

# Solution of Fractional Impulsive Problem under Power Law

Dr. R. Prahalatha<sup>1\*</sup> Dr. M. M. Shanmugapriya<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, PG Department of Mathematics, Vellalar College for Women (Autonomous)

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor & Head, Department of Mathematics, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education

**Abstract – This paper is basically concerned with finding the solution using formulae, existence and uniqueness of solutions of impulsive fractional differential equations with ABC (Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo) fractional derivative with non-singular Mittag-Leffler kernel. Our examination depends on non-singular fractional analysis and few techniques of fixed-point theory. An example is illustrated to clarify the proved concepts.**

-----X-----

## INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus has taken a notable sector of inspection on real life problems with its tremendous application in science and engineering. It has been extended because of global character of the fractional operator, which relates the reminiscence and it authorizes to learn an adjacent look at the vital behavior and inherited things of the relevant phenomena. We referred the monographs [1-3] to know about current development in such field.

The purpose of fractional derivative with power law base in the sense of Riemann Liouville was established. A modern fractional derivative is added has suggested by Caputo-Fabrizio [4] depending on the augmented kernel. To through away troubles related to Caputo-Fabrizio's, a new change in version of a fractional derivative with non-singular and global kernel of Mittag-Leffler function (MLF) have been introduced by Atangana and Baleanu (AB) in [5]. Generalization of MLF is noted and used as non-singular and global kernel in later on extension but it does not assure singularity. Also, the ABC derivatives comes up with a magnificent memory description [6-9]. Recently the authors [10-14] deliberated inquisitive and numerical solution for few fractional models by means of AB fractional derivative with global trouble-free kernel.

We display the nature and uniqueness of solutions to impulsive fractional differential equations with initial and global conditions in this article.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} {}^{ABC}D_{[\rho]}^a \xi(\rho) &= h(\rho, \xi(\rho)), \quad \rho \in \Omega = [0, S], \quad \rho \neq \rho_m; \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ \Delta \xi|_{\rho=\rho_m} &= I_m \xi(\rho_m^-), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ \xi(0) &= \xi_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} {}^{ABC}D_{[\rho]}^a \xi(\rho) &= h(\rho, \xi(\rho)), \quad \rho \in \Omega = [0, S], \quad \rho \neq \rho_m; \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ \Delta \xi|_{\rho=\rho_m} &= I_m \xi(\rho_m^-), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ \xi(0) + f(\xi) &= \xi_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

Where  $0 < a \leq 1$ ,  ${}^{ABC}D_{[\rho]}^a$  refers the Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo (ABC) fractional derivative of order  $a$ ,  $h: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$  is a given non-discontinuous function. Moreover,  $h(0, \xi(0)) = 0$  and also disappears at impulse points  $\rho_m, m = 1, 2, \dots, n, I_m: R \rightarrow R, m = 1, 2, \dots, n, \xi_0 \in R, \rho_m$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \rho_0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < \dots < \rho_n < \rho_{n+1} = \rho \\ \Delta \xi|_{\rho=\rho_m} &= \xi(\rho_m^+) - \xi(\rho_m^-) = \xi(\rho_m^+) - \xi(\rho_m) \\ \xi(\rho_m^+) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \xi(\rho_m + h), \quad \xi(\rho_m^-) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \xi(\rho_m + h) \end{aligned}$$

Represents the right and left limits of  $\xi(\rho)$  at  $\rho = \rho_m$  and  $f: PC(\Omega, R) \rightarrow R$  is given function.

Also  $[\rho] = \rho_m$  if  $\rho \in (\rho_m, \rho_{m+1}], m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \& \rho_0 = 0$ . By reference from Theorem 3.11 of [24], we should have standard condition  $h(0, \xi(0)) = 0$  to fix the starting data for solution. By the previous referred articles, there are only few articles on Cauchy problems for ABC impulsive fractional differential equations.

The main part of this paper is to derive formula of solutions for types of impulsive fractional differential equations with ABC fractional operators. Already we proved existence and uniqueness theorem theorems by few fixed-point theorems of Banach space, Kransosekliskii, Schader and

Schafer for suggested problems taken in previous papers [13-31]. We grasped that the equality  $h(0, \xi(0)) = h(\rho_m, \xi(\rho_m)) = 0$  ( $m=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is mandatory to assure a unique solution.

This paper is divided as follows:

Section 1 deals with survey of literature.

Section 2 comprises basic definitions, fundamental lemmas and theorems.

The suggested formulae for taken problem is established in section 3.

The existence of unique solution for Cauchy problem and global Cauchy problem are acquired in 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> section.

Finally, examples are stated and proved to the affirmation of our results.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Consider the space

$$PC(\Omega, R) = \left\{ \xi : \Omega \rightarrow R; \quad \xi \in C(\rho_m, \rho_{m+1}], R; \quad m=0, 1, 2, \dots, n+1 \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \xi(\rho_m^+) \text{ \& } \xi(\rho_m^-) \text{ exist for } m=1, 2, \dots, n \text{ with } \xi(\rho_m^-) = \xi(\rho_m^+) \right\}.$$

The space  $PC(\Omega, R)$  is a complete normed linear space with the norm  $\|\xi\|_{pc} = \max_{\rho \in \Omega} |\xi(\rho)|$ . Let  $\Omega = [0, S]$  and  $\Omega' := \Omega \setminus \{\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n\}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [5,27] Let  $a \in [0, 1]$  and  $\eta \in H^1(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\alpha < \beta$ . Then the left AB Caputo and AB Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order  $a$  for a function  $\eta$  are defined by

$${}^{ABC}D_a^a \eta(\rho) = \frac{M(a)}{1-a} \int_a^\rho E_a \left( \frac{-a}{a-1} (\rho-\varphi)^a \right) \eta'(\varphi) d\varphi, \quad \rho > \alpha$$

and

$${}^{ABR}D_a^a \eta(\rho) = \frac{M(a)}{1-a} \frac{d}{d\rho} \int_a^\rho E_a \left( \frac{-a}{a-1} (\rho-\varphi)^a \right) \eta'(\varphi) d\varphi, \quad \rho > \alpha,$$

respectively, where  $M(a)$  is a function with normalization convinces the results  $M(0) = M(1) = 1$  and  $E_a$  is called the MLF defined by

$$E_a(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{\Gamma(ja+1)}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(a) > 0, \quad x \in C. \quad (2.3)$$

**Definition 2.2.** [5,27] Let  $a \in (0, 1]$  and  $\eta \in L^1(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then the left AB fractional integral of order  $a$  for a function  $\eta$  is denoted by

$${}^{AB}I_a^a \eta(\rho) = \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \eta(\rho) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_a^\rho (\rho-\varphi)^{a-1} \eta(\varphi) d\varphi, \quad \rho > \alpha.$$

**Definition 2.3.** [5] The ABC fractional derivative with its Laplace transformation is defined by

$$L[{}^{ABC}D_a^a \eta(\rho)] = \frac{M(a)}{t^a (1-a) + a} [t^a L[\eta(\rho)] - t^{a-1} \eta(\alpha)]$$

where  $L$  is the Laplace transform initiating from  $\alpha$  defined by

$$L\{h(s)\}(t) = \int_a^\infty e^{-t(s-a)} h(s) ds$$

**Definition 2.4.** [29] Let  $X$  be a complete normed linear space. Then the operator  $\Pi: X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction if  $\|\Pi x_1 - \Pi x_2\| \leq \kappa \|x_1 - x_2\|$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $0 < \kappa < 1$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A function  $\xi \in PC(\Omega, R)$  is a solution of (1.1) if  $\xi$  convinces the equation  ${}^{ABC}D_{[\rho]}^a \xi(\rho) = h(\rho, \xi(\rho))$  on  $\Omega'$  and conditions

$$\Delta \xi|_{\rho=\rho_m} = I_m \xi(\rho^-), \quad m=1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } \xi(0) = \xi_0.$$

To prove the main concept of this paper, we need to remember the following lemmas and theorems which is proved already.

**Lemma 2.1.** [28] Let  $\eta \in H^1(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\beta > \alpha$ , such that the ABC fractional derivative exists. Then we have  ${}^{ABC}D_a^a {}^{AB}I_a^a \eta(\rho) = \eta(\rho)$  and  ${}^{AB}I_a^a {}^{ABC}D_a^a \eta(\rho) = \eta(\rho) - \eta(\alpha)$  for  $0 < a \leq 1$ . Also,  ${}^{ABC}D_a^a \eta(\rho) = 0$  if  $\eta(\rho)$  is a constant.

**Lemma 2.2.** [24,28] For  $a \in [0, 1]$ , the solution of the following problem

$${}^{ABC}D_a^a \eta(\rho) = \omega(\rho); \quad \eta(\alpha) = \eta_0$$

is given by

$$\eta(\rho) = \eta_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \omega(\rho) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_a^\rho (\rho-\varphi)^{a-1} \omega(\varphi) d\varphi. \quad (2.4)$$

**Theorem 2.1.** [29] Let  $X$  be a complete normed linear space and  $A$  be a non-empty closed subset of  $X$ . If  $\Pi: A \rightarrow A$  is a contraction, then there exists a unique fixed point of  $\Pi$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [29] Let  $X$  be a complete normed linear space and  $\Pi: X \rightarrow X$  be a non-discontinuous and compact mapping (completely continuous). Suppose

$T = \{y \in X : y = \lambda \Pi y \text{ for } \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$  be a bounded set.

Then  $\Pi$  has at least one fixed point in  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** [29] Let  $B$  be a non-empty, convex, closed subset of a complete normed linear space  $X$  and let  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  be two operators, such that

- (i)  $\phi_1 a + \phi_2 b \in B \quad \forall a, b \in B$
- (ii)  $\phi_1$  is compact and continuous
- (iii)  $\phi_2$  is a contraction mapping. Then there exist  $\omega \in B$  such that  $\phi_1 \omega + \phi_2 \omega = \omega$ .

### 3. FORMULAE DERIVATION FOR REPRESENTED PROBLEM

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $a \in (0, 1]$  and let  $v: \Omega \rightarrow R$  be continuous with  $v(0) = 0$  and also disappears at hasty points  $\rho_m$ , for  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . A function  $\xi \in PC(\Omega, R)$  is a solution of the fractional integral equation

$$\xi(\rho) = \begin{cases} \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} v(\rho) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\rho (\rho-\varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi, & \text{if } \rho \in [0, \rho_1], \\ \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} v(\rho_i) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \int_{\rho_{i-1}}^{\rho_i} (\rho_i - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_m}^\rho (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi + \sum_{i=1}^m I_i \xi(\rho_i^-), & \text{if } \rho \in (\rho_m, \rho_{m+1}], m = 1, \dots, n \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

If and only if  $\xi$  is a solution of the impulsive ABC-fractional FDE

$${}^{ABC}D_{[\rho]}^a \xi(\rho) = v(\rho), \quad \rho \in \Omega' := \Omega \setminus \{\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_m\} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\Delta \xi|_{\rho=\rho_m} = I_m \xi(\rho_m^-), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\xi(0) = \xi_0. \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$[\rho] = \rho_m \text{ if } \rho \in (\rho_m, \rho_{m+1}], m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } \rho_0 = 0.$$

Proof. By lemma 2.1, we can prove this again by assuming  $\xi$  satisfies (3.6)-(3.8).

If  $\rho \in [0, \rho_1]$ , then  ${}^{ABC}D_{[\rho]}^a \xi(\rho) = v(\rho)$ ,  $[\rho] = 0$ .

Using lemma (2.1), we have

$$\xi(\rho) = \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} v(\rho) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\rho (\rho-\varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi.$$

This implies

$$\xi(\rho_1^-) = \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} v(\rho_1) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\rho_1} (\rho_1 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi$$

By impulse  $\xi(\rho_1^-) = \xi(\rho_1^+) - I_1 \xi(\rho_1^-)$ , we get

$$\xi(\rho_1^+) = \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} v(\rho_1) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\rho_1} (\rho_1 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi + I_1 \xi(\rho_1^-)$$

If  $\rho \in (\rho_1, \rho_2]$ , then  $v$  vanishes at  $\rho_1$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\rho) &= \xi(\rho_1^+) + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} v(\rho) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_1}^\rho (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &= \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} [v(\rho_1) + v(\rho)] + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\rho_1} (\rho_1 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad + I_1 \xi(\rho_1^-) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_1}^\rho (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\rho_2^-) &= \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} [v(\rho_1) + v(\rho_2)] + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\rho_1} (\rho_1 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad + I_1 \xi(\rho_1^-) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} (\rho_2 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \end{aligned}$$

By impulse  $\xi(\rho_2^-) = \xi(\rho_2^+) - I_2 \xi(\rho_2^-)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\rho_2^+) &= \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} [v(\rho_1) + v(\rho_2)] + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\rho_1} (\rho_1 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} (\rho_2 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi + I_1 \xi(\rho_1^-) + I_2 \xi(\rho_2^-) \end{aligned}$$

If  $\rho \in (\rho_2, \rho_3]$ , then  $v$  vanishes at  $\rho_2$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\rho) &= \xi(\rho_2^+) + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} v(\rho) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_2}^\rho (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &= \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} [v(\rho_2) + v(\rho_1) + v(\rho)] + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\rho_1} (\rho_1 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} (\rho_2 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_2}^\rho (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad + I_1 \xi(\rho_1^-) + I_2 \xi(\rho_2^-) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\rho_3^-) &= \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} [v(\rho_1) + v(\rho_2) + v(\rho_3)] + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\rho_1} (\rho_1 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} (\rho_2 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_2}^{\rho_3} (\rho_3 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad + I_1 \xi(\rho_1^-) + I_2 \xi(\rho_2^-) \end{aligned}$$

By impulse  $\xi(\rho_3^-) = \xi(\rho_3^+) - I_3 \xi(\rho_3^-)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\rho_3^+) &= \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} [v(\rho_1) + v(\rho_2) + v(\rho_3)] + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\rho_1} (\rho_1 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} (\rho_2 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_2}^{\rho_3} (\rho_3 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad + I_1 \xi(\rho_1^-) + I_2 \xi(\rho_2^-) + I_3 \xi(\rho_3^-) \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding like this, we get the general expression as given below:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\rho_m^+) &= \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} [v(\rho_1) + v(\rho_2) + v(\rho_3) + \dots + v(\rho_m)] \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \left[ \int_0^{\rho_1} (\rho_1 - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi + \dots + \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi \right] \\ &\quad + I_1 \xi(\rho_1^-) + I_2 \xi(\rho_2^-) + I_3 \xi(\rho_3^-) + \dots + I_m \xi(\rho_m^-) \end{aligned}$$

For  $\rho \in (\rho_m, \rho_{m+1}]$ ,  $[\rho] = \rho_m$  and hence we get the solution

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(\rho) &= \xi(\rho_m^+) + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \left[ v(\rho) \right] + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho} (\rho-\varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi + \\ &= \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \sum_{i=1}^m v(\rho_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m I_i \xi(\rho_i^-) \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\rho_{i-1}}^{\rho_i} (\rho_i - \varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho} (\rho-\varphi)^{a-1} v(\varphi) d\varphi\end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.5) is satisfied.

Conversely assume that  $\xi$  satisfies the equation (1.1).

If  $\rho \in [0, \rho_1]$ , then  $v(0) = 0$ ,  $\xi(0) = \xi_0$ . By the concept that  ${}^{ABC}D_a^\alpha$  is the left inverse of  ${}^{AB}I_a^\alpha$ . By using lemma 2.1, we get  ${}^{ABC}D_0^\alpha \xi(\rho) = v(\rho)$ ,  $\rho \in [0, \rho_1]$ .

If  $\rho \in [\rho_m, \rho_{m+1})$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and by the fact that  ${}^{ABC}D_{[\rho]}^\alpha \eta(\cdot) = 0$ , where  $\eta(\cdot)$  is a constant function, we obtain  ${}^{ABC}D_{[\rho]}^\alpha \xi(\rho) = v(\rho)$ , for each  $\rho \in [\rho_m, \rho_{m+1})$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Hence  $\xi(\rho_m^+) - \xi(\rho_m^-) = I_m \xi(\rho_m^-)$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

#### 4. CAUCHY PROBLEM

We need the following hypothesis to prove our results,

(A1)  $|h(\rho, \theta) - h(\rho, \theta^*)| \leq L_h |\theta - \theta^*|$ , for each  $\rho \in \Omega$ ,  $\theta, \theta^* \in R$  and a constant  $L_h > 0$ .

(A2) The function  $I_m : R \rightarrow R$  are continuous and there exist a constant  $L_j > 0$  such that

$$|J_m(\theta) - J_m(\theta^*)| \leq L_j |\theta - \theta^*|, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad \& \quad \theta, \theta^* \in R.$$

(A3) There exist  $\lambda \in C(\Omega, R)$  such that  $|h(\rho, \theta)| \leq \lambda(\rho)$ , for each  $(\rho, \xi) \in \Omega \times R$ .

(A4) There exist  $N > 0$  such that  $|I_m(\theta)| \leq N$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $\theta \in R$ .

Now let us prove main results.

##### Theorem 4.1.

Assume  $h : \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$  is continuous. If (A1) and (A2) hold with

$$\mu L_h + n L_I < 1, \quad (4.9)$$

then the impulsive ABC – fractional FDE (1.1) has a solution which is unique on  $\Omega$ , where

$$\mu := \frac{(1-a)n}{M(a)} + \frac{\rho^a(n+1)}{M(a)\Gamma(a)}. \quad (4.10)$$

**Proof:** By lemma 2.3, define the mapping  $B : PC(\Omega, R) \rightarrow PC(\Omega, R)$  by

$$\begin{aligned}B\xi(\rho) &= \xi_0 + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} h(\rho_m, \xi(\rho_m)) + \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} I_m \xi(\rho_m^-) \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho} (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) d\varphi\end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Let

$$A_l = \left\{ \xi \in PC(\Omega, R) : \|\xi\|_{PC} \leq l \right\} \text{ with } l \geq \frac{|\xi_0| + \mu \Lambda_h}{1 - [\mu L_h + n L_I]}.$$

Let  $\max_{\varphi \in \Omega} |h(\varphi, 0)| = \Lambda_h$ . From (A1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}|h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi))| &\leq |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) - h(\varphi, 0)| + |h(\varphi, 0)| \\ &\leq L_h |\xi| + \Lambda_h \leq L_h l + \Lambda_h\end{aligned}$$

To prove  $B$  has a fixed point, for that we need to show that  $B A_l \subset A_l$ . For  $\xi \in A_l$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|B\xi\| &= \max_{\rho \in \Omega} |B\xi(\rho)| \leq |\xi_0| + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} h(\rho_m, \xi(\rho_m)) + \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} I_m \xi(\rho_m^-) \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho} (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) d\varphi \\ \|B\xi\| &\leq |\xi_0| + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} n [L_h l + \Lambda_h] + n L_I l + \frac{\rho^a}{M(a)\Gamma(a)} (n+1) [L_h l + \Lambda_h] \\ &= |\xi_0| + \mu \Lambda_h + [\mu L_h + n L_I] l \\ &\leq l [1 - (\mu L_h + n L_I)] + l (\mu L_h + n L_I) = l\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $B$  maps  $A_l$  into itself.

Next, we have to show that  $B$  is a contraction on  $PC(\Omega, R)$ . Let  $\xi, \xi^* \in PC(\Omega, R)$  and  $\rho \in \Omega$ .

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|B\xi - B\xi^*\| &= \max_{\rho \in \Omega} |B\xi(\rho) - B\xi^*(\rho)| \\ \|B\xi - B\xi^*\| &\leq \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |h(\rho_m, \xi(\rho_m)) - h(\rho_m, \xi^*(\rho_m))| \\ &\quad + \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |I_m \xi(\rho_m^-) - I_m \xi^*(\rho_m^-)| \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) - h(\varphi, \xi^*(\varphi))| d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho} (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) - h(\varphi, \xi^*(\varphi))| d\varphi\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{\xi} - B_{\xi}^*\| &\leq \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} nL_h \|\xi(\rho) - \xi^*(\rho)\| + \max_{\rho \in \Omega} nL_l \|\xi(\rho) - \xi^*(\rho)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} L_h \|\xi(\varphi) - \xi^*(\varphi)\| d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho} (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} L_h \|\xi(\varphi) - \xi^*(\varphi)\| d\varphi \\ \|B_{\xi} - B_{\xi}^*\| &\leq \frac{(1-a)^n}{M(a)} L_h \|\xi - \xi^*\| + nL_l \|\xi - \xi^*\| + \frac{\rho^n (n+1)}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} L_h \|\xi - \xi^*\| \\ &= (\mu L_h + nL_l) \|\xi - \xi^*\| \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (4.9) shows that  $B$  is contraction on  $PC(\Omega, R)$ . It is proved that the impulsive fractional differential equation with ABC (1.1) has a solution which is unique.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose  $h: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$  is continuous and assume (A3) and (A4) hold. Then there exists at least one solution for fractional differential equations with ABC fractional derivative and impulse condition on  $\Omega$ .

**Step 1:** To show that  $B: PC(\Omega, R) \rightarrow PC(\Omega, R)$  is compact.

Since  $h$  and  $I_m$  are continuous, we have to verify that  $B$  is continuous.

Let  $A_i = \{\xi \in PC(\Omega, R) : \|\xi\|_{PC} \leq l_i\}$  be a set(ball) with  $l_i = |\xi_0| + \mu\lambda_0 + nN + 1$ .

Where  $\lambda_0 = \sup_{\rho \in \Omega} |\lambda(\rho)|$  and  $\mu$  is given by (4.10). For  $\xi \in A_i$  and  $\rho \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{\xi}\| &= \max_{\rho \in \Omega} |B_{\xi}(\rho)| \leq |\xi_0| + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |h(\rho_m, \xi(\rho_m))| \\ &\quad + \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |I_m \xi(\rho_m)| + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi))| d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho} (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi))| d\varphi \\ &\leq |\xi_0| + \mu\lambda_0 + nN \leq l_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $B(A_i)$  is uniformly bounded.

Next, we have to prove  $B$  maps bounded sets into equicontinuous set of  $PC(\Omega, R)$ .

By (A3), Let us fix  $\sup_{(\rho, \xi) \in \Omega \times A_i} |h(\rho, \xi)| = h_0$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{\xi}(\rho_2) - B_{\xi}(\rho_1)\| &\leq \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \left| \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho_2} (\rho_2 - \varphi)^{a-1} h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) d\varphi - \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho_1} (\rho_1 - \varphi)^{a-1} h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) d\varphi \right| \\ &\leq \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho_2} (\rho_2 - \varphi)^{a-1} |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi))| d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho_1} [(\rho_1 - \varphi)^{a-1} - (\rho_2 - \varphi)^{a-1}] |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi))| d\varphi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{h_0}{\Gamma(a)} \left[ 2(\rho_2 - \rho_1)^a + (\rho_1 - \rho_m)^a - (\rho_2 - \rho_m)^a \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{M(a)} \frac{h_0}{\Gamma(a)} (\rho_2 - \rho_1)^a \end{aligned}$$

As  $\rho_1 \rightarrow \rho_2$ ,  $\|B_{\xi}(\rho_2) - B_{\xi}(\rho_1)\| \rightarrow 0$ , that is  $B(A_i)$  relatively compact for  $\rho \in \Omega$ .

The operator  $B$  is compact on  $A_i$  by Arzela Ascoli theorem.

**Step 2:** To prove that the set  $T = \{\xi \in PC(\Omega, R) : \xi = \gamma B_{\xi} \text{ for some } \gamma \in (0, 1)\}$  is bounded.

Let  $\xi \in T$ . Then  $\xi = \gamma B_{\xi}$  for some  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ . Hence for  $\rho \in \Omega$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi(\rho)| &< |B_{\xi}(\rho)| \leq |\xi_0| + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |h(\rho_m, \xi(\rho_m))| \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |I_m \xi(\rho_m)| + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi))| d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_m}^{\rho} (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi))| d\varphi \\ &\leq |\xi_0| + \frac{1-a}{N(a)} n\lambda_0 + nN + \frac{\rho^n}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} (n+1)\lambda_0 \\ &= |\xi_0| + \mu\lambda_0 + nN \end{aligned}$$

For every  $\rho \in \Omega$ , we get  $\|\xi\|_{PC} \leq |\xi_0| + \mu\lambda_0 + nN = R$ . Hence  $T$  is bounded.

That is  $B$  has a fixed point which is a solution of ABC-fractional differential equation (1.1)

## 5. NON-LOCAL CAUCHY PROBLEM OF ABC-FDE:

Finally, we have to prove existence of solution for the impulsive FDE with ABC fractional derivative.

Let  $f$  satisfying the following condition

(A5)  $f: PC(\Omega, R) \rightarrow R$  is continuous and there exists a constant  $0 < L_f < 1$  such that

$$|f(\theta) - f(\theta^*)| \leq L_f |\theta - \theta^*| \text{ for all } \theta, \theta^* \in PC(\Omega, R).$$

**Theorem 5.1.** Suppose  $g: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$  is continuous and assume (A1), (A2) and (A5) hold. If

$$(L_f + \mu L_h + nL_l) < 1 \quad (5.12)$$

where  $\mu$  is given by (4.10), then ABC-FDE with impulse condition has a unique solution on  $\Omega$ .

**Proof:** Define the non-linear mapping  $B^*: PC(\Omega, R) \rightarrow PC(\Omega, R)$  as follows



$$\begin{aligned}
 B^* \xi(\rho) \leq & \xi_0 - f(\xi) + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} h(\rho_m, \xi(\rho_m)) \\
 & + \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} I_m \xi(\rho_m^-) + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) d\varphi \quad (5.13) \\
 & + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_n}^{\rho} (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi))| d\varphi
 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $B^*$  has a fixed point if and only if the ABC-FDE with impulse condition (1.2) has a solution. Choose

$$L^* \geq \frac{|\xi_0| + |f(0)| + \mu L_h}{1 - (L_f + \mu L_h + n L_f)}$$

From hypothesis (A5), it is simple to verify that  $B^* A_f \subset A_f$ ,

where

$$A_f = \left\{ \xi \in PC(\Omega, R) : \|\xi\|_{PC} \leq L^* \right\}.$$

Next, we have to prove that  $B^*$  is a contraction.

Let  $\xi, \xi^* \in PC(\Omega, R)$  and  $\rho \in \Omega$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|B^*(\xi) - B^*(\xi^*)\| &= \max_{\rho \in \Omega} |B^*(\xi)(\rho) - B^*(\xi^*)(\rho)| \\
 &\leq \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \left| f(\xi(\rho)) - f(\xi^*(\rho)) \right| + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |h(\rho_m, \xi(\rho_m)) - h(\rho_m, \xi^*(\rho_m))| \\
 &\quad + \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |I_m \xi(\rho_m^-) - I_m \xi^*(\rho_m^-)| \\
 &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) - h(\varphi, \xi^*(\varphi))| d\varphi \\
 \|B^*(\xi) - B^*(\xi^*)\| &\leq L_f \|\xi - \xi^*\| + \frac{(1-a)^n}{M(a)} L_h \|\xi - \xi^*\| + n L_f \|\xi - \xi^*\| + \frac{\rho^a (n+1)}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} L_h \|\xi - \xi^*\| \\
 &= (L_f + \mu L_h + n L_f) \|\xi - \xi^*\|
 \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (5.12) proves that  $B^*$  is contraction on  $PC(\Omega, R)$ . Then the ABC-FDE with impulse condition has a unique solution.

**Theorem 5.2.** Suppose  $h: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$  is continuous and let (A1) to (A5) hold. If

$$\left( L_f + n L_f + \frac{(1-a)n}{M(a)} L_h \right) < 1, \quad (5.14)$$

Then the global ABC-FDE (1.2) with impulse condition has a solution on given domain.

**Proof:** Let the operator  $B^*: PC(\Omega, R) \rightarrow PC(\Omega, R)$  defined by (5.13).

Define the operator  $B_1^*$  &  $B_2^*$  on  $A_f$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_1^*(\xi(\rho)) &= \xi_0 - f(\xi) + \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} I_m \xi(\rho_m^-) + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} h(\rho_m, \xi(\rho_m)) \\
 B_2^*(\xi(\rho)) &= \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) d\varphi \\
 &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{\rho_n}^{\rho} (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi)) d\varphi
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $B^* = B_1^* + B_2^*$ . Let the ball  $Al_0 = \{ \xi \in PC(\Omega, R) : \|\xi\|_{PC} \leq l_0 \}$  and

$$l_0^* \geq \frac{|\xi_0| + |f(0)| + \mu \lambda_0 + n N}{1 - L_f}$$

For  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Al_0$ , we obtain  $\|B_1^* \xi_1 + B_2^* \xi_2\| \leq \|B_1^* \xi_1\| + \|B_2^* \xi_2\|$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|B_1^* \xi_1 + B_2^* \xi_2\| &= \max_{\rho \in \Omega} |B_1^* \xi_1(\rho)| + \max_{\rho \in \Omega} |B_2^* \xi_2(\rho)| \\
 &\leq |\xi_0| + |f(0)| + L_f \max_{\rho \in \Omega} |\xi_1(\rho)| + \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |I_m \xi_1(\rho_m^-)| \\
 &\quad + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |h(\rho_m, \xi_1(\rho_m))| \\
 &\quad + \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \left\{ \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} h(\varphi, \xi_2(\varphi)) d\varphi + \int_{\rho_n}^{\rho} (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} h(\varphi, \xi_2(\varphi)) d\varphi \right\} \\
 \|B_1^* \xi_1 + B_2^* \xi_2\| &\leq |\xi_0| + |f(0)| + L_f l_0 + \frac{(1-a)n}{M(a)} \lambda_0 + n N + \frac{\rho^a (n+1)}{M(a) \Gamma(a)} \lambda_0 \\
 &= |\xi_0| + |f(0)| + L_f l_0 + \mu \lambda_0 + n N \leq l_0
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $B_1^* \xi_1 + B_2^* \xi_2 \in Al_0$ . For any  $\rho \in \Omega$  &  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in PC(\Omega, R)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|B_1^* \xi_1 - B_1^* \xi_2\| &= \max_{\rho \in \Omega} |B_1^* \xi_1(\rho) - B_1^* \xi_2(\rho)| \\
 &\leq \max_{\rho \in \Omega} |f(\xi_1(\rho)) - f(\xi_2(\rho))| + \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |I_m \xi_1(\rho_m^-) - I_m \xi_2(\rho_m^-)| \\
 &\quad + \frac{1-a}{M(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} |h(\rho_m, \xi_1(\rho_m)) - h(\rho_m, \xi_2(\rho_m))| \\
 &\leq L_f \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| + n L_f \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| + \frac{(1-a)n}{M(a)} L_h \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| + \left( L_f + \frac{(1-a)n}{M(a)} L_h + n L_f \right) \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|
 \end{aligned}$$

From (5.14),  $B_1^*$  is a contraction mapping.

Now let us show that  $B_2^*$  is continuous and compact.  $h$  is continuous  $\Rightarrow B_2^*$  is continuous.

Also  $B_2^*$  is uniformly bounded on  $Al_0$  because for  $\xi \in Al_0$  &  $\rho \in \Omega$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|B_2^*(\xi)\| &= \max_{\rho \in \Omega} |B_2^*(\xi)(\rho)| \\
 &\leq \frac{a}{M(a)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \max_{\rho \in \Omega} \left\{ \sum_{0 < \rho_m < \rho} \int_{\rho_{m-1}}^{\rho_m} (\rho_m - \varphi)^{a-1} |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi))| d\varphi + \int_{\rho_n}^{\rho} (\rho - \varphi)^{a-1} |h(\varphi, \xi(\varphi))| d\varphi \right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{\rho^a (n+1)}{M(a) \Gamma(a)} \lambda_0
 \end{aligned}$$

Now the operator  $B_2^*$  is compactness on  $Al_0$ , since  $B_2^* \subset B$ .

Hence, the global ABC-FDE with impulse condition possesses a solution  $\Omega$ .

## 6. ILLUSTRATION

For  $a \in (0, 1]$ , consider the following impulsive ABC-FDE

$$\left. \begin{aligned} {}^{ABC}D_{[\rho]}^{\alpha} \xi(\rho) &= \frac{\rho\left(\rho-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{9} \frac{|\xi(\rho)|}{1+|\xi(\rho)|}, \rho \in \Omega=[0,1], \rho \neq \frac{1}{2} \\ \Delta \xi|_{\rho=0.5} &= \frac{|\xi(0.5)|}{10+|\xi(0.5)|} \quad \& \quad \xi(0)=\xi_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.15)$$

$$\text{Set } h(\rho, \xi) = \frac{\rho(\rho-0.5)}{9} \frac{\xi}{1+\xi}, \quad \text{for } \rho \in \Omega, \xi \in R^+ \text{ and}$$

$$I_m(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{10+\xi} \text{ for } \xi \in R^+$$

Clearly  $h(0, \xi(\rho)) = h(0.5, \xi(0.5)) = 0$ . Let  $\rho \in \Omega$  and  $\xi, \bar{\xi} \in R^+$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |h(\rho, \xi) - h(\rho, \bar{\xi})| &= \frac{\rho\left(\rho-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{9} \left| \frac{\xi}{1+\xi} - \frac{\bar{\xi}}{1+\bar{\xi}} \right| \leq \frac{2}{36} \frac{|\xi - \bar{\xi}|}{(1+\xi)(1+\bar{\xi})} \leq \frac{1}{18} |\xi - \bar{\xi}| \\ |I_m(\xi) - I_m(\bar{\xi})| &= \left| \frac{\xi}{10+\xi} - \frac{\bar{\xi}}{10+\bar{\xi}} \right| \leq \frac{10|\xi - \bar{\xi}|}{(10+\xi)(10+\bar{\xi})} \leq \frac{|\xi - \bar{\xi}|}{10} \end{aligned}$$

Also, hypothesis (A1) and (A2) hold with

$$L_h = \frac{1}{18} \text{ and } L_I = \frac{1}{10}.$$

Also verified that the condition (4.9) holds with

$$\rho = 1, n = 1, a = \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } M(a) = 1.$$

Thus, we get

$$\mu = \frac{\sqrt{3.14} + 4}{2\sqrt{3.14}} \text{ and } \mu L_h + n L_I \approx \frac{2}{10}.$$

By theorem 4.1, the problem (6.15) has a one-of-a-kind approach [0,1]. Also it is noted that for each  $\xi \in R^+$  and  $\rho \in [0,1]$ , we have  $|h(\rho, \xi)| \leq \frac{\rho}{9}(\rho-0.5)$  and  $|I_m(\xi)| \leq 0.1$ .

Hence, condition (A3) is verified with

$$\lambda(\rho) = \frac{\rho}{9}(\rho-0.5) \in C([0,1], R^+) \text{ and } N = 0.1$$

Thus, all conditions of theorem (4.2) are satisfied. Hence theorem (4.2) implies that the given problem has at least one solution on  $[0,1]$ .

## 7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we'll look at, we have been derived the formulae for solution for the Mittag – ABC for an impulse state fractional differential equation. Also, we established existence and uniqueness for that problem. Hence, the prevailed results take part a remarkable role in expanding fractional calculus principle analysis.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Shrivastava, J.J. Trujillo (2006). Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- [2] 1. Podlubny (1999). Fractional Differential Equations, Academic, San Diego.
- [3] J. Sabatier, O.P. Agrawal, J.A.T. Machado (2007). Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering, Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- [4] M. Caputo, M. Fabrizio (2015). A new definition of fractional derivative without singular kernel, Prog. Fract. Differ. Appl. 1 (2), pp. 73-85.
- [5] A. Atangana, D. Baleanu (2016). New fractional derivatives with non-local and non-singular kernel: theory and application to heat transfer model, Therm. Sci. 20 (2), pp. 763-769.
- [6] A. Atangana (2018). Non validity of index law in fractional calculus: a fractional differential operator with Markovian and non-Markovian properties, Phys. A, Stat. Mech. Appl. 505, pp. 688-706
- [7] A. Atangana, J.F. Gómez-Aguilar (2018). Fractional derivatives with no-index law property application to chaos and statistics, Chaos Solitons Fractals 114, pp. 516-535.
- [8] A. Atangana, J.F. Gómez-Aguilar (2018). Decolonisation of fractional calculus rules: breaking commutativity and associativity to capture more natural phenomena, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 133, pp. 1-22.
- [9] J.D. Djida, A. Atangana, I. Area (2017). Numerical computation of a fractional derivative with non-local and non-singular kernel, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. 12 (3), pp. 4-13.
- [10] O.A. Arqub, R. Edwan, M. Al-Smadi, S. Momani (2013). Solving space-fractional Cauchy problem by modified finite-difference discretization scheme, Alex. Eng. J. 59 (4).
- [11] O.A. Arqub, M. Al-Smadi (2018). Atangana-Baleanu fractional approach to the solutions of Bagley-Torvik and Painlevé equations in Hilbert space, Chaos Solitons Fractals 117, pp. 161-167

- [12] S. Hasan, A. El-Ajou, S. Hadid, M. Al-Smadi, S. Momani (2013). Atangana-Baleanu fractional framework of reproducing kernel technique in solving fractional population dynamics system, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 133, pp. 109624.
- [13] R. Prahalatha and M.M. Shanmugapriya (2017). Existence of Solution of Global Cauchy Problem for Some Fractional Abstract Differential Equation. *International Journal of pure and Applied Mathematics*, 116(22): pp. 163-174.
- [14] R. Prahalatha and M.M. Shanmugapriya (2017). Existence of Extremal Solution for Integral Boundary Value Problem of Non Linear Fractional Differential Equations. *International Journal of pure and Applied Mathematics*, 116(22): pp. 175-185.
- [15] R. Prahalatha and M.M. Shanmugapriya (2019). Controllability Results of Impulsive Integrodifferential Systems with Fractional Order and Global Conditions, *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR)*, 6 (6): pp. 626-643.
- [16] A. Atangana, I. Koca (2016). Chaos in a simple nonlinear system with Atangana-Baleanu derivatives with fractional order, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 89, pp. 447-454.
- [17] H. Khan, F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, A. Khan (2019). A singular ABC-fractional differential equation with p-Laplacian operator, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 129, pp. 56-61.
- [18] K. Logeswari, C.A. Ravichandran (2019). New exploration on existence of fractional neutral integro-differential equations in the concept of Atangana-Baleanu derivative, *Physica A*. 544, pp. 123454.
- [19] A. Salari, B. Ghanbari (2019). Existence and multiplicity for some boundary value problems involving Caputo and Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivatives: a variational approach, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 127, pp. 312-317.
- [20] K.D. Kucche, S.T. Sutar (2013). Analysis of nonlinear fractional differential equations involving Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo derivative, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.09132*.
- [21] S.T. Sutar, K.D. Kueche (2013). On nonlinear hybrid fractional differential equations with Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo derivative, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007*. pp. 11034.
- [22] M.S. Abdo, K. Shah, H.A. Wahash, S.K. Panchal (2013). On comprehensive model of the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) under Mittag-Leffler derivative, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 135, pp. 109867.
- [23] M.S. Abdo, S.K. Panchal, K. Shah, T. Abdeljawad (2013). Existence theory and numerical analysis of three species prey-predator model under Mittag-Leffler power law, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 2013, pp. 249.
- [24] F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, Z. Hammouch (2018). On a class of ordinary differential equations in the frame of Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 117, pp. 16-20.
- [25] A. Ali, K. Shah, F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad (2019). Existence and stability analysis to a coupled system of implicit type impulsive boundary value problems of fractional-order differential equations, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 2019 (1), pp. 101.
- [26] H. Khan, A. Khan, F. Jarad, A. Shah (2013). Existence and data dependence theorems for solutions of an ABC-fractional order impulsive system, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 131, pp. 109477.
- [27] T. Abdeljawad, D. Baleanu (2017). Integration by parts and its applications of a new non-local fractional derivative with Mittag-Leffler nonsingular kernel, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 10 (3), pp. 1098-1107.
- [28] T. Abdeljawad, D. Baleanu (2016). Discrete fractional differences with nonsingular discrete Mittag-Leffler kernels, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 2016 (1), pp. 232.
- [29] Y. Zhou (2014). *Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore.
- [30] S. Suganya, M. Mallika Arjunan, J.J. Trujillo (2015). Existence results for an impulsive fractional integro-differential equation with state-dependent delay, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Volume 266, Pages 54-69.
- [31] S. Suganya Mallika Arjunan M. (2017). Existence of Mild Solutions for Impulsive Fractional Integro-Differential Inclusions with State-Dependent Delay. *Mathematics*; 5(1):9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math5010009>



**Corresponding Author**

**Dr. R. Prahalatha\***

Assistant Professor, PG Department of Mathematics,  
Vellalar College for Women (Autonomous)

[prahalathav@gmail.com](mailto:prahalathav@gmail.com)