Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. 16, Issue No. 5, April-2019, ISSN 2230-7540

A Study on Suicide among Youth – Epidemiology, Potential, and Treatment

Kaippully Geetha Ramdas[1]* Dr. Sandeep Athya[2]

[1] Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, Shri Satya Sai University of Technology and Medical Sciences, Sehore, MP

[2] Professor, Department of Psychology, Shri Satya Sai University of Technology and Medical Sciences, Sehore, MP

Abstract – Suicide is a major death factor and a complicated psychiatric consequence. In this essay, we review the latest state of study in the field of adolescent suicide. We are looking at their definitions / maturations and phenomenologist, epidemiology, theoretical etiological and psychological processes. There is a lot to do to enhance the awareness of adolescent suicide ideas and behavior.

Key Words: Suicide, Risk Factor, Correlates

----------X----------

INTRODUCTION

Around 800,000 people die worldwide every year from suicide. Although suicide is a leading cause of death among all age ranges, youth are especially worried about suicide and actions for several causes. First of all, during early childhood and young adulthood the largest rise in the rate of suicidal attempts over a lifespan takes place. Second, suicide is higher in teenagers than in other age categories as a cause of death. In infancy and puberty, this is the second-most significant cause of death, while across all ages it is 10th largest cause of death. Thirdly, there are a number of individuals who have ever contemplated or sought suicide in their lives, because the lifetime age of suicide is normally before the mid-20s. Finally, mortality by suicide may be avoided by puberty, with several more life years theoretically preserved as a primary preventive tool. By learning more about how and when a likelihood of suicide exists in young people, we are able to have the potential for early intervention in this journey. Here we will study the literature's existing position on adolescent suicide thinking and behavior. The theories and actions of suicide include the ideation of suicides, suicidal attempts, and death by suicide. First of all, we characterize and explain each of these effects and outline their established epidemiology, causes, and associated care and preventive initiatives. The literature is significant, but still in its embryonic state on suicidal thinking and behaviors. [1]

POTENTIAL ETIOLOGY: RISK FACTORS AND CORRELATE

What is the manner in which suicidal thinking and behavior? What uniqueness causes young people to think about suicide and then act on their suicidal impulses to decide to bring an end to their lives? The simple response is that we don't know as much as we need to know at present. In the absence of laboratory design trials, this segment reflects on cultural, psychological and biological influences which cannot be taken as causal. We will therefore discuss associations and threats that have been seen at the same period (for the previous term) or later (for the present term) to be related to suicidal feelings and behaviors. The shifts precede and relate to shifts in suicidal attitudes and behaviors: they are separate from direct risk factors. This is what is actually understood regarding environmental, psychological and biological risks factors and their correspondence between suicidal thinking and actions. This is why this portion concerns possible (not actual) etiology [2]. Longitudinal trials that are more suitable for determining risk factors are granted particular consideration (Kraemer et al., 1997). The results are largely arranged by their degree of proof with results which are strongly supported by forward-looking research and multivariate analyses (i.e. indicate a single effect on subsequent suicidal thinking and behavior), and largely supported by transversal research and/or Bivariate correlations which classify as preliminary or moderate Mainly, the level of proof should not be equated with the scale of consequence, since all

these associations and risk factors essentially have very modest consequences.[3]

PHENOMENOLOGY

The following concepts of suicidal thinking and behavior are included in this study. The notion of suicide is a regard for or a willingness to end one's life. Usually, suicidal ideation varies from generally passive ideation to aggressive ideation Research utilizing self-reporting methods and real-time surveillance strategies have found that community-based youth who generally experience suicidal ideations are moderately inclined to do so, most mostly mild to moderate behavior. Attempted suicide is an activity designed to end one's life intentionally. The youngest form is usually injection, accompanied by suspense and sharp object. The most extreme type is injection or ingesting. Adolescents frequently intend to suicide in a scheme, yet a substantive small percentage of young people (20%-40%) intend to suicide in the absence of a strategy.[4] Suicidal death is an act of fatality, frequently decided by a medical inspector, a coroner or the proxy source, that will intentionally end their lives. Hanging / suffocation, poisoning or consumption and weapons are the most popular strategies among adolescents. In geographical areas, there are several distinct trends that are presumably correlated with differential access to lethal means. Such mortality is, for example, more likely in teenagers in countries with highly integrated rail networks by leaping in front of moving vehicles (e.g. trains). In comparison, in metropolitan regions like Hong Kong and Singapore, the typical practice of suicide through pesticide intake in more rural China is lower pesticide intake and higher medicine intakes.[5]

PREVENTION OF SUICIDE

Main avoidance is the perfect safety strategy that keeps individuals from being suicidal. The reduction of risk factors including depression, drug misuse, domestic violence, the existence of weapons, and social isolation are significant. The promotion of preventive factors including physical fitness, regular activity, sufficient food, and sufficient sleep should also be encouraged by physicians. Suicide is a significant move toward resolving teens' deaths toward order to discourage them from approaching a stage in their lives where suicide is the best solution to solve big problems. The answer for this avoidance are frequent reviews of the young person, in which the young person should be interviewed regarding different questions about his or her life, including depression , suicidal feelings, school disputes (e.g. bullying), home difficulties, child disputes and other issues. Interventions can concentrate on improving youth communication strategies, growing understanding of youth suicide by the group and parents and curtailing access to suicide means. Owing to barriers of mental wellbeing facilities for youth, primary care centres have become the de facto mental wellness hubs for this demographic. Researchers from the US, Canada and the United Kingdom are creating recommendations for teenage depression treatment in primary care and progress has also started on their introduction as pediatric practitioners[6]. Interview instruction is required for pediatric residents to learn how to interact with young people. Primary avoidance applies to depressed people's early diagnosis and recovery. Many depressed young adults are glad that they can connect with their suicide professionals and hear about support that stops them from hurting themselves. Providing youth assistance and knowing that besides suicide, alternatives are accessible is an essential move in lowering suicide rates in every region. A reality that half of young people under age 25 who committed suicide approached a general practitioner 3 months prior to death is important to highlight the position of medical practitioners. Suicide young adults have seen promising effects, though not statistically meaningful, by having transparent access to clinical resources. While suicidal adolescents often recommended in hospital, hospitalization alone does not deter suicide unnoticed unless it addresses the underlying issues. Suicide itself does not constitute a diagnosis but represents fundamental conflicts that must be rectified in order to avoid the ideation of suicide in young adults and subsequent suicide attempt. The clinician does not fear that informing young people about the likelihood of suicidal thinking contributes to suicide because in this case it is a prevention step[7].

Medicines (as antidepressants) also do not deter immediate suicide and do better treat some instances of depression in young adults over the years. The utilization of antipsychotic drugs to help improve psychosis will also support young adults who are depressed and suicidal. Suicidal behavior between teenagers may also be affected by a larger social background, namely by social tabuism, group role models and media attention. Medial depiction of intentional self-harm was related to an elevated likelihood of hospitalization during deliberate self-intoxication. It is necessary to track any suicidal young person closely, and evidence shows that most such young people might not be properly monitored such that their suicide risk increases.[8]

EPIDEMIOLOGY

Below we outline the known prevalence's, beginnings and trajectory of suicidal thinking and actions, and trends found in particular community categories of young people. More understood among adolescents in all parts of the world concerning suicidal thinking and behavior is focused on national surveys. The World Health

Organization (WHO) and cross-national study knowledge is given wherever necessary.[9]

Prevalence

Suicidal ideation frequency varies from 19.8% to 24.0% in young adults. Attempted suicide is less frequent, with lifetime prevalence between 3.1% and 8.8%. This is primarily related to other cross-border research. Suicide is the world's main cause of death (WHO, 2017), responsible for 8.5% of the estimated deaths of teenagers and young adults (15–29 years old). In post-Soviet countries the death suicide rate is surprisingly high: rates vary from 14.5 per 100,000 to 24.3 per 100,000 for teenagers and young adults, and rates vary from 0.3-2.8 per 100,000 for children and young adolescents. Countries with large youth suicide rates. Notably, adolescent patterns do not standardize general behaviors. for example, has a strong teenage suicide rate compared to other nations, but still has a comparatively low suicide rate. As an example, Hungary has a high overall suicide rate comparison to other nations, but a comparatively low suicide rate for young people. For young people as well as for general suicide rates.[10]

PSYCHOLOGICAL RISK FACTORS AND CORRELATES

Here are influential psychiatric correlates and contributing factors for young people 's suicidal feelings and behaviors. These mechanisms are divided into the fields of affective, cognitive and social functions, which are assessed mainly by self-reporting, behavior, which physiology. In the course of this study, affective mechanisms refer to morally true and often detrimental psychological causes. It further describes the consequences of a good influence (or lack of it) and of emotional control. Cognitive mechanisms concern impulse regulation (i.e. impulsivity) and choose prejudice in the retrieval of knowledge. Social interactions contribute to other-orientated neurological processes, like the degree experienced and intimate partnerships. In general , different degrees of proof were received of psychological processes. Negative mechanisms correlated with results were backed strongly (with significant exceptions) with modest support for influential cognitive and social mechanisms. New emphasis on social mechanisms Paper represented a turning point from the more conventional orientation on medical diagnoses on suicidal thinking and behaviors. This strategy portrays an environment that needs to be more thoroughly defined in the future.[11]

Affective processes: Evidence for negative mechanisms, based on the component of the harmful influence tested, varies from extreme to moderate. Good research confirms valuability and poor self-esteem as contributing factors for adolescent suicidal thinking and behaviour. Self-reported self-esteem3 and pessimistic self-referential thought, along with activity measurements, were shown to anticipate potential suicidal ideations and suicide attempts to regulate other symptoms, depression, and normal suicidal thoughts and behaviour. Neuroticism has detected related results. Other detrimental factors, such as hopelessness, might play a more complex role in predicting the thinking and behaviour of suicides. Multifaceted research trials affecting teens have also found that misery may be more distal to risk, as it does not predict suicide or seek to regulate baseline variables, such as the background of suicide attempts and depression. Whereas hopelessness does not only account for the frequency of suicidal thought and actions in a particular, set time-limit for youth, evolving literature stresses the function it may play in the recognition of the chronicity of suicidal thinking and action over time. This compares to more positive results in young adults. In fact, hopelessness was found to influence fundamental psychopathology in teens whose suicidal ideation stayed high over time relative to those who consistently embraced the subclinical suicidal ideal stage. There is encouraging and especially good evidence for positive affect-related mechanisms in the case of anhedonia or the absence of positive impact or failure to appreciate it. Based upon cross-sectional studies suggesting higher anhedonia levels in young suicide attempt than controls, it was also observed that anhedonia anticipated incidents that will occur from suicides (e.g. suicide attempt or suicide prevention intervention) and regulate the specific ideation of suicide, sexual assault and borderline personality disorder. Other facets of positive effects, including blunt incentives and encouraging learning defects, were evaluated through cross-sectional experiments using physiological and quantitative tests.[13]

Cognitive processes: A impulsive disposition, which has been gained limited encouragement as a risk factor for suicidal thinking and behaviour, is the most prevalent cognitive mechanism explored in youth literature. Treat impulsivity was found to predict suicidal thinking and suicide among teenagers and young adults, who were usually measured with the help of self-reporting tests. But tested in multivariable simulations, chosen results, including suicide intentions, and not suicidal ideas and attempts were still found to be predictive. Additional impulsivity trials were mostly cross-sectional and had conflicting findings.[14] These maps of adult literature indicate that the connection between impulsiveness and suicidal thinking alone is weak. However, when paired with violence it can be used as a more solid link with the main risk factor for impulsivity (i.e. impulsive violence). Impulsive violence has been demonstrated to predict family suicide risk transmission and reinforces evidence supporting rage and violence as a potential suicide risk factor , especially among male adolescents. Efforts to explain pulse violence

and to investigate their correlation with similar structures have been promoted.[15]

Social processes: Interpersonal communication (e.g. solitude) is one of the most popular social mechanisms tested longitudinally. Given its comparatively broad publicity, isolation as a direct and near-risk factor for suicidal ideation and trials during adolescence remains modest evidence. Bivariate forward-looking models indicate an essential association over time, but multivariate potential models reveal that the impact of soiling may be influenced by psychopathology on suicidal thinking and actions during adolescence. In particular in cases where isolation plays a more important role , for example, the stabilization in life, particularly in early adulthood, of the interaction between social anxiety and subsequent suicidal ideation during adolescence. Relevant elements of interpersonal suicide theory such as thwartedness and perceptual burden-boringness have found to predict suicidal thinking and behavior in young adults with regard to isolation. In specific, thwarted connection was seen to interfere with the developed capacity to anticipate suicide attempts in teenagers and the presumed pressure of male suicide was shown to interfere with the acquired capacity. It promotes ongoing analysis of the gender implications and the relationship across social and other psychological systems. Critically essential for preserving interpersonal ties are social interactions and reactions. Innovative study in this field was begun, but most were carried out by cross-sectional trials and stayed timely. One illustration in the field of social contact is that among teenage suicide attempters distinct trends of prosodium and associated voice quality were found (for illustration, breathable voice quality). The introduction of machine learning methods to the complex components of prosody and expression.

CONCLUSION

In brief, in the field of epidemiology, alternative etiological causes and therapy and prevention, the research on the suicide of the youth has made substantial strides. The research has currently established a firm and progressively international framework for awareness about suicidal thinking and behavioral epidemiology; determined defined cultural, psychological and biological risk factors; and took promising measures forward in the creation and initiation of experimental approaches and preventive strategies. Particularly, the gaps are sorely essential.

REFERENCES

1. Palmer BA, Pankratz VS, Bostwick JM (2005). The lifetime risk of suicide in schizophrenia—a reexamination. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 62: pp. 247–53. DOI: 10.1001/archpsyc.62.3.247

2. Cooper J, Kapur N, Webb R, Lawlor M, Guthrie E, MackwayJones K, et. al. (2005). Suicide after deliberate self-harm: a 4-year cohort study. Am J Psychiatry, 162: pp. 297–303. DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp. 162.2.297

3. Apter A, Wasserman D. (2006). Adolescent attempted suicide. In: King R, Apter A, editors. Suicide in Children and Adolescents. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 63–85.

4. Gould M, Shaffer D, Greenberg T. (2006). The epidemiology of youth suicide. In: King R, Apter A, editors. Suicide in Children and Adolescents. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 1–40.

5. Brent D, Mann J (2006). Familial factors in adolescent suicidal behaviour. In: King R, Apter A, editors. Suicide in Children and Adolescents. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 86–117.

6. Portzky G, Audenaert K, van Heeringen K. (2005). Suicide among adolescents. A psychological autopsy study of psychiatric, psychosocial and personalityrelated risk factors. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol., 40: pp. 922–30. DOI: 10.1007/s00127-005-0977-x

7. Bondy B, Buettner A, Zill P. (2006). Genetics of suicide. Mol Psychiatry 11: pp. 336–51. DOI: 10.1038/sj.mp.4001803

8. Agerbo E, Nordentoft M, Mortensen PB (2002). Familial, psychiatric, and socioeconomic risk factors for suicide in young people: nested case-control study. BMJ 325: pp. 74–77. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.325.7355.74

9. Gould M, Fisher P, Parides M, Flory M, Shaffer D. (1996). Psychosocial risk factors of child and adolescent completed suicide. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 53: pp. 1155–62.

10. Im Y, Oh WO, Suk M. (2017). Risk Factors for suicide ideation among adolescents: five-year national data analysis. Arch Psychiatr Nurs. 31: pp. 282–6. DOI: 10.1016/j.apnu.2017.01.001

11. Spirito A, Esposito-Smythers C. (2006). Attempted and completed suicide in adolescence. Ann Rev Clin Psychol. 2: pp. 237–66. DOI:

10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.2.022305.095323

12. Amitai M, Apter A. (2012). Social aspects of suicidal behavior and prevention in early life: a review. Int J Environ Res Public Healt. 9: pp. 985–94. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph9030985

13. Soole R, Kõlves K, De Leo D. (2015). Suicide in children: a systematic review. Arch Suicide Res. 19:285–304. DOI: 10.1080/13811118.2014.996694

14. Cheng A, Chen T, Chen C, Jenkins R. (2000). Psychosocial and psychiatric risk factors for suicide. Br J Psychiatry, 177: pp. 360–5. DOI: 10.1192/bjp.177.4.360

15. Klomek AB, Sourander A, Niemela S, Kumpulainen K, Piha J, Tamminen T, et. al. (2009). Childhood bullying behaviors as a risk for suicide attempts and completed suicides: A population-based birth cohort study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry, 48: pp. 254–61. DOI: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e318196b91f

16. Pirkis J, Mok K., Robinson J, Nordentoft M. (2016). Media influences on suicidal thouthts and behaviors. In: O'Connor RC, Perkis J, editors. International Handbook of Suicide Prevention, 2nd Edn, Chichester: John Wiley & Sons. pp. 745–58.

Corresponding Author

Kaippully Geetha Ramdas*

Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, Shri Satya Sai University of Technology and Medical Sciences, Sehore, MP