

Social Empowerment

N Vijayluxmi^{1*}, Dr. Naresh Kumar²

¹ Research Scholar, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan

² Professor, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan

Abstract - The ideology of communalism, regionalism, & secularism, which are all part of the political system, have an impact on social empowerment. To learn more about their importance and variances, read on. Political ideologies such as communalism, regionalism, & secularism enable society to operate towards obtaining social empowerment and rights. In development economics & modernization theory, social empowerment has become a hot topic of debate. The social empowerment of women may result in an improvement in the quality of human resources available for economic growth. The goal of this research is to determine the influence of financial inclusion on women's social empowerment in rural India.

Keywords - Women Empowerment, NRHM, Rural India, Social-Economic Empowerment

-----X-----

INTRODUCTION

The development of a feeling of independence and self is referred to as social empowerment. Individual and community action is also required to transform social connections, institutions, discourses which exclude poor people or contribute to poverty.[1]

Assets such as land, property, and money, as well as societal variables such as health and education, impact individual empowerment. Societal empowerment can only be achieved when people have the freedom to make their own decisions and are given the opportunity to have a positive impact on the world around them. Both the individual and the community may benefit from social empowerment.[2] Self-esteem is an example of an individual element. A person's capacity for social fulfilment is influenced by a variety of factors, including their own sense of self-worth, imagination, and goals. People's collective assets and talents, such as their voice, organisation, representation, and identity, also influence the social responsibility of the individual.[3]

Understanding that associational life occurs in the informal domain, such as religious organisations and traditional practices institutions, is equally crucial. A greater number of individuals may be affected by such organisations. As a result, the concepts of social empowerment and gender equality are often used interchangeably. Building an inclusive community relies on the contributions of women and women's groups.[4]

Change is regarded impossible without altering the social structures that connect to political power, and the human person is viewed as a component of society. A person's overall well-being is profoundly

influenced by their social and inner-life circumstances, and this influences their structural and individual change as well.[5] It is critical for people to understand the core causes of inequality in order to empower themselves as changemakers. The capacity to detect and eradicate the causes of social injustice is a prerequisite for social empowerment since it leads to social change.

The people must establish organisations and speak up in order to eradicate societal inequalities. Furthermore, there have been a number of these organisations throughout history and their ideas have evolved as a result.[6] Participation in local organisations and systems of intercommunity collaboration by socially and economically disadvantaged groups may help to social empowerment by enhancing their abilities, knowledge, and perceptions of themselves.

A person's life has many different facets. Aside from the economic, political, legal, personal, artistic, and religious components that are all intertwined with each other, there is the social factor that brings them all together. In the year of our Lord, 2005, A society's attitude toward gender equality has a stronger impact on the empowerment of women. Promotion of social skills such as education, health, cultural characteristics, and women's honour is a key component of "social empowerment".[7] Social, economic, and cultural variables are all intertwined with women's health and nutrition. Lower health status results in a shorter life expectancy, a greater mortality and morbidity rate, a lower production level, and a reduced capacity to work and maintain oneself. In this regard, the well-being of women is critical. Since inception, India's Human Development Report 2011 has shown that female infants are

discriminated against in terms of health and diet treatment, leading to higher life expectancy for males than women.[8] According to the third common evaluation of NRHM, women are only in institutions for much less than half a day after giving birth, and so do not have access to important health care services at that time.

Two challenges arise when discussing social quality and empowerment: first, it is necessary to locate and explain both the orthogonality & inclusion of empowerment in relation to the other contingent factors; and second, it is necessary to identify and explain the uniqueness of social empowerment in relation to other hypotheses of empowerment.[9] The first thing to consider is the relationship between social empowerment and the other concomitant components. To what extent does empowerment have a particular meaning in the framework of social quality theory, as compared to the understanding in those other contexts? Specifically, how is it distinct from empowerment in respect to social work, learning theories, and other contexts, such as empowerment? We must address both of these issues at the same time, since they are inextricably linked.[10]

A person's physical and emotional well-being is directly linked to their state of health. It functions as a regenerative force for the body and the soul. Access to clean water, a safe environment to live in, and a place to sleep are all vital to one's health.[11] The UN Declaration on the Right to Development, issued in 1986, mentions the right to health as one of the developmental rights. It also emerged during the 1995 Beijing World Conference on Women that universal, inexpensive, and high-quality health care must be made available to all women. A major social aim for the government and Globe Health Organization was agreed upon in 1997, at the so-called World Health Assembly, to ensure that all people throughout the world would be able to work effectively and participate meaningfully in their communities by the year 2000.[12] There is a dearth of knowledge about illnesses as a result of illiteracy. Poor public and personal health and hygiene practises are exacerbated by an undereducated population. Praise the Lord! The absence of health education and awareness among our country's illiterates is a major contributor to the country's many health issues.

An important aspect of a society's social, economic, and cultural fabric is shown in the gender distribution of its citizens. There aren't enough women in India's workforce. According to the 2011 census data, the national sex ratio is 943, which shows a strong correlation between education and overall health in the country. The rural/urban sex ratio is 949 to 929. After Puducheryat (1037) in the 2011 census, Kerala has a sex ratio of 1,084[13]. The sex ratio in Damon and Diu is the lowest in the nation at 618. The sex ratio in NER is greater than in any of the other states. Socioeconomic and cultural systems are reflected in the gender distribution of a society's population, making it an important demographic indicator. There

aren't enough women in India's workforce. According to the 2011 census data, the national sex ratio is 943, which shows a strong correlation between education and overall health in the country. The rural/urban sex ratio is 949 to 929. According to the 2011 census, Kerala has a sex ratio of 1,084, followed by Puducheryat with a sex ratio of 10,37. The sex ratio in Damon and Diu is the lowest in the nation at 618.[14]

In determining sex ratios, one element to consider is the infant death rate. In spite of the great success of the Expanded Program of Vaccination, which began in 1978, and the universal immunisation programme inaugurated in 1985, the death rates for girls remain greater than those for boys. Malnutrition and maternal mortality are important health issues that Indian women must deal with. Another issue that puts women at risk is the practise of getting married young.[15] In 2011, the total IMR was 44, with a female IMR of 46 and a male IMR of 43. In 2011, Madhya Pradesh had the highest female IMR of 59, while Kerala had the lowest of 12. With the exception of Assam and Meghalaya, the IMRF among females (per 1000 live births) is improving in the other six NER states. Assam (60) and Meghalaya (56) have the third and fifth highest IMR for females in India compared to the national average of 49. NER data shows that the IMR per female in Manipur (16) is low.[16]

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Scale of Social Empowerment

The degree of social strength among women respondents chosen for the research was measured using a five-point Likert scale. A total of 26 components made up the social empowerment scale. Positive responses filled the scale. 92 The scoring system assigns a weighting to each of the positive elements, ranging from five (strongly agree) to one (strongly disagree).[17]

Table 1: displays the respondents' Social Empowerment Status, including Mean, SD, and Rank.

Opinion	Mean	SD	R
Higher educated women and adopting familial problems.	4.04	0.87	1
Higher educated women have awareness of legislation protecting women's rights.	4.03	0.87	2
Women's coping with their in laws	3.92	1.11	3

Higher educated women are aware of reproductive rights, nutrition, healthcare, child care and family planning.	3.8	0.92	4
Higher educated women are respected by their husband's family.	3.85	1.13	5
Rate of divorce among higher educated and lower educated women	3.7	0.67	6
Higher educated women and questioning domestic violence	3.7	1.44	7
Higher education enabling women to access information, knowledge to participate in the social activities.	3.74	1.18	8
Men as impediment to women to participated in dharna, rally and protest	3.46	1.11	9
Higher educated women and their choice of marriage.	3.45	1.15	10
Higher educated and eradication of social evils.	3.39	1.12	11
Higher educated women access their rights over assets and resources	3.38	1.59	12
Higher educated women and awareness of government welfare programs for women	3.36	1.25	13
Charity contribution increase with the attainment of education	3.43	1.16	14
Attainment of higher education and women's achieving special status in the society.	3.22	1.35	15
Higher educated women and ability to protect her family from social evils.,	3.39	0.89	16

Higher educated women and fall in less criminal behaviour and incarceration rates	3.37	1.11	17
Higher educated women meeting officials like Collector, BDO, Police,	3.33	1.29	18
Social concern is higher among the more highly educated	3.21	1.18	19
Higher Educated women and equality in parental care	3.17	1.17	20
Influence of caste differentiation and higher educated women in the society.	3.16	1.12	21
Higher educated women and formation of caste less society	3.15	1.27	22
Higher educated women and inter caste marriage	2.78	1.29	23
Higher educated women and participate in social activities	2.67	1.28	24
Higher educated women submissiveness to men and other women	2.44	1.23	25

The mean value of 4.03 indicates that higher-educated women and adopting family issues are the most powerful social empowerment factors. Those who are more educated are more likely to know about laws that safeguard women's rights, which has a mean score of 3.92. [18] The third component, women's ability to deal with their in-laws, has a mean score of 3.92. Educated women are more knowledgeable about their own reproductive rights, as well as nutrition, healthcare, and family planning (which has a mean score of 3.80). The sixth component, which has a mean value of 3.85, is that more educated women are valued by their husbands' families. Women with greater levels of education are more likely than those with lower levels of education to go through a divorce. With a mean value of 3.70, higher-educated women are the sixth and seventh ranking factors, respectively. [19] Men not enabling their women to participate in dharnas, rallies, and

protests are the next ranked factors that affect social empowerment, with mean values of 3.74 and 3.46, respectively. The tenth ranked factor that affects social empowerment is higher education, which allows women to marry whom they like, with mean value of 3.45. Social empowerment is influenced by variables such as intercaste marriage among educated women, the ability of educated women to engage in social activities, and the fact that educated women need not necessarily be subordinate to males and other women.[20]

CONCLUSION

Until recently, we had been primarily interested in determining the degree of empowerment. While this is the primary focus of social quality research and the present study, it is sense to take a step further and seek for signs of empowering structures and procedures. To put it another way, a social quality viewpoint is focused at identifying indicators of empowerment's character, condition, and process.[21] As a result, in addition to assessing empowerment using the above-mentioned indicators, it is encouraged to seek for markers on a new and extra level. These are focused with the actions and structures that diverse soci(et)al actors support, given that empowerment is a social process and a relationship, rather than an individual capability, as has been established.

India is a varied nation in every sense of the word; it has seen the worst and the best of times, communalism and regionalism, and ultimately supported secularism for the country's social empowerment and well-being.[22] The assault against religious minorities is emblematic of the problem that threatens India's secularism. Communalism & regionalism are for restricted and are founded on biases towards other social groups. The deep-rooted colonial mentality that still remains like a parasite in India is driven by sectarian politics based on area and religion.[23]

REFERENCES

1. Bhowmik Sharit, K. 2000. Hawkers in Urban Informal Sector: A Study of Street Vending in Seven Cities, National Association of Street Vendors of India, Delhi.
2. Biggeri M, Deepak S, Mauro V, Trani JF, Kumar J, Ramasamy P. (2014) 'Do community-based rehabilitation programmes promote the participation of persons with disabilities? A case control study from Mandya District, in India'. *DisabilRehabil.* 36(18): 1508–17.
3. Bond Disability and Development Group (2017). 'Stigma, disability and development'. London: Bond.
4. Datta Rekha, 2003. "From Development to Empowerment: The Self-Employed Women's Association in India" in *International Journal of Politics, Culture and Society*, Vol. 16, No. 3.
5. Debdulal, Saha. 2011. Working life of Street Vendors in Mumbai. *Indian Journal of Labour Economics*. vol.34. no.2. pp301-325.
6. Deke J, Sama-Miller E, Hershey H. (2015) 'Addressing attrition bias in randomized controlled trials: considerations for systematic evidence reviews'. Washington: Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation.
7. Desai, P.B., "Women Entrepreneurs and Empowerment of Women with special reference to Kolhapur City in Maharashtra State. Edited and Chapter in Edited Book, Bookwell Pub, Delhi& ISBN-978-93-80574-75,pp:249- 270.
8. Devries K, Kuper H, Knight L, Allen E, Kyegombe N, Banks LM et al. (2018) 'reducing physical violence toward primary school students with disabilities'. *J Adolesc Health*. Mar; 62(3): 303–10.
9. DFID (2018). 'Dignity and respect for all: creating new norms, tackling stigma and ensuring non-discrimination'. London: DFID.
10. Grider J, Wydick B. (2016) 'Wheels of fortune: the economic impacts of wheelchair provision in Ethiopia'. *Journal of Development Effectiveness* 8(1): 44–66.
11. Gupta, Kamal and P. PrincyYesudianand, 2006. "Evidence of women's Empowerment in India: a study of socio-spatial disparities" in the *Geo Journal*, Vol. 65, No. 4.
12. Hughes K, Bellis MA, Jones L, Wood S, Bates G, Eckley L et al. (2012) 'Prevalence and risk of violence against adults with disabilities: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies'. *Lancet* 379(9826): 1621–9.
13. Jones L, Bellis MA, Wood S, Hughes K, McCoy E, Eckley L et al. (2012) 'Prevalence and risk of violence against children with disabilities: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies'. *Lancet* 380(9845): 899–907.
14. Lund C, Waruguru M, Kingori J, Kippen-Wood S, Breuer E, Mannarath S et al. (2013) 'Outcomes of the mental health and development model in rural Kenya: a 2-year prospective cohort intervention study'. *Int Health*. Mar; 5(1): 43–50.
15. Mactaggart I, Kuper H, Murthy GV, Oye J, Polack S. (2016) 'Measuring disability in population based surveys: the interrelationship between clinical impairments and reported functional limitations in Cameroon and India'. *PLoS One* 11(10): e0164470.
16. Makanya M, Runo M, Wawire V. (2014) 'Effectiveness of transitional and follow-up programmes to community integration of Young Adults with Intellectual Disabilities (YAWID) in Kiambu County, Kenya'. *Journal of the American Academy of Special Education Professionals* Spring/Summer:87–106.

17. Momin A. (2004) 'Impact of services for people with spinal cord lesions on economic participation'. *Asia Pacific Disability Rehabilitation Journal* 15(2): 53–67.
18. Othman A, Ramlee F, Ghazalan S, Wee K, Shahidi R. (2015) 'Effects of a brief cognitive-behavioural and social skills group intervention for children with psychological problem: a pilot study'. *International Medicine Journal* 22(4): 241–5.
19. Pajareya K, Nopmaneejumruslers K. (2011) 'A pilot randomized controlled trial of DIR/Floortime parent training intervention for pre-school children with autistic spectrum disorders'. *Autism*. Sep; 15(5): 563–77.
20. Palaneeswari, T. and Sasikala, S.V. 2012. "Empowerment Of Rural Women Through Self-Employment – A Study With Special Reference To Thiruthangal In Virudhunagar District" *Excel International Journal of Multidisciplinary Management Studies* Vol.2 Issue 6, June 2012, ISSN 2249 8834 Online available at <http://zenithresearch.org.in>
21. Ran MS, Xiang MZ, Chan CL, Leff J, Simpson P, Huang MS et al. (2003) 'Effectiveness of psychoeducational intervention for rural Chinese families experiencing schizophrenia – a randomised controlled trial'. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. Feb; 38(2): 69–75.
22. Ray, C.N. and Assem Mishra. 2011. *Vendors in Informal Sector; A case study of Street Vendors of Surat City*. CEPT University.
23. Saran A, White H, Kuper H. (2018) 'Effectiveness of interventions for people with disabilities in low- and middle-income countries: an evidence and gap map'. Delhi: Campbell Collaboration.
24. UNESCO (2013). 'Study on the situation of indigenous persons with disabilities, with a particular focus on challenges faced with regard to the full enjoyment of human rights and inclusion in development'. New York: UNESCO.
25. White H, Saran A, Kuper H. (2018) 'Evidence and Gap Map of studies assessing the effectiveness of interventions for people with disabilities'. New Delhi and London: Campbell Collaboration and International Centre for Evidence and Disability.
26. WHO (2010). 'Community-based rehabilitation guidelines'. Geneva: WHO.
27. WHO (2010). 'Mental health and development; targeting people with mental health conditions as a vulnerable group'. Geneva: WHO.

Corresponding Author

N Vijayluxmi*

Research Scholar, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan