compare with forestalling complexities; incapacity impediment and recovery. There are seven fundamental self-care practices in individuals with diabetes which anticipate great results to be specific smart dieting, being physically dynamic, and observing of glucose, agreeable with meds, great critical thinking abilities, sound adapting aptitudes and hazard decrease practices. All these seven practices have been observed to be decidedly related with great glycemic control, decrease of difficulties and improvement in personal satisfaction. People with diabetes have been appeared to have a sensational effect on the movement and advancement of their infection by partaking in their very own care. In spite of this reality, compliance or adherence to these exercises has been observed to be low, particularly when taking a gander at long haul changes. Despite the fact that numerous statistics, financial and social help elements can be considered as positive supporters in encouraging self-care exercises in diabetic patients, job of clinicians in advancing self-care is imperative and must be underscored. Understanding the multi-faceted nature of the issue, a methodical, multi-pronged and an incorporated methodology is required for advancing self-care rehearses among diabetic patients to turn away any long-haul difficulties.**

**Keywords:** Diabetes, Self-Care, Compliance, Physical Activity, Lifestyle Modification

----- X -----

## I. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a constant dynamic metabolic issue described by hyperglycemia for the most part because of absolute (Type 1 DM) or relative (Type 2 DM) insufficiency of insulin hormone [1]. DM essentially influences each arrangement of the body chiefly because of metabolic aggravations brought about by hyperglycemia, particularly if diabetes power over some stretch of time demonstrates to be problematic [1]. Up to this point it was accepted to be an illness happening chiefly in created nations, yet ongoing discoveries uncover an ascent in number of new instances of sort 2 DM with a prior beginning and related inconveniences in creating nations [2-4]. Diabetes is related with complexities, for example, cardiovascular maladies, nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy, which can prompt constant morbidities and mortality [5, 6]. World Health Organization (WHO) evaluates that in excess of 346 million individuals worldwide have DM. This number is probably going to dramatically

increase by 2030 with no intercession. Practically 80% of diabetes deaths happen in low and center salary nations [7]. As per WHO report, India today heads the world with more than 32 million diabetic patients and this number is anticipated to increment to 79.4 million constantly 2030 [8]. Late overviews demonstrate that diabetes currently influences an amazing 10-16% of urban populace and 5-8% of rustic populace in India and Sri Lanka [9-11].

One of the greatest difficulties for healthcare suppliers today is discussing constant necessities and requests of people with constant sicknesses like diabetes [12]. The significance of customary line up of diabetic patients with the human services supplier is of incredible importance in turning away any long haul complexities. Studies have detailed that exacting metabolic control can postpone or avert the movement of difficulties related with diabetes [13, 14]. The necessities of diabetic patients are constrained to sufficient glycemic

---

Smita Singh<sup>1\*</sup> Prof. Dr. B. D. Harpilani<sup>2</sup>

control as well as compare with anticipating intricacies; handicap impediment and recovery. A portion of the Indian examinations uncovered exceptionally poor adherence to treatment regimens because of poor mentality towards the ailment and weakness education among the overall population [15, 16]. The presentation of home blood glucose screens and far reaching utilization of glycosylated hemoglobin as a pointer of metabolic control has added to self-care in diabetes and along these lines has moved greater duty to the patient [17, 18]. In an investigation done in Scotland, it was recommended that the job of the wellbeing expert is essential to patient's comprehension of their blood glucose variances with a proper self-care activity [19].

## **II. SELF-CARE IN DIABETES**

Self-care in diabetes has been characterized as a transformative procedure of improvement of information or mindfulness by figuring out how to make do with the perplexing idea of the diabetes in a social setting [20, 21]. Since most by far of everyday care in diabetes is taken care of by patients as well as families [22], there is a significant requirement for solid and legitimate measures for self-administration of diabetes [23-25]. There are seven basic self-care practices in individuals with diabetes which foresee great results. These are smart dieting, being physically dynamic, checking of glucose, agreeable with prescriptions, great critical thinking abilities, solid adapting aptitudes and hazard decrease practices [26].

These proposed measures can be valuable for the two clinicians and instructors treating singular patients and for specialists assessing new ways to deal with care. Self-report is by a long shot the most handy and practical way to deal with self-care evaluation but then is regularly observed as undependable. Diabetes self-care exercises are practices attempted by individuals with or in danger of diabetes so as to progress completely deal with the sickness all alone [26]. All these seven practices have been observed to be decidedly connected with great glycemic control, decrease of intricacies and improvement in personal satisfaction [27-31]. Likewise, it was seen that self-care incorporates playing out these exercises as well as the interrelationships between them [32]. Diabetes self-care requires the patient to make numerous dietary and lifestyle modifications enhanced with the steady job of healthcare staff for keeping up a larger amount of self-certainty prompting an effective conduct change [33].

## **III. DIABETES SELF-MANAGEMENT EDUCATION**

Despite the fact that genetics assume a significant job in the improvement of diabetes, monozygotic twin examinations have unquestionably demonstrated the

significance of ecological impacts [34]. People with diabetes have been appeared to have a sensational effect on the movement and improvement of their sickness by taking an interest in their own care [13]. This cooperation can succeed just if those with diabetes and their human services suppliers are educated about taking viable care for the illness. It is normal that those with the best information will have a superior comprehension of the ailment and bitterly affect the movement of the infection and entanglements.

The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists stresses the significance of patients getting to be dynamic and proficient members in their care [35]. Similarly, WHO has additionally perceived the significance of patients picking up to deal with their diabetes [36]. The American Diabetes Association had audited the gauges of diabetes self-administration training and found that there was a four-crease increment in diabetic entanglements for those people with diabetes who had not gotten formal instruction concerning self-care rehearses [37]. A meta-investigation of self-administration instruction for grown-ups with sort 2 diabetes uncovered improvement in glycemic control at prompt development. In any case, the watched advantage declined one to a quarter of a year after the mediation stopped, recommending that proceeding with instruction is vital [38]. A survey of diabetes self-administration instruction uncovered that training is effective in bringing down glycosylated hemoglobin levels [39].

## **IV. DIABETES SELF-CARE EDUCATION**

Diabetes awareness is significant yet it must be exchanged to activity or self-care exercises to completely profit the patient. Self-care exercises allude to practices, for example, following an eating routine arrangement, staying away from high fat sustenances, expanded exercise, self-glucose checking, and foot care [40]. Diminishing the patient's glycosylated hemoglobin level might be simply a definitive objective of diabetes the board yet it can't be the main goal being taken care of by a patient. Changes in self-care exercises ought to likewise be assessed for advancement toward conduct change [41].

Self-caring of glycemic control is a foundation of diabetes care that can guarantee persistent cooperation in accomplishing and keeping up explicit glycemic targets. The most significant target of checking is the appraisal of generally speaking glycemic control and commencement of suitable strides in an auspicious way to accomplish ideal control. Self-observing gives data about current glycemic status, taking into account appraisal of treatment and managing modifications in eating regimen, exercise and medicine so as to accomplish ideal glycemic control. Independent of weight reduction, taking part in normal physical

activity has been observed to be related with improved wellbeing results among diabetics [42-45]. The National Institutes of Health [46] and the American College of Sports Medicine [47] prescribe that all grown-ups, incorporating those with diabetes, ought to take part in ordinary physical activity.

## **V. COMPLIANCE TO SELF-CARE ACTIVITIES**

Treatment compliance in diabetes is a region of intrigue and concern for health experts and clinical analysts despite the fact that a lot of earlier research has been done in the territory. In diabetes, patients are required to pursue an unpredictable arrangement of conduct activities to care for their diabetes every day. These activities include taking part in positive lifestyle practices, including following a feast plan and participating in proper physical activity; taking prescriptions (insulin or an oral hypoglycemic specialist) when demonstrated; observing blood glucose levels; reacting to and self-treating diabetes-related indications; following foot-care rules; and looking for separately suitable restorative care for diabetes or other health related issues [48]. The proposed routine is additionally entangled by the need to coordinate and arrangement these social undertakings into a patient's day by day schedule.

Most of patients with diabetes can essentially lessen the odds of growing long haul complexities by improving self-care exercises. Regardless of this reality, compliance or adherence to these exercises has been observed to be low, particularly when taking a gander at long haul changes. During the time spent conveying satisfactory help healthcare suppliers ought not to accuse the patients notwithstanding when their compliance is poor [49]. In an investigation directed among individuals with diabetes just 30% were agreeable with medication regimens and the rebelliousness was higher among the lower financial gatherings [50]. One of the substances about type-2 diabetes is that just being agreeable to self-care exercises won't prompt great metabolic control. Research work over the globe has recorded that metabolic control is a mix of numerous factors, not simply quiet compliance [51, 52]. In an American preliminary, it was discovered that standard participants were bound to make changes when each change was executed independently. Achievement, along these lines, may fluctuate contingent upon how the progressions are actualized, at the same time or separately [53]. A portion of the re-searchers have even proposed that health experts should tailor their patient self-care bolster dependent on the level of moral duty the patient is eager to expect towards their diabetes self-care [54].

## **VI. BARRIERS TO DIABETES CARE**

Job of healthcare suppliers in care of diabetic patients has been all around perceived. Socio-

statistic and social hindrances, for example, poor access to drugs, surprising expense, quiet fulfillment with their therapeutic care, persistent supplier relationship, level of side effects, unequal appropriation of health suppliers among urban and rustic regions have confined self-care exercises in creating nations [39,55-58]. In an examination to distinguish the boundaries from the supplier's point of view with respect to diabetes care components like moderateness by the patient, conviction by suppliers that drugs cannot fix patient condition, no trust in their own capacity to modify tolerant conduct were recognized. Another investigation concerning both patient elements (compliance, mentality, convictions, information about diabetes, culture and language abilities, health education, monetary assets, comorbidities and social help) and clinician related variables (frame of mind, convictions and learning about diabetes, powerful correspondence) [60].

## **VII. THERAPIES IN ALTERNATIVE SYSTEM OF MEDICINE**

Regardless of the type of diabetes, patients are required to control their blood glucose with medication and/or by adhering to an exercise program and a dietary plan. Due to modernization of lifestyle type 2 diabetes mellitus is becoming a major health problem in developing countries. Patient with type 2 diabetes mellitus are usually placed on a restricted diet and are instructed to exercise the purpose of which primarily is weight control [63]. If diet and exercise fail to control blood glucose at a desired level, pharmacological treatment is prescribed. These treatments have their own drawbacks ranging from development of resistance and adverse effects to lack of responsiveness in a large segment of patient population. Moreover, none of the glucose lowering agents adequately control the hyperlipidemia that frequently met with the disease.

Alternative therapies with antidiabetic activity have been researched relatively, extensively, particularly in India [64]. Ideal therapies should have a similar degree of efficacy without troublesome. Mechanism of Some complementary and alternative therapy used for lowering the blood glucose is summarized in Table below.

**Table 1: Complementary and alternative therapy with mechanism for lowering blood glucose**

CAT	Mechanism
Yoga	Improve in insulin sensitivity and decline in insulin resistance <sup>[65]</sup>
Massage	At an injection site increase serum insulin, thereby decrease blood glucose <sup>[66]</sup>
Acupuncture	Act on pancreas to enhance insulin synthesis, accelerate the utilization glucose, resulting in blood sugar <sup>[67]</sup>
Acupuncture	Ameliorate the stress of coping with a lifelong chronic condition such as diabetes <sup>[67]</sup>
Memordica charantia	Not known (In diabetic rabbit models it possesses a direct action similar to insulin) <sup>[68]</sup>
Trigonella foenum gracum	Hypoglycemic effect may be mediated through stimulating insulin synthesis and/or secretion from the beta pancreatic This is attributed to the ability of gynemic acids to delay the glucose absorption in the blood <sup>[69]</sup>
Gymnema sylvestre	Not known
Azadirachta indica	Effect insulin sensitivity and enhance glucose uptake and storage <sup>[70]</sup>
L-Carnitine	Improve metabolic with up regulation of insulin receptor <sup>[71]</sup>
Vitamin E	Facilitates insulin binding and subsequent uptake of glucose into cell <sup>[72]</sup>
Chromium	Potent lipophilic antioxidant activity with possible influences on protein glycation lipid oxidation and insulin sensitivity and secretion <sup>[73,74]</sup>

## VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SELF-CARE ACTIVITIES

Since diabetes self-care exercises can dramatically affect bringing down glycosylated hemoglobin levels, healthcare suppliers and teachers ought to assess apparent patient boundaries to self-care practices and make suggestions in light of these. Tragically, however patients regularly seek healthcare suppliers for direction, numerous healthcare suppliers are not talking about self-care exercises with patients. Health care suppliers should start by setting aside some effort to assess their patients' observations and make practical and explicit proposals for self-care exercises. A few patients may encounter trouble in understanding and following the fundamentals of diabetes self-care exercises. When clinging to self-care exercises patients are in some cases expected to make what might as a rule be a restorative choice and numerous patients are not happy or ready to make such complex evaluations. Besides, these prerequisites or modifications ought to be explicit for every patient and ought to be changed relying upon the patient's reaction [25]. It is important that health care suppliers effectively include their patients in creating self-care regimens for every individual patient. This routine ought to be the most ideal mix for each individual patient in addition to it should sound practical to the patient with the goal that the person can tail it [62]. At the same time, health care suppliers ought to completely report the particular diabetes self-care routine in the patients' restorative record as it will encourage supplier understanding correspondence and help in appraisal of compliance. Additionally, the need of customary follow-up can never be thought little of in an interminable disease like diabetes and accordingly be viewed as a basic segment of its long-haul management.

## IX. SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

As the majority of the detailed investigations are from created countries so there is a monstrous requirement for broad research in provincial regions of creating countries. Simultaneously, field re-inquiry ought to be advanced in creating nations about view of patients on the viability of their self-care management with the goal that assets for diabetes mellitus can be utilized effectively.

## X. CONCLUSION

To counteract diabetes related dreariness and mortality, there is a tremendous need of committed self-care practices in various areas, including nourishment decisions, physical activity, appropriate drugs admission and blood glucose screening from the patients. In spite of the fact that numerous statistics, financial and social help components can be considered as positive benefactors in encouraging self-care exercises in diabetic patients,

job of clinicians in advancing self-care is imperative and must be stressed. Understanding the multi-faceted nature of the issue, a methodical, multi-pronged and an incorporated methodology is required for advancing self-care rehearses among diabetic patients to turn away any long-haul difficulties.

A clinician ought to have the option to perceive patients who are inclined for resistance and in this way give extraordinary thoughtfulness regarding them. On a grass-root level, nations need great diabetes self-administration training programs at the essential care level with accentuation on persuading great self-care practices particularly lifestyle modification. Besides, these projects ought not to occur just once, however intermittent fortification is important to accomplish change in conduct and support the equivalent for long haul. While sorting out these training programs satisfactory social emotionally supportive networks, for example, bolster gatherings, ought to be masterminded.

## REFERENCES

1. World health organization: Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Geneva: World health organization; 1999.
2. Kinra S, Bowen LJ, Lyngdoh T, Prabhakaran D, Reddy KS, Ramakrishnan L, et. al. (2010). Socio-demographic patterning of non-communicable disease risk factors in rural India: a cross sectional study. *BMJ* 2010, 341: c4974.
3. Chuang LM, Tsai ST, Huang BY, Tai TY (2002). The status of diabetes control in Asia—a cross-sectional survey of 24 317 patients with diabetes mellitus in 1998. *Diabet Med*, 19(12): pp. 978–985.
4. Narayanappa D, Rajani HS, Mahendrappa KB, Prabhakar AK (2011). Prevalence of pre-diabetes in school-going children. *Indian Pediatr* 2011, 48(4): pp. 295–299.
5. American Diabetes Association: Implications of the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study. *Diabetes Care* 2004, 27(Suppl 1): pp. 28–32.
6. Zucchi P, Ferrari P, Spina ML (2005). Diabetic foot: from diagnosis to therapy. *G Ital Nefrol*, 22(Suppl 31): pp. S20–S22.
7. World health organization: Diabetes – Factsheet. 2012. <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs312/en/index.html>.

8. Mohan D, Raj D, Shanthirani CS, Datta M, Unwin NC, Kapur A, et al: Awareness and knowledge of diabetes in Chennai - The Chennai urban rural epidemiology study. *J Assoc Physicians India*, 53: pp. 283–287.

9. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H (2004). Global prevalence of diabetes: Estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care* 2004, 27(5): pp. 1047–1053.

10. Pradeepa R, Mohan V (2002). The changing scenario of the diabetes epidemic: Implications for India. *Indian J Med Res* 2002, 116: pp. 121–132.

11. Katulanda P, Constantine GR, Mahesh JG, Sheriff R, Seneviratne RD, Wijeratne S, et al: Prevalence and projections of diabetes and pre-diabetes in adults in Sri Lanka - Sri Lanka Diabetes, Cardiovascular Study (SLDCS). *Diabet Med* 2008, 25(9): pp. 1062–1069.

12. Wagner EH, Austin BT, Davis C, Hindmarsh M, Schaefer J, Bonomi A: Improving chronic illness care: translating evidence into action. *Health Aff (Millwood)*, 20(6): pp. 64–78.

13. UKPDS: Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). *Lancet*, 352(9131): pp. 837–853.

14. Ohkubo Y, Kishikawa H, Araki E, Miyata T, Isami S, Motoyoshi S, et. al. (1995). Intensive insulin therapy prevents the progression of diabetic micro-vascular complications in Japanese patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: a randomized prospective 6-year study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 1995, 28(2): pp. 103–117.

15. Shobana R, Augustine C, Ramachandran A, Vijay V (2005). Improving psychosocial care: The Indian experience. *Diabetes Voice* 2005, 50(1): pp. 19–21.

16. Chew LD (2004). The impact of low health literacy on diabetes outcomes. *Diabetes Voice* 2004, 49(3): pp. 30–32.

17. Grey M, Thurber FW (1991) Adaptation to chronic illness in childhood: diabetes mellitus. *J Pediatr Nurs*, 6(5): pp. 302–309.

18. Glasgow RE, Hiss RG, Anderson RM, Friedman NM, Hayward RA, Marrero DG, et. al. (2001). Report of the health care delivery work group: behavioral research related to the establishment of a chronic disease model for diabetes care. *Diabetes Care* 2001, 24(1): pp. 124–130.

19. Peel E, Douglas M, Lawton J (2007). Self-monitoring of blood glucose in type-2 diabetes: longitudinal qualitative study of patients' perspectives. *BMJ* 2007, 335(7618): pp. 493.

20. Cooper H, Booth K, Gill G (2003). Patients' perspectives on diabetes health care education. *Health Educ Res* 2003, 18(2): pp. 191–206.

21. Paterson B, Thorne S (2000). Developmental evolution of expertise in diabetes self-management. *Clin Nurs Res* 2000, 9(4): pp. 402–419.

22. Etzwiler DD (1994). Diabetes translation: a blueprint for the future. *Diabetes Care* 1994, 17(Suppl. 1): pp. 1–4.

23. Bradley C (1994). *Handbook of Psychology and Diabetes*. Chur, Switzerland: Harwood Academic.

24. Johnson SB: Health behavior and health status: concepts, methods and applications. *J Pediatr Psychol*, 19(2): pp. 129–141.

25. McNabb WL (1997). Adherence in diabetes: can we define it and can we measure it? *Diabetes Care* 1997, 20(2): pp. 215–218.

26. American Association of Diabetes Educators: AADE7 Self-Care Behaviors. *Diabetes Educ* 2008, 34: pp. 445–449.

27. Povey RC, Clark-Carter D (2007). Diabetes and healthy eating: A systematic review of the literature. *Diabetes Educ* 2007, 33(6): pp. 931–959.

28. Boule NG, Haddad E, Kenny GP, Wells GA, Sigal RJ (2001). Effects of exercise on glycemic control and body mass in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials. *JAMA* 2001, 286(10): pp. 1218–1227.

29. American Diabetes Association: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes - 2009. *Diabetes Care* 2009, 32(Suppl 1): pp. S13–S61.

30. Odegaard PS, Capoccia K (2007). Medication taking and diabetes: A

systematic review of the literature. *Diabetes Educ*, 33(6): pp. 1014–1029.

31. Deakin T, McShane CE, Cade JE, Williams RD (2005). Group based training for self management strategies in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005, 2:CD003417.

32. Herschbach P, Duran G, Waadt S, Zettler A, Amch C (1997). Psychometric properties of the questionnaire on stress in patients with diabetes-revised (QSD-R). *Health Psychol*, 16(2): pp. 171–174.

33. Shobhana R, Begum R, Snehalatha C, Vijay V, Ramachandran A (1999). Patients' adherence to diabetes treatment. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1999, 47(12): pp. 1173–1175.

34. Poulsen P, Kyvik OK, Vag A, Nielsen-Beck H (1999). Heritability of type II diabetes mellitus and abnormal glucose tolerance – a population-based twin study. *Diabetologia*, 42(2): pp. 139–145.

35. American college of endocrinology: The American association of clinical endocrinologist guidelines for the management of diabetes mellitus: the AACE system of diabetes self-management. *Endocr Pract* 2002, 8: pp. S41–S84.

36. Hendra JT, Sinclair AJ (1997). Improving the care of elderly diabetic patients: the final report of the St. Vincent joint task force. *Age and Aging*, 26(1): pp. 3–6.

37. Mensing C, Boucher J, Cypress M, Weinger K, Mulcahy K, Barta P, et. al. (2006). National standards for diabetes self-management education. *Diabetes Care* 2006, 29(Suppl 1): pp. S78–S85.

38. Williams GC, Freedman ZR, Deci EL (1998). Supporting autonomy to motivate patients with diabetes for glucose control. *Diabetes Care* 1998, 21(10): pp. 1644–1651.

39. Norris SL, Lau J, Smith SJ, Schmid CH, Engelgau MM (2002). Self-management education for adults with type-2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of the effect on glycemic control. *Diabetes Care* 2002, 25(7): pp. 1159–1171.

40. Glasgow RE, Strycker LA (2000). Preventive care practices for diabetes management in two primary care samples. *Am J Prev Med*, 19(1): pp. 9–14.

41. Walker E (1999). Characteristics of the adult learner. *Diabetes Educ* 1999, 25(6 Suppl): pp. 16–24.

42. American Diabetes Association: Standards of medical care in diabetes - 2011. *Diabetes Care*, 34(Suppl 1): pp. S11–S61.

43. Colberg SR, Sigal RJ, Fernhall B, Regensteiner JG, Blissmer BJ, Rubin RR, et. al. (2010). Exercise and type-2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2010, 33(12): pp. 2692–2696.

44. Mora S, Lee IM, Buring JE, Ridker PM (2006). Association of physical activity and body mass index with novel and traditional cardiovascular biomarkers in women. *JAMA*, 295(12): pp. 1412–1419.

45. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee: Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Report, 2008. Washington, DC, USA: US Department of Health and Human Services.

46. United States Department of Health and Human Services: Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans; 2008. <http://www.health.gov/paguidelines/>.

47. Haskell WL, Lee IM, Pate RR, Powell KE, Blair SN, Franklin BA, et. al. (2007). Physical activity and public health: updated recommendation for adults from the American college of sports medicine and the American heart association. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*, 39(8): pp. 1423–1434.

48. Goodall TA, Halford WK (1991). Self-management of diabetes mellitus: a critical review. *Health Psychol*, 10(1):1–8.

49. Marrero DG, Kako KS, Mayfield J, Wheeler ML, Fineberg N (2000). Nutrition management of type-2 diabetes by primary care physicians. *J Gen Intern Med*, 15(11): pp. 818–821.

50. Kotwani A, Ewen M, Dey D, Iyer S, Lakshmi PK, Patel A, et. al. (2007). Prices and availability of common medicines at six sites in India using a standard methodology. *Indian J Med Res* 2007, 25(5): pp. 645–654.

51. Harris MI (2001). National institute of diabetes & digestive & kidney disease (NIDDKD): Diabetes in America – 2001. [www.niddk.nih.gov/health/diabetes/dia/contents.htm](http://www.niddk.nih.gov/health/diabetes/dia/contents.htm).

52. Toljamo M, Hentinen M (2001). Adherence to self-care and glycemic control among people with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Adv Nurs* 2001, 34(6): pp. 780–786.

53. Wing RR, Goldstein MG, Kelly JA, Birch LL, Jakic JM, Sallis JF, et. al. (2001). Behavioral science research in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2001, 24(1): pp. 117–123.

54. Ockleford E, Shaw RL, Willars J, Dixon-Woods M (2008). Education and self-management for people newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes: a qualitative study of patients' views. *Chronic Illn*, 4(1): pp. 28–37.

55. Ramachandran A, Ramachandran S, Snehalatha C, Augustine C, Murugesan N, Viswanathan V, et. al. (2007). Increasing expenditure on health care incurred by diabetic subjects in a developing country: A study from India. *Diabetes Care* 2007, 30(2): pp. 252–256.

56. Debussche X, Debussche BM, Besançon S, Traore AS (2009). Challenges to diabetes self-management in developing countries. *Diabetes Voice* 2009, 54: pp. 12–14.

57. Ciechanowski PS, Katon WJ, Russo JE, Walker EA: The patient-provider relationship: attachment theory and adherence to treatment in diabetes. *Am J Psychiatry* 2001, 158(1): pp. 29–35.

58. Grant RW, Devita NG, Singer DE, Meigs JB (2003). Poly-pharmacy and medication adherence in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 26(5): pp. 1408–1412.

59. Chin MH, Cook S, Jin L, Drum ML, Harrison JF, Koppert J, et. al. (2001). Barriers to providing diabetes care in community health center. *Diabetes Care*, 24(2): pp. 268–274.

60. Nam S, Chesla C, Stotts NA, Kroon L, Janson SL (2011). Barriers to diabetes management: patient and provider factors. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2011, 93(1): pp. 1–9.

61. Preventative care practices among persons with diabetes - United States: 1995–2001. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.*, 51(43): pp. 965–970.

62. Anderson RM (1995). Patient empowerment and the traditional medical model: a case of irreconcilable differences? *Diabetes Care*, 18(3): pp. 412–415.

63. Sabina A B, Williams A, Wall HK, Bansal S, Chupp G, Kartz DL (2005). Yoga intervention for adults with mild to moderate asthma: A pilot study. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*, 94: pp. 543–8.

64. Gupta N, Khera S, Vempati RP, Sharma R, Bijlani RL (2006). Effect of yoga based lifestyle intervention on state and trait anxiety. *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol*, 50: pp. 41–7.

---

#### Corresponding Author

**Smita Singh\***

Research Scholar, Department of Nutrition, Shri Venkateshwara University