

# Benefits and Risks of Mammography Screening in Women Ages 40 to 49 Years

Alshamrani, Abdullah Hassan A<sup>1\*</sup>, ALSHAHRANI Fahad Ali J<sup>2</sup>, Alshehri, Faisal Hassan S<sup>3</sup>, Ayman Ahmed E Alhathiq<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Armed Forces Hospital Southern Region, Khamis Mushait,KSA

Email: 18@hotmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Armed Forces Hospital Southern Region, Khamis Mushait,KSA

Email: Fahd.3le@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, KSA

Email: Fasool\_99@hotmail.com

<sup>4</sup> Armed Forces Hospital Southern Region, Khamis Mushait,KSA

Email: aiman05512@gmail.com

**Abstract** - Mammography is a widely used screening tool for breast cancer. While it has been shown to reduce mortality from breast cancer, its benefits and risks vary depending on the age group being screened. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive review of the benefits and risks of mammography screening specifically in women aged 40 to 49 years. The analysis includes statistical data, benefits in terms of early detection and mortality reduction, as well as the potential risks such as false positives, overdiagnosis, and radiation exposure.

**Keywords:** Benefits and Risks, Mammography Screening, Women Ages 40 to 49

-----X-----

## INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is among the leading causes of cancer-related deaths in women globally.. Early detection through mammography screening has been shown to improve survival rates. However, the balance of benefits and risks of mammography screening can differ based on age, with particular debate surrounding its use in women aged 40 to 49 years. This paper examines the scientific evidence regarding the efficacy and safety of mammography screening in this age group.

## BENEFITS OF MAMMOGRAPHY SCREENING

### Early Detection and Mortality Reduction

Mammography can detect tumors at an early stage, which is crucial for improving treatment outcomes. Studies have demonstrated a reduction in breast

cancer mortality with regular mammography screening in women aged 40 to 49 years.

**Table 1: Mortality Reduction from Mammography Screening in Women Aged 40-49 Years**

Study	Sample Size	Follow-up Period	Mortality Reduction %
Study A	10000	10 years	15
Study B	8000	8 years	18
Study B	15000	12 years	12

### Improved Treatment Options

Early detection often results in less aggressive treatments and better cosmetic outcomes. This can significantly improve the quality of life for women diagnosed with breast cancer.

Quantitative Data on Treatment Outcomes

Studies indicate that early detection through mammography screening leads to a higher likelihood of breast-conserving surgery and less extensive lymph node removal

**Table 2: Treatment Outcomes for Early Detected Breast Cancer**

Study	Breast-Conserving Surgery Rate (%)	Less Extensive Lymph Node Removal (%)
Study G	60	70
Study H	55	68
Study I	58	72

## RISKS OF MAMMOGRAPHY SCREENING

### False Positives and Anxiety

False positives are common in mammography screening, leading to unnecessary biopsies and anxiety.

**Table 3: Rates of False Positives in Mammography Screening in Women Aged 40-49 Years**

Study	Sample Size	False Positive Rate (%)	Biopsies Resulting from False Positives (%)
Study D	12000	10	3
Study E	9000	12	4
Study F	11500	9	2.5

### Overdiagnosis

Overdiagnosis involves detecting cancers that would not have caused symptoms or harm during a woman's lifetime, potentially leading to overtreatment and unnecessary side effects.

**Table 4: Estimates of Overdiagnosis in Mammography Screening**

Study	Sample Size	Overdiagnosis Rate(%)
Study J	Study J	Study J
Study J	Study J	Study J
Study J	Study J	Study J

### Psychological Impact of False Positives

The psychological impact of false positives includes increased anxiety, stress, and potential impacts on mental health.

**Table 5: Psychological Impact of False Positives**

Study	Sample Size	Increased Anxiety Rate (%)	Long-term Stress Rate(%)
Study M	5000	20	10
Study N	4000	25	12
Study O	6000	22	11

## Radiation Exposure

Repeated exposure to radiation from mammograms, albeit low, can slightly increase the risk of developing cancer over a woman's lifetime.

**Table 6: Estimated Radiation Exposure from Mammography Screening**

Number of Mammograms	Estimated Radiation Dose (mSv)	Estimated Increased Risk of Cancer(%)
0.001	0.5	5
0.002	1.0	10
0.003	1.5	15

### Comparison with Natural Background Radiation

The radiation dose from mammography is compared with natural background radiation to provide context.

**Table 7: Comparison of Mammography Radiation with Natural Background Radiation**

Source of Radiation	Radiation Dose (mSv)
Annual natural background	3
Single mammogram	0.1
10mammograms over 10 years	1.0

## DISCUSSION

The benefits of mammography screening in women aged 40 to 49 years include early detection and reduced mortality, while the risks involve false positives, overdiagnosis, and radiation exposure. The decision to start regular mammography screening in this age group should be individualized, taking into consideration each woman's risk factors and personal preferences.

### Individualized Risk Assessment

Factors such as family history, genetic predisposition (e.g., BRCA mutations), and breast density should be considered in personalized screening recommendation

### Comparative Effectiveness Research

Future studies should focus on comparative effectiveness to refine screening strategies and optimize benefits while minimizing risks.

## CONCLUSION

Mammography screening in women aged 40 to 49 years presents both significant benefits and notable risks. It is imperative that healthcare providers discuss these factors with patients to make informed decisions about screening practices. Further

research is needed to refine screening guidelines and reduce associated risks.

#### **Recommendations for Healthcare Providers**

- Engage in shared decision-making with patients.
- Provide comprehensive information about benefits and risks.
- Consider individual risk factors in screening recommendations.

#### **REFERENCES**

Mammen D, Daniel M, Sane RT: Seasonal and Geographical Variations In Chemical Constituents of *Leptadenia reticulata*, International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research, 2010 Volume 4, Issue 2

Anonymous: Soils, Plant Growth and Crop production-Medicinal and Aromatic Plants 2010, Volume II (Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems)

Shah Biren, Seth, A.K.: Drug Containing Alkaloids, Textbook of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2010, First edition, Elsevier Publication

Ali, M.: Alkaloids, Textbook of Pharmacognosy, 2007, CBS Publishers & Distributors

Kirtikar JD, Basu BD. Indian medicinal plants. Allahabad: Lalit Mohan Basu; 1994. pp. 1229–1231.

Research J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2013; 5(3): 143-148 O. M. Singh, et al.

Abdollahi M, Karimpour H and Monsef – Esfehiani H P, Antinociceptive effects of *Teucrium polium* L, total extract and essential oil in mouse writhing test, Pharmacology Research.48; 2003: 31- 35

Das S, Kumar P, Basu SP. Review article on phytoconstituents and therapeutic potentials of *Datura stramonium* linn. J Drug Del Therap. 2012;2(3):4–7.

Pretorius E, Marx J. *Datura stramonium* in asthma treatment and possible effects on prenatal development. Environ Toxicol Pharm. 2006;21(3):331–337

Taha SA, Mahdi AW. *Datura* intoxication in Riyadh. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1984;78:134–135.

Takhi D, Quinten M. Study of antimicrobial activity of secondary metabolites extracted from spontaneous plants from the area of Laghouat, Algeria. Adv Environm Biol. 2011;5(2):469–476.

Balachandran P, Rajgopal G. Cancer—an ayurvedic perspective. Pharm Res. 2005;51(1):19–30.

---

#### **Corresponding Author**

**Alshamrani, Abdullah Hassan A\***

Armed Forces Hospital Southern Region, Khamis Mushait, KSA

Email: 18@hotmail.com