Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. 17, Issue No. 2, October-2020, ISSN 2230-7540

A Review of Ring Derivations

Rani Tiwari[1]*, Dr. Birendra Kumar Chauhan[2]

[1] Research Scholar, Shri Krishna University, Chhatarpur M.P.

[2] Associate Professor, Shri Krishna University, Chhatarpur M.P.

Abstract - Because it brings together so many different branches of mathematics, ring theory is an effective instrument for examining problems of great historical and scientific relevance. To put it another way, the concept of a "derivational ring" is not one that undergoes dramatic conceptual transformations. Over the last fifty years, however, several researchers have examined the links between derivations and ring structure. This paper present a brief review of ring derivations such as historical note following, chronological development, posner's theorems, types of rings.

Keywords - Derivations, ring

- X -

INTRODUTION

Ring theory is a strong tool for studying issues of significant historical and scientific significance since it unifies numerous different areas of mathematics. Derivational rings are not the kind of topic that sees radical shifts in understanding. The connections between derivations and ring structure, however, have been the subject of much research over the last fifty years by a wide range of scholars. In algebra and analysis, it was a popular line of inquiry to consider whether or not a map could be comprehended in terms of simply its "local" aspects. Specifically, Herstein famously questioned whether or not a normal derivation yields a map that deviates the Composite Lie algebra over a subalgebra of Lie's using only prime rings. The pioneering finding in this topic may be found in Kaplansky's unpublished work on matrix algebras over a field. Martindale has investigated this for primitive rings when idempotent is present. The strong approach to functional identities was invented, and only then was Herstein's issue addressed in complete generality. In 1993, Bre sar found a solution to this issue for prime rings. Beidar and Chebotar solved the problem of constructing a Lie ideal of a prime ring. Whether or not a Lie derivation can be produced by a regular one was a debatable topic that required investigation; see, for example, Banning & Mathieu, Villena.

Algebraic number theory and the study of ideals had a significant role in the development of ring theory. Wilhelm Julius The foundations of ring theory were first established by the renowned German mathematician Richard Dedekind, however, Hilbert is credited with coining the term "ring." The natural numbers, algebraic number theory, and the definition of real numbers all owe a great deal to Dedekind's work in abstract algebra. The rudiments of ring theory were established in 1879 and 1894, respectively, thanks to the ideas of an ideal. When it comes to ring theory, an algebraic structure is crucial. The group ring, the division ring, In mathematics, rings are generalizations of the universal enveloping algebra and polynomial identities. These rings play an important role in the solution of many different issues in algebra and number theory. Topology and mathematical analysis are only two of the numerous branches of mathematics where rings appear often. In 1957, E. C. Posner introduced with relation to the idea of derivation to the field of ring theory. Improvements to the derivations in ring theory have led to the development of several different derivations, including the generalized derivation, the Jordan derivation, the symmetric bi-derivation, and the generalized Jordan derivation.

DERIVATIONS

Let A be a ring and B be a bimodule over A. A derivation d: A→B is an additive map that satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y.$$

If B is an algebra over A and And if we get a ring on top of that homomorphism θ:A→B, a twisted derivation concerning θ (or a θ-derivation) is an additive map d: A→B such that

$$d(xy) = \theta(x)d(y) + d(x)y.$$

When θ is the morphism defining the structure of A-algebra on B, a θ-derivation is nothing but a derivation. In general, if ı:A→B denotes easy to verify the defining morphism up top that θ−ı is a θ-derivation

You may use the information in this file to derive and twist derivations over commutative rings whose values are algebras. The collection of derivations (or -derivations) in this instance is a module over B.

HISTORICAL NOTE FOLLOWING

As old as the concept of the derivative itself is, so too are the basic connections between the differentiation (=derivation) operation and the addition and multiplication of functions. The differentiation operation of functions on the smooth varieties near a certain tangent field was found to have these properties and others as researchers gained a deeper knowledge of the underlying linkages, in reverse, completely defines the tangent field.It follows that many bundles, including the tangent bundle, may be defined in terms of sheaves of functions. Unifying analysis, algebraic geometry, and algebra relies heavily on the idea of a ring with a derivation, which has deep historical roots. For linear ODEs, the phrase "Picard-Vessiot theory" did not become synonymous with a Galois theory until the 1940s. New tools for the field theory were seen in the theorems' derivations. The concept of differentiating singular chains on varieties, which grew out of the earlier procedure of differentiating forms on varieties, is central to the topological and algebraic theory of homology. Differential algebra, a new branch of algebra established by Ritt and Kolchin's contributions in the 1950s, is an example of their pioneering spirit. Ritt published a classic work on differential algebra in 1950, and Kolchin followed suit in 1973. Also in 1976, Kaplansky published a fascinating book on the topic.

CHRONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT

Although research into ring derivations had begun before 1957, it was not until Posner made two significant findings on ring derivations in prime rings that the area really took off. The cited findings indicate that; are all examples of ways in which the concept of derivation has been extended. Many researchers have focused on the commutativity of rings, specifically prime and semiprime rings accepting centralizing or commuting mappings on suitable subsets of R..

POSNER'S THEOREMS

We will make frequent references to the following assertions of Posner's theorems.

- **Posner's First Theorem.**

All except one of derivations d1 and d2 is zero if and only if R is a prime ring satisfying the requirement, not 2. This holds if and only if d1 and d2 are derivations on R such that the iteration d1d2 is likewise a derivation. If any property of the ring R is not 2, then Posner's first theorem states that any two nonzero derivations of R cannot be a derivation.

- **Posner's Second Theorem:**

The ring R will be converted into a ring of primes by me. In order for R to be commutative, its central derivation must be non-zero. This theorem states that every prime ring Non-zero centralizing R must be commutative.

TYPES OF RINGS

1. Associative ring
2. Nonassociative ring
3. Alternative ring
4. Lie Ring
5. Jordan ring
6. Associator
7. Commutator
8. Anti-commutator
9. Commutative Ring
10. Near Ring

Associative ring: An associative ring *R*, sometimes called a ring in short, is an algebraic system with addition of two binary operations '+' and multiplication '-' such that

1. An abelian group is formed by the components of R under '+' and a semigroup under '-';

2. Multiplication '-' is distributive on the right as well as on the left over addition '+', that is $(x+y)z = xz + yz,\ z(x+y) = zx + zy,$ forall *x,y,z* in *R*.

Nonassociative ring: In mathematics, a nonassociative ring R is an additive abelian group in which multiplication is defined as distributive over addition on both the left and the right. is $(x+y)z = xz + yz,\ z(x+y) = zx + zy,$ for all *x,y,z* in *R*.

When the whole associative rule of multiplication is not believed to hold true, we have a nonassociative ring, as opposed to an associative one. Hence, it is not a necessary associative process. Despite common belief, the associative rule of multiplication has not been abolished; rather, it has been significantly weakened.

Nonassociative rings include the well-known alternatives, Lie, and Jordan rings.

Alternative ring: An alternative ring R is a ring in which $(xx)y = x(xy)$, $y(xx) = (yx)x$, for all x,y in R.

These equations are known as the left and right alternative laws respectively.

Lie ring: A Lie ring *R* is a ring in which the multiplication is anticommutative, that is, $x^2 = 0$ (implying $xy = -yx$) and the Jacobi identity $(xyz + (yz)x+(zx)y=0$, for all x,y,z in *R* is satisfied.

Jordan ring: A Jordan ring R is a ring in which the products are commutative, that is $xy = yx$ and satisfy the Jordan identity $(zy)x^2 = x(yx^2)$ for all x,y in *R*.

Associator: The associator (x,y,z) is defined by $(x,y,z) = (xy)z — x(yz)$ for all x,y,z in a ring.

To learn more about nonassociative rings, this is crucial information to have. A ring's nonassociativity may be evaluated using this metric. Max Zorn is credited with this definition, which he used to demonstrate the associativity of a finite alternative division ring.

In terms of associators, a ring is called left alternative if $(x,x,y) = 0$; right alternative if $(y,x,x) = 0$ for all x,y in *R* and alternative if both the conditions hold.

Commutator: The commutator (x,y) is defined by $(x,y) = xy - yx$ for all x,y in a ring. This can be considered to be a measure of noncommutativity of a ring.

Anticommutator: The anticommutator is defined by $xoy = xy + yx$ for all x,y in a ring.

Commutative ring: If the multiplication in a ring R is such that $x.y=y.x$, for all x,y in *R*, then we call R as a commutative ring.

A noncommutative ring differs from a commutative ring in that the multiplication is not assumed to be commutative. That is, we do not assume $x.y =y.x$, for all x,y in *R*, as an axiom. However, it does not mean that there always exist elements x,y in *R* such that $x.y \neq y.x$.

The ring of 2x2 matrices over rationals and ring of real quaternions due to Hamilton are the examples of noncommutative rings.

Near ring:

A set R with two binary operations '+' and '-' is a near ring, if

(i) $(R, +)$ is a group (need not be abelian).

(ii) $(R,-)$ is a semigroup.

(iii) $x(y + z) = xy+xz$ and $(y + z)x=yz + zx$ for all x,y,z in R.

Prime ring:

A ring R is prime if whenever A and B are ideals of R such that $AB = 0$ then either $A = 0$ or $B= 0$. Also a ring R is called prime if $xay = 0$ implies $x = 0$ or $y= 0$ for all x,a,y in R.

Semiprime ring:

A ring R is semiprime if for any ideal A of R, $A^2 = 0$ implies $A = 0$. These rings are also referred as rings free from trivial ideals. Also a ring R is called semiprime if $xax = 0$ implies $x = 0$ for all x,a,y in R.

***-ring:** An additive mapping $x \to x^*$ on a ring *R* is called an involution if $(x^*)^* = x$ and $(xy)^* = y^* x^*$ hold for all xy in R. A ring equipped with an involution is called a ring with involution or a $*$ - ring.

Semiprime *-ring: A semiprime $*$ - ring is defined as $xa^*x = 0$ implies $x=0$ for all x,a in *R*.

Center: The center Z of R is defined as $Z = \{z \in R/[z,R] = 0\}$.

Characteristic of a ring: The characteristic of a ring R is the lowest positive number n such that every member x of R has the equation nx = 0.We define a ring *R* to be characteristic $\neq$ n if $nx = 0$ implies $x = 0$, for all *x* in *R*.

Derivation: Derivation is defined as an additive map d from one ring R to another ring R if and only if. $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ for all x,y in *R*.

Inner derivation: A map d from one ring R to another ring R is said to be an inner derivation on R if and only if and only if. $d_a(x) = xa - ax$ for all $a,x \in R$.

Reverse derivation : A self-adjoint mapping d from a ring R to R that satisfies $d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x)$, for all $x, y \in R$, is called a reverse derivation on R.

Centralizing derivation: A mapping $d: R \to R$ is called centralizing if $[d(x),x] \in Z$ for all *x* in R.

Commuting derivation: A mapping $d: R \to R$ is called commuting if $[d(x),x] = 0$ for all *x* in *R*.

Central derivation: A mapping $d: R \to R$ is called central if $d(x) \in Z$ for all *x* in *R*.

Bi-derivation: A bi-additive map $D : R \times R \to R$ is called a bi-derivation if $D(xy,z) = D(x,z)y + x\,D(y,z)$ and $D(x, yz) = D(x,y)z + y\,D(x,z)$ for all $x,y \in R$.

Symmetric derivation: An additive map $D : R \to R$ is called a symmetric derivation if $D(x,y) = D(y,x)$ for all $x,y \in R$.

Trace of a derivation: An additive map $D : R \to R$ is called a trace of D if $T(x) = D(x,x)$ for all $x,y \in R$.

Permuting derivation: A map $\Delta: R \times R \times R \to R$ is said to be a permuting derivation if it is a derivation satisfying the equation $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)})$ for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R$ and for every permutation $\{\pi(1), \pi(2), \pi(3)\}$.

3-derivation: An 3-additive map $\Delta: R \times R \times R \to R$ is called a 3-derivation if the relations

$$\Delta(x_1 x_2, y, z) = \Delta(x_1, y, z)\, x_2 + x_1\, \Delta(x_2, y, z),$$

$$\Delta(x, y_1 y_2, z) = \Delta(x, y_1, z)\, y_2 + y_1\, \Delta(x, y_2, z)$$

and.

$$\Delta(x, y, z_1 z_2) = \Delta(x, y, z_1)\, z_2 + z_1\, \Delta(x, y, z_2)$$

hold for all $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, z_i \in R, i=1,2.$ If Δ is permuting, then the above three relations are equivalent to each other.

Orthogonal derivation: Two derivations $d, g : R \to R$ are called orthogonal if $d(x)\,R\,g(y) = 0 = g(y)\,R\,d(x)$, for all $x, y \in R$.

Right generalized derivation: An additive mapping $f: R \to R$ is said to be a right generalized derivation if there exists a derivation d from R to R such that $f(xy) = f(x)y + xd(y)$ for all xy in R.

Left generalized derivation:

An additive mapping $f: R \to R$ if there exists a derivation d from R to R such that, then the derivation d is said to be left generalized. $f(xy) = d(x)y + xf(y)$ for all xy in R.

Generalized derivation:

An additive mapping $f: R \to R$ is said to be a generalized derivation if it is both right and left generalized derivation.

Orthogonal generalized derivation:

Two generalized derivations (D, d) and (G, g) of R are called orthogonal if $D(x)\,R\,G(y) = 0 = G(y)R\,D(x)$, for all $x,y \in R$.

Jordan derivation:

An additive mapping i $d: R \to R$ s called a Jordan derivation if $d(x^2) = d(x)x + xd(x)$ for all x in R.

Jordan generalized derivation:

An additive mapping $G: R \to R$ if there is a derivation D from R to R such that, then R and D are Jordan generalized derivations. $G(x^2) = G(x)x + xD(x)$ for all x in R.

u — derivation: An additive mapping D from R to itself is termed a u-derivation if $D(xy) = D(x)u(y) + xD(y)$ hold where u is a homomorphism of R, for all x,y in R.

u — generalized derivation:

An additive mapping $G: R \to R$ is said to be a u- generalized derivation if there exists a derivation D from R to R such that $G(xy) = G(x)u(y) + xD(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Jordan u-generalized derivation:

An additive mapping $G: R \to R$ is said to be a Jordan u

derivation $D: R \to R$ such that $G(x^2) = G(x)u(x) + xD(x$

u -*derivation: An additive mapping D from R to itself is called an u -* derivation if $D(xy) = u(y)D(x) + D(y)x$ hold where u is an antihomomorphism of R, for all x,y in R.

u-* generalized derivation:

An additive mapping $G: R \to R$ is said to be a u -*

derivation D from R to R such that $G(xy) = u(y)\,G(x) +$

Jordan u-* generalized derivation: An additive mapping $G: R \to R$ is said to be a Jordan u -* generalized derivation if there exists a derivation $D: R \to R$ such that $G(x^2) = u(x)G(x) + D(x)x$ where u is an antihomomorphism in R for all $x \in R$.

***- derivation:** An additive mapping $D: R \to R,$ where R is a *-ring, is called a *- derivation if $D(xy) = D(x)y^* + xD(y)$ hold for all $xy \in R$.

Jordan *- derivation: An additive mapping $D: R \to R,$, where R is a *-ring, is called Jordan *-

derivation if $D(x^2) = D(x)x^* + xD(x)$ hold for all $x \in R$.

Homomorphism: A mapping *f* from a ring *R* in to *a* ring *S* such that $f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b)$ and $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$ for all $a,b \in R$, is called a homomorphism of *R* into *S*.

Antihomomorphism: Let *R* and *S* be rings. A mapping $f: R \to S$ is an antihomomorphism if for all $x,y \in R, f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $f(xy)=f(y).f(x)$.

Lie ideal:

An additive subgroup *U* of *R* is said to be a Lie ideal of *R*, if $[u,r] \in U$ for all $u \in U$ and $r \in R$.

Essential ideal: An ideal J is called essential, if $I \cap A \neq (0)$ for any nonzero ideal A.

Annihilator: If *S* is any nonempty subset of a ring R, then $l(S)=\{x \in R/xS=0\}$ is a left ideal of *R* called the left annihilator of *S* in *R*. If *S* is any nonempty subset of a ring *R*, then $r(S) = \{x \in R/Sx = 0\}$ is a right ideal of R called the right annihilator of *S* in *R*. It is an annihilator if it is both left and right annihilator.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, A and Shah, T. Centralizing and commuting generalized derivations on prime rings, Mathematics Bechnk. 60(2008), 1-2.
2. Ali, F. and Chaudhry, M.A. Dependent elements of derivations, on semiprime rings,Inter. J. Math and Math. Sci 10 (2009), 1-5.
3. Bajaj, K.; Archana; Kumar, A. Synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of newer substituted benzoxazepine derivatives as potent anticonvulsant agents. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 39(4), 369-376
4. Colbasi, Oznur., On prime and semiprime near-rings with generalized derivations,Quaestiones Mathematicae, 33(2010), 387-390.
5. Dawane, B. S.; Konda, S. G.; Kamble, V. T.; Chavan, S. A.; Bhosale, R. B.; Baseer M., S. Multicomponent one-pot synthesis of substituted Hantzsh thiazole derivatives under solvent free conditions. E-J. Chem. 2009, 6, s358-s362.
6. Fukui, H.; Inoguchi, K. and Nakano, J. Synthesis of the bicyclic secondary amines via dimethylaminomethylene ketones from 3-pyrrolidone and 4- piperidone, Heterocycles, 2002, 56, 257-264.
7. Golbasi,Oznur., On generalized derivations of prime near-rings, Hacet, J. Math. Stat., 35(2006), No.2, 173-180.
8. lbas, E. and Argac, N., Generalized derivations of prime rings, Algebra Colloq., 11(2004), No.2, 399-410.
9. McCoy, N. H., The Theory of Rings, The Macmillan Company, New York; Collier--Macmillan Limited, Londan; (1964).
10. Mehta, P. D.; Sengar, N. P.S.; Subrahmanyam, E. V.S. and Satyanarayana, D. Indian J. Pharma. Sci. 2006, 68(1), 103-106.

Corresponding Author

Rani Tiwari*

Research Scholar, Shri Krishna University, Chhatarpur M.P.