Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. 20, Issue No. 2, April-2023, ISSN 2230-7540

Globalisation and India's Role in the International Trade of Services

Prachi*

Ph.D. Scholar (Economics), ISBM University, Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh, India

Email: nandlalshah@ymail.com

Abstract - Within the framework of globalization, this article delves at India's participation in the international trade of services. Trade in services has expanded in significance and is now an integral part of the global economy due to the increased connectivity of the globe. In recent years, India's plentiful human resources, technical prowess, and reasonably priced services have propelled the nation to the forefront of the global services industry. India has achieved remarkable success in this field, and this essay delves into the many factors—including education, technology, legislation, and the role of the private sector—that have contributed to this. Next, the issues that India is facing are discussed, including potential trade barriers and the growing rivalry from other emerging economies.

Keyword:* *Globalisation, India, International Trade

-------------------------------- x --------------------------------

INTRODUCTION

Trade patterns have been profoundly affected by globalization, the process by which national economies and social systems throughout the globe are becoming more intertwined. Money, goods, and services are moving across the globe at a dizzying rate, thanks to more and more countries joining the global economy. A growing component of the global economy, international service trade helps nations take use of their comparative advantages. India is a leading example of a country that has embraced globalization and is contributing significantly to its impact on the services sector. The combination of India's immense talent pool, state-of-the-art infrastructure, and relatively inexpensive operational costs has propelled the country to the forefront of the global services industry. This article covers the history, achievements, challenges, and potential future of India's involvement in the global trade in services. Over the last several decades, India's economy has exploded, and the country has become an international hub for service industries such as information technology, business process outsourcing, software development, engineering, research and development, and many more. International corporations have set up shop in the country because of the outstanding quality of their services and the inexpensive rates. As a result, the export of services from India has increased dramatically, and the industry has become an important driver of economic growth and employment in the country. [1]

The article will examine the factors, such as government policies, investments in education and technology, and the participation of the private sector, that have led to India's remarkable success in the global services arena. We will also discuss some of the difficulties India confronts, such as rising competition from other emerging economies, the constant need for new ideas, and the possibility of trade obstacles erected by other nations.

Following a period of gradual loosening in the mid-1980s, India started along the road to liberal economic reform in the 1990s, after years of fostering a tightly controlled monetary system. It is now widely acknowledged that the policy change had a significant role in the impressive advancements seen in several economic sectors.

Many sectors, including trade and international investment, have profited greatly from this shift, the most prominent of which is the liberalization of the famous industrial licencing system. The goal of this chapter is to inform readers about climate change studies and how globalization has affected India's economy via trade and environmental impacts. One way in which globalization has helped India's economy is by increasing trade, investment, and GDP. By comparing trade volume to GDP, the conventional openness index has increased dramatically from little over 10% in the 1990s to more than 50% now, according to a brief analysis of the data. International commerce rose as a result of the overall drop in tariff rates. Additionally, FDI inflows hit record highs throughout the subsequent two decades.[2]

Multilateral Trade and Its Changing Landscape

Several major structural shifts have occurred in the international trade system since 1995. After the

Uruguay Round, other trade items became the primary emphasis of the multilateral trading system, rather than border limitations. Special consideration was given to developing nations in light of the unique structural and economic difficulties they encounter. In the past, some African, Caribbean, and Pacific governments were given economic concessions like privileged market access without expecting anything in return. This practice is exemplified by the Lomé Convention and the EU's GSP. The lack of international trade regulations, which might have impeded the capacity to formulate internal policy, was also a part of it. Due to the lack of issue-specific agreements or accords on domestic trade policy, members of GATT were primarily concerned with the flexibility to impose import bans in response to concerns about balance of payments or to protect sensitive sectors. The Tokyo Round laws specified a plurilateral strategy, which meant that many developing countries were immune from trade restrictions.

Growth and Dynamism of International Trade

Over the last decade, trade has become more dynamic and has had a greater impact on development and the global economy. This trend is predicted to continue. The growth, velocity, and extension of trade, together with its (potential) impact on development, are the defining features of globalization. Worldwide exports increased from $6 trillion in 1995 to about $14 trillion in 2006. Since 1995, the average annual growth rate of global merchandise trade has been 7.5%. Its growth rate since 2000 has been 13% per year on average. Developed nations' exports grew by 11% between 2000 and 2006, while developing nations' exports grew by 15.9% on average. Countries in transition had an export growth rate of 21.3%.the third

From 1995 to 2006, the export of items is shown in Table 1. There has been a 130% increase in the value of global merchandise trade and a 190% expansion in trade with emerging countries. As a consequence, rising countries are increasingly participating in global trade. Depending on the data source, the share of developing countries' trade in global markets rose from 28.1% in 1995 to 35.5% in 2006. Between emerging countries, the proportion of global trade has increased significantly. About 16% of all international trade was included by this segment in 2006. A rate of about 11% was seen ten years ago. In recent years, a number of dynamic developing countries have seen a tremendous growth in their share of international commerce in both products and services. As a result of this growth, new opportunities for trade and development have emerged. There is more evidence of this pattern in the fast growth of trade between emerging South American states. Their proportion of global exports more than quadrupled between 1970 and 2006, going from around 20% to more than 36%.

Table 1 Global Product Trade Volume

Reporter Name	Partner Name	1995	2000	2006	Growth Rate of Values 1995-2006 (%)
World	World	100	100	100	129.6
Developing Economies	World	28.1	32.6	35.5	190.0
Developing Economies	Developing Economies	11.3	12.5	15.8	219.9

Developing Countries in International Trade

The trade performance of the developing world is rather illuminating when considered collectively. At US$3.7 trillion, the developing world's share in global commerce increased threefold from 1995 to 2005. It hit a record high of 36% of all exports of products. Almost every group of countries had an increase in their trade to GDP ratio, which is a sign of increasing trade dependence and openness (refer to Table 2). Funding for development increasingly dependent on export profits A lot has changed in the developing world's engagement with developed economies since the mid-1980s. In 1985, developed nations' total imports from the South amounted to 25%; by 2005, that number had jumped to 32%, mostly because of the initiatives of developing Asia, especially China. While this was going on, the proportion of developed-world exports to the South remained about 23%, indicating that the South was expanding its share of the North American market. When it comes to trade with South Asian emerging countries, Japan is unrivaled. Japan receives at least 60% of its imports from the South, while the South receives at least 50% of Japan’s exports. Imports into the United States from the South increased from 35% in 1985 to 52% in 2005, a modest but noticeable increase. Between 1985 and 1995, the South's contribution of overall imports for the EEC15 declined somewhat due to reduced imports from Africa. Then, due mostly to an increase in Chinese imports, it skyrocketed to 20% between 1995 and 2005, matching its 1985 level. In general, the trade performance of rising countries has been outstanding. Neither the rate of advancement nor the distribution of this improvement across all developing regions have been uniform. Taken together, the rise in the developing world's share of global exports is almost completely due to China and the newly industrialized countries. China's export growth has outpaced other major economies on a constant basis. The quantity of goods shipped from China increased by 27%. Despite the fact that the US had higher total exports for 2006, its manufactured product exports in the second half were higher than US exports. South and Central America, the Commonwealth of Independent States, Africa, and the Middle East were the four areas where exports of products grew the most. The export of mining goods and fuels is vital to the economy of these areas. The exports of most emerging countries are still restricted to a modest range of natural resources, with the exception of a few exciting new states and a handful of quickly industrializing Asian economies.[4]

Table 2 Comparison of Global Trade to GDP

Economy	1995	2000	2005
World	43.3	50.3	56.4
Developing Economies	38.3	44.5	19.4
Developing Economies	61.7	69.9	78.0
Least Developed Countries	46.7	53.1	63.8

Low-value produced products and/or commodities derived from resources. Clothing and other textiles are examples of the second category. At best, they have provided very little benefit. The developing world has also seen a decline in its trading share, deteriorating terms of trade, and very unpredictable global pricing. Relying mostly on commodity exports, the majority of the fifty least developed countries are situated in sub-Saharan Africa. Their share of world exports, however, fell precipitously from 2.5% in 1960 to 0.5% in 1995, remained largely stable thereafter, and only increased to 0.8% during 2006.The most striking pattern is the enormous progress and development in Asia throughout the last decade. The Chinese market accounted for more than 6.5% of world imports in 2006; therefore this is very relevant to them. In 1995, China's contribution was 2.5%; this number shows a significant growth. This means that developing countries aren't only buying more from everyone; they're also increasing their exports. The outcome is that more people get to enjoy the benefits of trade-driven globalization. Their increasing exports have boosted their earnings, which have increased demand and imports, proving this point.[5]

International commerce and its ongoing evolution

Over the last twenty-five years, the international trading system has seen many profound structural changes. Border restrictions were no longer the major focus of the multilateral trading system after the Uruguay Round. Because emerging countries have distinct structural and economic challenges, they were given special attention. 1 Without requiring anything in return, several African, Caribbean, and Pacific nations were granted economic privileges such as special access to markets in the past. Lomé Convention and EU GSP are prime examples of this technique.2 Internal policymaking may have been hindered due to the absence of international trade laws. Given that GATT members were not required to sign any agreements or accords pertaining to domestic (behind-the-border) trade policy, the main focus was on the ability to impose import restrictions in response to balance of payment concerns and to protect sensitive industries. The plurilateral approach of the Tokyo Round regulations allowed certain developing countries to avoid the implementation of trade laws.[6] When it came to sugar, coffee, rubber, and tin, the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) was vital, and when it came to dairy and bovine meat, the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) was pivotal. The trade conditions before and after the Uruguay Round were quite different. The majority of emerging and developing nations' economies are now full members of the World Trade Organization. In 1995, the GATT Uruguay Round of multilateral trade discussions gave rise to the World Trade Organization (WTO), a formidable player in global trade. The trading system expanded its scope following the 1994 GATT to include agricultural commodities, services, intellectual property rights, trade investment measures, textiles and apparel. Due to trade limitations and tariff reductions, the developing world lost some of its influence over national policy. These factors impacted development programs and initiatives that were previously entirely under local control. The World Trade Organization, on the other hand, reaped the benefits of rule of law via its stricter restrictions. With the new and enhanced automated dispute resolution process, which is quasi-judicial in nature, members of the WTO are more likely to follow the rules and responsibilities that they have agreed upon, and they now have access to effective avenues for trade justice. Although developing nations lack the resources to guarantee the execution of rulings, a number of these nations are making good use of the dispute resolution technique.[7-8]

International economic cooperation and the WTO (World Trade Organization)

Treaties that are a member of the World Trade Organization (WTO) now make up the MTS; these treaties govern most international commerce and the nature of international trade relations. Since the agreements are based on the notion of a single commitment, all members of the World Trade Organization are committed to participate in them. The accords cover more ground in terms of domestic and border policy issues than the GATT did. These issues have reduced the flexibility with which developing countries may craft their domestic policies. Unfortunately, low-income countries will have less access to SDT and longer implementation timeframes (which are almost done), but they may still use it. The more stringently developed countries apply the principle of reciprocity, the more developed countries believe that developing nations will soon have national trade regimes as open as industrialized nations'. A new line of reasoning in the trading system's view of trade and development is that countries with different levels of development should have equal access to trade opportunities. Developing nations will not find practical answers to their unique structural issues via this method. Less developed countries get much more tailored assistance from the World Trade Organization. These countries' quest for access to multilateral financing in the 1980s sparked a wave of unilateral trade liberalization and domestic market deregulation that has since produced some of the most liberal national trade regimes in the world.

International trade has grown, the global economy has strengthened, and trade-driven globalization has accelerated thanks to the GATT/WTO system's facilitation of freer trade during the last sixty years.

The expansive nature of WTO agreements has hindered developing countries' ability to research and test out new policy options and proactive development programs. The vast, thorough, and profound WTO treaties increase the influence of trade system regulations on several government programs and development-oriented projects. The World Trade Organization's treaties have greatly impacted development in many areas, including subsidies for agriculture and industry, services, investment policies relating to trade, and intellectual property rights in international commerce. There were a number of industrial policy tools that developed nations could never replicate the success they had during their own periods of industrialization and catch-up. It is crucial to ensure that the remaining trade policy programs are given enough space and flexibility. Developing countries may use special and differentiated treatment (SDT) laws and the less-than-full reciprocity principle to help them break into the global market.[10]

The development of international trade

Every nation must be a member of the World Trade Organization for the trading system to work. For 29 developing and transitional nations, joining the World Trade Organization remains a daunting task. A sobering reminder: low-income countries eyeing membership nevertheless confront substantial reform promises and lofty liberalization aspirations. In one scenario, the acceding country would have "WTO-minus" rights and would not be able to take advantage of the trade agreements already in place; in the other, the acceding nation would have "WTO-plus" responsibilities and would be required to go above and beyond what other WTO members with similar levels of development have already promised and agreed upon. Using SDT provisions requires certain agreements and is not always guaranteed. All countries, regardless of wealth, must be treated fairly. These declarations must reflect the fact that each member state has its own unique commercial, financial, and development requirements. Joining the European Union will need the government to increase assistance at every stage. The Doha discussions should consider the specific needs of the newly admitted member states in light of the extensive and far-reaching commitments made prior to admission. If the terms and circumstances are adjusted to match the country's development level, joining the World Trade Organization might be a positive move for the country's institutional transformation, economic strategy, and trade capability. After becoming members of the World Trade Organization, developing countries are more likely to hasten economic and trade reforms as well as attempts to establish institutions.

SUGGESTIONS

- The importance of services to economies throughout the globe has grown as a result of globalization's impact on international commerce.
- Thanks to its educated workforce and advanced technology, India has become a powerhouse in the international trade of services.
- Indian IT and BPO services have catapulted the country to the forefront of the international service industry.
- Customer service, software development, and other services provided by India's English-speaking workforce provide the country a competitive edge.
- Numerous job openings and improved economic conditions have resulted from the expansion of India's service industry.
- India's service exports have increased due to outsourcing, which has given global corporations cost-effective solutions.
- International service investors have flocked to the country as a result of its regulatory changes and efforts to make doing business easier.
- The healthcare, education, financial, and entertainment industries are just a few of the many that contribute to India's service exports.
- India's international participation has benefited from the growing importance of cross-border data flows in sectors such as software development and digital marketing.
- India's service trade strategy must constantly change to overcome challenges including data privacy issues and competitiveness from other growing economies.

RECOMMENDATION

- Promote global cooperation in the pursuit of a standardized regulatory framework for international service commerce.
- Put money into training and education for workers so they can compete in the global service sector.
- Encourage the growth of digital infrastructure to make international data transfers and online service provisioning a breeze.
- Make international commerce in services easier by establishing trade agreements that give services priority.

- Promote study and new ideas to spur the development of valuable, internationally tradeable services.
- Increase the export of cultural and creative services by bolstering cultural diplomacy and exchange.
- Raise the bar for cyber protection in order to establish credibility for international digital service transactions.
- Help small and medium-sized businesses (SMEs) break into international service markets by enacting appropriate legislation.
- Conceive of all-encompassing plans to mitigate the possible damage that service trade may bring to domestic employment in certain industries.
- Why Encourage the creation of innovative services by bringing together government, businesses, and academic institutions.

CONCLUSION

Finally, the far-reaching impacts of globalization are shown by India's involvement in the worldwide trade of services. Thanks to its cheap solutions, highly skilled workforce, and cutting-edge technology, India has emerged as a worldwide powerhouse in the services sector. To stay ahead of the competition and seize new opportunities, India will need to innovate and adapt to changing market conditions. India's ability to thrive in the services trade hinges on its ability to adjust to the ever-shifting global trade and economic landscape.

REFERENCE

1. Costinot, A., Donaldson, D., and Smith, C. (2016): 'Evolving comparative advantage and the impact of climate change in agricultural markets: Evidence from 1.7 million fields around the world', Journal of Political Economy, 124(1), 205–248

2. Kar, S., and Majumdar, D. (2016): 'MFN Tariff Rates and Carbon Emission: Evidence from Lower-Middle-Income Countries', Environmental and Resource Economics, 64(3), 493–510.

3. Kala, C. P. (2014): 'Deluge, disaster and development in Uttarakhand Himalayan region of India: Challenges and lessons for disaster management', International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 8, 143–152

4. Hassaballa, H. (2013): Environment and foreign direct investment: Policy implications for developing countries', Journal of Emerging Issues in Economics, Finance and Banking, 1(2), 75–106.

5. Hanif, U., Syed, S. H., Ahmad, R., Malik, K. A., and Nasir, M. (2010): 'Economic impact of climate change on the agricultural sector of Punjab', The Pakistan Development Review, 49(4), Part II, 771–798

6. Nordås, H.K. (2010), "Trade in Goods and Services: Two Sides of the Same Coin?" Economic Modelling, 27, 496-506.

7. Joint Global Change Research Institute and Battelle Memorial Institute, Pacific Northwest Division (2009). India: The Impact of Climate Change to 2030 – A Commissioned Research Report. National Intelligence Council (U.S.), Available at https://www.hsdl.org/?view&did=24157

8. Rojicek, Marek. 2009. "The Effects of Export on the Czech Economy: Input-Output Approach." Paper presented at the 17th International Input Output Conference, International Input-Output Association (IIOA), Sao Paulo, Brazil, July 13-17, 2009.

9. Kumar, R., Jawale, P., and Tandon, S. (2008): 'Economic impact of climate change on Mumbai, India', Regional Health Forum, 12(1), 38–42, World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia.

10. Razmi, Arslan. 2005. "Balance of Payments Constrained Growth Model: The Case of India." Journal of Post Keynesian Economics 27 (4): 655-687.

Corresponding Author

Prachi*

Ph.D. Scholar (Economics), ISBM University, Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh, India