Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. 18, Issue No. 5, August-2021, ISSN 2230-7540

Development of Borderline personality disorder among Children

Mishra Muskan Vipul[1]*, Dr. Preeti Dixit[2]

[1] PhD Student,Kalinga University, Raipur (CG), India

Email - muskanmishra220@gmail.com

[2] PhD Guide, Dept. of Psychology, Kalinga University, Raipur (CG), India

Abstract - The complex origins of borderline personality disorder must be emphasized includes problematic childhood experiences. The condition is thought to be the product which, in accordance with the multifactorial hypothesis of BPD development, is the result of a complicated interaction between environmental and biological factors. Quite the opposite the purpose of this research to investigate biological variables. As a person enters maturity, there is a correlation between with a history of childhood trauma, including sexual or physical abuse, emotional neglect, abandonment, or other forms of abuse. There are also numerous psychotherapeutic approaches utilized in the management of BPD that include psychotherapy, consisting of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), schema-focused therapy (SFT), dialectical behavior therapy (DBT), and pharmacotherapy (O'Connell & Dowling, 2014). The purpose of this meta-analysis was to evaluate the significance of each form of childhood maltreatment (CM) as it contributes to borderline personality disorder (BPD) in adults. Since a meta-analytic approach was used, an additional purpose of the study was to identify possible moderators of type of CM or type of BPD construct that affected the overall effect size estimate for CM.

Keywords: Parenting, borderline personality disorder (BPD), psychopathology, biochemical mechanisms

----------X----------

INTRODUCTION

Incest, penetration, and recurrent abuse were more severe forms of childhood sexual abuse experienced by Chinese BPD patients. In addition, the characteristics that predict BPD were examined using multivariate analysis by Huang and colleagues. In China, researchers found BPD was shown to be more prevalent in cases when several risk factors were present. were present, including sexual abuse, maternal neglect, maternal physical abuse, and paternal antagonism. The Japanese research found that BPD was significantly predicted by emotional abuse, emotional neglect, and paternal overprotection. The writers have drawn the conclusion that the findings are a reflection of cultural differences between Western and Far Eastern parenting approaches, with the latter being more collectivistic and the former being more authoritarian, marked by forceful control and little response. The complex origins of borderline personality disorder must be emphasised. includes problematic childhood experiences. The condition is thought to be the product which, in accordance with the multifactorial hypothesis of BPD development, is the result of a complicated interaction between environmental and biological factors. Quite the opposite the purpose of this research to investigate biological variables.

Overall, it seems that different cultures place different amounts of emphasis on different types of childhood abuse when it comes to the development the cross-cultural aetiology of borderline personality disorder (BPD), although all cultures agree that childhood abuse is a factor.

The Deep Connection Between Trauma And Borderline Personality Disorder

According to estimates, 1.6% of adult Americans suffer from BPD, or borderline personality disorder, is a serious psychiatric condition. As a mental disorder, it hinders a person's capacity to regulate their emotions, which in turn may lead to impulsive actions, underdeveloped social skills, and low self-esteem. This problem permeates their lives and creates obstacles into their regular schedules.

One of the established risk factors for borderline personality disorder (BPD) is exposure to traumatic experiences. As a person enters maturity, there is a correlation between with a history of childhood trauma, including sexual or physical abuse, emotional neglect, abandonment, or other forms of abuse.

- **Borderline Personality Disorder**

Those who battle with dissociative identity disorder struggle to regulate their emotions and actions, which in turn affects their self- and other-perceptions. Extreme feelings, low self-esteem, and impulsivity are what they may expect. This makes it hard to keep acquaintances and relationships and creates major challenges in daily life

- **Key Symptoms of BPD**

Some of the most telling symptoms of borderline personality disorder are as follows:

  - Worry of being left behind.
  - Dangerous or impulsive actions such as binge-buying, gambling, or substance misuse.
  - Dramatic changes in how one views the other person are the root cause of relationship instability.
  - Stress-related paranoia

- **The Underlying Causes of BPD**

While no one component does not seem to have any other known causes of BPD, the following are among the most important ones:

Hereditary predisposition (genetic factors): Similar genetic variables increase your likelihood of developing borderline personality disorder (BPD) if a close relative has it.

Stress and trauma in childhood (environmental factors): Abuse and neglect have a strong correlation with borderline personality disorder (BPD).

Brain structure (neurobiological) differences: Brain regions involved in impulse control and emotion regulation are abnormal in individuals afflicted with BPD.

PARENTING-RELATED FACTORS IN BPD

Maladaptive Parenting

Psychosocial elements that put adolescents and toddlers at risk for developing borderline personality disorder include maladaptive parenting styles, which include abusive and neglectful parenting styles, domestic violence exposure, parental conflict, and childhood maltreatment. Children and adults who experienced verbal or physical abuse at the hands of their mothers had a nearly threefold greater risk of borderline personality disorder (BPD), according to a meta-analysis of ten studies. This prospective longitudinal research followed 6,050 moms and their children over time to find out if there was a correlation between less-than-ideal parenting, parental conflict when the kids were little, together with the signs of borderline personality disorder when they were older. The Family Adversity Index was used to evaluate many risk factors within the family, including but not limited to: housing, financial hardships, poor partner relationships, maternal affective disorder, drug addiction, and criminal activity. Parental aggressiveness, resentment, and physical aggression, such as punching or screaming, are determinants of borderline personality disorder and other personality disorders. Borderline personality disorder (BPD) symptoms are more common in children from dysfunctional households, according to statistical studies. These youngsters typically see less-than-ideal parenting and greater levels of parental conflict by the age of eleven.

Parenting Styles

A child's conduct differs according per the teachings of the parents style, which in turn determines the child's coping style. Authoritarian, authoritative, and permissive were the three distinct parenting stances identified by Baumrind. Authoritarian parenting is characterised by severe tactics such as physical punishment, screaming, and orders; it is high control but low warmth. There is two-way communication in homes where the parent is authoritative (warm and controlling), who sets strict limits while also being compassionate and caring. A permissive parent is one who is very warm but not very controlling; they don't set many rules or give many directives.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Karen Hughes et.al (2017) We searched five electronic databases for studies that reported risks of health outcomes associated with multiple adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). These outcomes included substance use, sexual health, mental health, weight and physical exercise, violence, and physical health status and conditions. The studies were cross-sectional, case-control, or cohort in nature. The studies were published up to May 6, 2016. For outcomes with enough data for meta-analysis (four or more populations), we chose studies that compared risk estimates for those with four or more ACEs to those without any ACEs. Interpretation Numerous health problems are increased when a person has several ACEs. Generational risks for adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) include the most strongly linked outcomes (such as violence, trauma, substance abuse, and mental illness) with many adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). Protecting children from harmful experiences (ACEs), resilience training, and the provision of services guided by ACEs must be prioritized if public health is to continue to improve. To lessen adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and the negative impact they have on health throughout a person's life, the Sustainable Development Goals provide a worldwide framework for doing so.

Maria Uscinska et.al (2018) Although many people experience traumatic situations, only a small fraction of those people will develop a diagnosable illness connected to trauma. Some researchers think that

BPD develops as a strong reaction to traumatic events that happen while a person is very young. Learning to control one's emotions systems and metacognitive and mentalization abilities are both impacted by attachment relationships that are severely disordered as a result of childhood abuse and neglect, which plays a significant etiological role. In addition, neuroscientific research has made significant strides in the recent decade towards understanding the neurological underpinnings of trauma. There is a lot of research that suggests that a mix of genetic and environmental variables, including biological vulnerabilities and exposure to borderline personality disorder (BPD) may onset in childhood due to traumatic experiences. Factors that increase the likelihood that a person may have a trauma-related illness, but no study has been able to predict with confidence which experience will result in symptoms. Childhood trauma alters psychological and epigenetic pathways, which are described here in relation to borderline personality disorder (BPD).

Katalin Merza et.al (2015) Objectives and Background: Research is pointing to childhood trauma as a possible cause of borderline personality disorder (BPD). Neglect and emotional/physical/sexual abuse are among the multiple traumatization's linked to borderline personality disorder (BPD), according to studies. Hungarian inpatients with a diagnosis of borderline personality disorder (BPD) are the focus of this study, which aims to identify the etiological factors most strongly associated with the development of BPD by analyzing their self-reported experiences of childhood trauma. Methods: Using the Traumatic Antecedents Questionnaire and the Sexual Abuse Scale of Early Trauma Inventory, we evaluated the traumatic childhood experiences of 80 psychiatric individuals suffering from BPD, 73 inpatients with depression, and 51 healthy controls. Findings: In contrast to depressive individuals and healthy controls, borderline patients were on the rise in terms of had adverse childhood events such as neglect, emotional abuse, physical abuse, sexual abuse, or witnessing trauma. Incest, penetration, and recurrent abuse were the hallmarks of the severe sexual abuse that borderline patients described. Individuals suffering from extreme personality disorder those who have experienced sexual assault were more likely to suffer from psychological and physical abuse. Sexual abuse, physical violence within families, and carer neglect were the most powerful indicators of a borderline personality disorder diagnosis. All things considered, our findings indicate that borderline patients in Hungary also often report a history of abuse or neglect in childhood, which is a strongly distinguishing factor.

Ellen F Finch et.al (2019) The "treatment as usual" (TAU) treatment for BPD is typically seen as ineffectual or perhaps iatrogenic in this evidence-based medicine age. The purpose of this meta-analysis was to assess the efficacy of TAU for BPD by pooling information from randomized controlled trials that included manualized psychotherapies and included TAU as one of their treatment arms. A thorough bibliographic search was used to identify the studies. Sixteen research were deemed eligible for inclusion. The effect sizes were computed and pooled using Comprehensive Meta-analysis V3 software. In 11 trials, Hedges' g for the main outcome category of BPD symptoms improved somewhat to moderately under TAU settings (95% CI: 0.246, 0.495). Included as secondary outcomes were self-harm/suicidality, global functioning, and general psychopathology. In 14 trials, Hedges' g was 0.119 (95% CI [0.025, 0.214]) and in 10 studies, g was 0.254 (95% CI [0.123, 0.384]), indicating minor improvements in general psychopathology and global functioning, respectively. There was no discernible impact on changes in suicidality or self-harm (four trials; g = 0.003; 95% CI [-0.193, 0.199]). Based on these results, it seems unlikely that TAU for BPD is intrinsically iatrogenic. Therefore, ordinary accessible care might be a viable alternative to specialized therapy for BPD if necessary. All rights reserved, 2019 APA, PsycINFO Database Record.

Sophie A. Rameckers et.al (2021) We conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis that included all trial types in order to ascertain if psychotherapies are effective for individuals with borderline personality disorder (PROSPERO ID: CRD42020111351). Setting, BPD symptom domain, mean age, and trial and outcome type (continuous vs. dichotomous) were among the factors that were investigated. The 87 studies (N = 5881) were culled from four databases searches conducted between 2013 and 2019. We accounted for variations in treatment duration and the reality that a logarithmic correlation between the two. Excluding outliers and giving total scale scores precedence when reporting both subscale and total scores allowed for sensitivity studies. Effect sizes were smaller for treatment-as-usual and larger for schema therapy, mentalization-based treatment, and decreased dialectical behaviour therapy. Dissociation, rage, impulsivity, and suicidality/self-injury shown the least improvement, whereas general severity and emotional instability demonstrated the most. As the age of the subjects grew, the efficacy of the treatment reduced. The impacts were bigger for dichotomous outcomes, while the effects were reduced for analyses based on the final observation. Some specialized psychotherapies showed much larger decreases than average. With some variation, all BPD domains showed improvement. Many other contexts could benefit from the findings. Although the results were unaffected by the design type, we are unable to draw causal conclusions from them.

Nikolaus Kleindienst et.al (2020) The link between illness known as borderline personality disorder and reported childhood adversity (CA) was examined in a recent meta-analysis published in Acta Psychiatric Scandinavica (1). Because it incorporates stratified analyses, this meta-analysis is very illuminating. The kind of main studies and the type of control group both have significant impacts on the effect size estimate, as shown by the meta-analysis.

Nevertheless, we are pleased to provide further analyses in support of this significant work. The goal of these further studies is to elucidate the findings from the meta-analysis in a more thorough and precise manner.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Problematic personality disorder known as borderline personality disorder (BPD), considered to be the most common, complex, and severely impairing personality disorder (Reiss, Lieb, Arntz, Shaw, & Farrell, 2014). Early childhood maltreatment (CM) is a risk factor for later psychopathology. Childhood trauma is very often seen in BPD subjects and is the main environmental element in BPD development (Martín-Blanco et al., 2014). Child Neglect and abuse have a detrimental impact on a person's capacity for social, emotional, and psychological growth. to foster and sustain interpersonal relationships throughout childhood, adolescence, and adulthood (Theran & Han, 2013).

Characteristics of Excluded Studies and Descriptive Statistics

The reasons for excluding 276 studies initially identified for inclusion were as follows: several of the studies had children or adolescents as the study participants, numerous were qualitative, some were review articles, others satisfied all inclusion requirements but lacked enough data to compute effect sizes.

Characteristics of Included Studies and Descriptive Statistics

Included studies (*n* = 13) ranged in publication date from 1999 to 2019. Overall, data used in this meta-analysis are from a total of 4,563 adult participants, of whom 87% experienced childhood physical abuse, 67% childhood sexual abuse, 60% childhood emotional abuse, 86% childhood neglect, and 36% childhood physical neglect. Of those adult participants, 5% experienced abandonment in adulthood, 26% had a BPD diagnosis in adulthood, 63% had BPD symptomology, and 6% experienced affective dysregulation. Table 4.1 summarizes the forms of maltreatment and the type of BPD construct used in all included studies.

Data Analysis

What is the effect size of CM as it contributes to adults diagnosed with BPD? The answer to this specific research question provided support for CM's contribution to BPD in adults. The study's findings also provided necessary intervention strategies for specific forms of childhood abuse/neglect. They should be treated first when children are exposed to more than one form of abuse and/or neglect. Tables 3.1–3.6 list the sample's demographic characteristics.

Table No. 3.1: Age of the participants

Range	17 – 36 Years
Mean	24.60 Years
SD	5.424

Table No. 3.2: Gender of the participants

Gender	Frequency	Percentage
Males	20	66.7
Females	10	33.3

Table No. 3.3: Educational Qualifications of the participants

Educational Qualification	Total N
Below High school	2
High school	5
Diploma	1
Intermediate	10
Graduate	5
Postgraduate	5
Professional Degree	2

Table No. 3.4: Marital Status of the participants

Relationship Status	Total N	Males	Females
Married	9	4	5
Unmarried	20	15	5
Living with a Partner	1	1	

Table No. 3.5: Family Income/Social Class of the participants

S.N.	Range of Income	Frequency
1.	Rs.10,000-15,000	4
2.	Rs.15,000-20,000	6
3.	Rs.20,000-25,000	2
4.	Rs.25,000-30,000	5
5.	Rs.30,000-50,000	6
6.	Rs.50,000-1,00,000	4
7.	Rs.1,00,000 and above	3

Table No. 3.6: Employment Status of participants

S. N.	Employment	Frequency
1.	Unemployed	7
2.	Part Time	2
3.	Full Time	2
4.	Student	12
5.	Homemaker	3
6.	Freelance/Self employed	4

The participants' ages varied from seventeen to thirty-six, with a mean of twenty-four. Twenty men and ten women made up the patient population. There were seven in the patients' rooms, seventeen in the outpatient area, and six in the counselling centre. The data collected was extensive and included fourteen case histories.

CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this meta-analysis was to evaluate the significance of each form of childhood maltreatment (CM) as it contributes to borderline personality disorder (BPD) in adults. Since a meta-analytic approach was used, an additional purpose of the study was to identify possible moderators of type of CM or type of BPD construct that affected the overall effect size estimate for CM. The results of this meta-analytic study of CM as it correlates to BPD in adults was positive and medium range. Collecting data across studies that assessed presence or absence of maltreatment, a continuous measure of maltreatment, existence or non-existence of symptoms of borderline personality disorder, as well as ongoing assessments of these symptoms, identified a positive and significant association. There was heterogeneity in the sizes of included studies, ranging from 60 participants in the Chesin et al. (2015) study using the roles of rejection sensitivity (RS) and childhood emotional neglect and abuse (ENA) as well as their interaction in BPD to 1196 participants in the Paris et al. (2009) study measuring documented cases of childhood Individuals who experienced physical or sexual abuse or neglect were more likely to develop borderline personality disorder (BPD) later in life. In addition, there was variability in the BPD constructs. For example, several studies focused on CM and BPD symptomatology, but outcome measures included highly reliable and validated instruments such as ACE and BPD checklist. Thus, despite using a statistical method to allow for comparisons between measures with different underlying numeric scales (computation of the standardized mean difference), the qualities of each measure must also be considered in the analysis of all data. Just as a clinician cannot rely on symptom checklists alone when evaluating a patient, the user of meta-analytic results cannot rely solely upon the computed effect sizes. The risk of bias in each study, such as using unreliable measures, or nonrandom assignment to groups, constitutes a meaningful qualitative framework to interpret the meta-analysis better.

Advances in the competency and training of therapists to help identify which treatments get the best results in working with children who have experienced various forms of childhood maltreatment could be practical and beneficial to not only these children but society as these children reach adulthood. It is imperative that service providers and policymakers identify practices to strengthen and restructure fragmented service delivery procedures so that maltreated children and families can access crucial services (Luthar & Brown, 2007). If identified early on, this would reduce the BPD symptoms from developing within childhood, eventually leading to BPD in adulthood. It could also theoretically benefit adults by identifying elements and underlying issues that led to BPD development. Additionally, it could educate adults diagnosed with BPD on the behaviors, stressors, and risk factors that led to BPD so they will not repeat the cycle with their children. There are strengths and weaknesses of different interventions, and identifying gaps in knowledge could lead to improved programs. Future research may be indicated in terms of matching specific types of CM to specific trauma focused therapy.

REFERENCE

1. Katalin Merza et.al “The role of childhood traumatization in the development of borderline personality disorder in Hungary” Eur. J. Psychiat. vol.29 no.2 Zaragoza abr./jun. 2015

2. Uscinska, M., Gagliano, N., Polla Mattiot, A., & Bellino, S. (2019). Borderline Personality Disorder and Childhood Trauma: The Posited Mechanisms of Symptoms Expression. IntechOpen. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.86442

3. Cattane, N., Rossi, R., Lanfredi, M., & Cattaneo, A. (2017). Borderline personality disorder and childhood trauma: Exploring the affected biological systems and mechanisms. BMC Psychiatry, 17, Article 221. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-017-1383-2

4. Cattane, N., Rossi, R., Lanfredi, M., & Cattaneo, A. (2017). Borderline personality disorder and childhood trauma: Exploring the affected biological systems and mechanisms. BMC Psychiatry, 17, Article 221. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-017-1383-2

5. Karen Hughes et.al “The effect of multiple adverse childhood experiences on health: a systematic review and meta-analysis”

VOLUME 2, ISSUE 8, E356-E366, AUGUST 2017

6. Witt KG, Hetrick SE, Rajaram G, Hazell P, Taylor Salisbury TL, Townsend E, Hawton K. Psychosocial interventions for self-harm in adults. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2021, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD013668. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD013668.pub2.

7. Carl H. Backes et.al "Patent Ductus Arteriosus: A Contemporary Perspective for the Pediatric and Adult Cardiac Care Provider" Journal of the American Heart AssociationVol. 11, No. 17

8. Caitlin E. Miller et.al "Measuring the shadows: A systematic review of chronic emptiness in borderline personality disorder" https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233970

9. J Christopher Fowler et.al "A naturalistic longitudinal study of extended inpatient treatment for adults with borderline personality disorder: An examination of treatment response, remission and deterioration"2016

10. Stepp, Stephanie & Lazarus, Sophie & Byrd, Amy. (2016). A Systematic Review of Risk Factors Prospectively Associated With Borderline Personality Disorder: Taking Stock and Moving Forward. Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, and Treatment. 7. 10.1037/per0000186

Corresponding Author

Mishra Muskan Vipul*

PhD Student,Kalinga University, Raipur (CG), India

Email - muskanmishra220@gmail.com