Gender Role, Silence, and Belonging: A Sociological Study of Identity Formation Among Homosexual Individuals in Indian Families

Surabhi Goswami [1] *

1. Research Scholar, Department of Sociology, Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, India
surabhi.goswami50989@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper examines the intersection of gender role, silence, and the quest for belonging in the identity formation of homosexual individuals within Indian families. Drawing on qualitative data from in-depth case studies, the research explores how gay men and lesbian women construct and express their sexual identity amidst cultural stigma, gendered expectations, and emotional isolation. The study uses Goffman's theory of stigma, Cass and D'Augelli's identity development models, and Maslow's hierarchy of needs to analyze how individuals manage identity disclosure, perform gender roles strategically, and navigate familial rejection or silence. The findings suggest that family often becomes a contradictory space: emotionally central yet socially suppressive. Through the lived narratives of homosexual individuals, the paper highlights how silence becomes a survival strategy, and how gender role performance is manipulated to maintain social harmony. The research calls for a deeper sociological engagement with sexuality and family, urging inclusive policies and awareness that reflect the realities of queer lives in India.

Keywords: LGBTQIA+, gender role, identity formation, Indian families, stigma, homosexuality, belonging, silence

- X -

INTRODUCTION

Despite the decriminalization of same-sex relations in India in 2018, the social realities of homosexual individuals remain largely untransformed. The Indian family, as a central institution in both emotional and social life, continues to uphold heteronormative ideals that render homosexuality invisible or unacceptable. While legal reform has opened conversations in the public domain, most queer individuals experience their identities within the confines of silence, guilt, or fear, particularly in familial contexts where heterosexual marriage, gender conformity, and social reputation dominate.

Sexual identity is not merely an internal awareness; it is constantly shaped, affirmed, or challenged by one's social environment. For gay and lesbian individuals in India, this environment is often characterized by rigid expectations of gender performance and silence around non-normative desires. The family, instead of being a space of support, frequently becomes a site of surveillance, control, or conditional affection. Disclosure of sexual identity is perceived as a threat to family honor or cohesion, leading many individuals to either remain silent or suppress parts of their self.

This paper seeks to explore how homosexual individuals in India construct, conceal, and negotiate their identities within such domestic spaces. It investigates the sociological implications of gender role conformity, strategic silence, and the longing for belonging in a context where recognition is often

withheld. Grounded in case study narratives and supported by key sociological theories, this study aims to make visible the silent emotional labor performed by queer individuals in their pursuit of dignity and acceptance.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The sociological exploration of homosexuality has expanded significantly over the past few decades, shaped by the rise of queer theory, feminist critique, and identity-based models of human development. Foundational thinkers such as Erving Goffman (1963) introduced the concept of stigma to understand how marginalized individuals negotiate their identities within oppressive social structures. Goffman's work is especially relevant in analyzing how homosexual individuals present themselves in environments where their identities are socially discredited. Judith Butler (1990) further challenged the essentialist view of gender by arguing that gender is performative a series of repeated actions constructed through societal expectations rather than inherent traits.

Identity development models have also provided valuable insight into how LGBTQIA+ individuals understand and accept their sexual orientation over time. Vivienne Cass (1979) proposed a six-stage model of homosexual identity formation, moving from identity confusion to identity synthesis. Richard D'Augelli (1994) expanded on this by emphasizing the non-linear, socially embedded nature of identity, particularly in hostile environments. These models highlight that identity formation is not only a personal journey but also a deeply social process shaped by acceptance, disclosure, and community support.

In the Indian context, queer lives are influenced by a unique interplay of culture, law, family, and morality. Vanita and Kidwai's Same-Sex Love in India (2000) revealed the rich historical presence of queer desire, often erased by colonial legal and moral frameworks. Later research by Arvind Narrain (2014), Gautam Bhan (2005), and others emphasized how queer activism and legal reforms   particularly the reading down of Section 377   have opened discursive spaces, yet have failed to penetrate family life, where most queer people remain closeted. NGO-based reports also note how family structures especially in non-metropolitan settings   continue to enforce silence, shame, and heteronormative conformity.

This study builds on this growing body of work but focuses specifically on how silence, gender role expectations, and the need for emotional belonging function within the institution of the Indian family. While much literature has analyzed public or activist queer spaces, this research centers the home as the primary site where identity is both negotiated and denied   making the invisible emotional strategies of queer individuals more sociologically legible.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

This study seeks to explore the lived experiences of homosexual individuals within Indian families, focusing on how gender role expectations, silence, and the desire for acceptance shape their identity formation. The key objectives include:

1. To examine how gay and lesbian individuals perform or negotiate traditional gender roles in family settings.

2. To understand the role of silence and non-disclosure in maintaining familial harmony or self-

preservation.

3. To explore how feelings of emotional belonging or alienation influence identity construction.

4. To apply sociological theories to analyze the internal and external mechanisms of identity regulation.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. How do homosexual individuals in India manage their gender roles within traditional family structures?

2. What role does silence or concealment play in their process of identity construction and survival?

3. How does the family as a social institution affect their sense of emotional belonging or rejection?

4. What sociological patterns emerge in their strategies of identity negotiation and expression?

METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a qualitative approach rooted in interpretivist sociology, emphasizing the subjective meanings and lived experiences of individuals. Given the sensitive nature of sexual identity and family dynamics, qualitative case study methodology was deemed most appropriate to explore the nuanced processes of identity formation among homosexual individuals in India.

The study is based on primary data collected from in-depth interviews with a purposive sample of twenty self-identified homosexual individuals, including sixteen gay men and four lesbian women. These respondents were connected through the support of a non-governmental organization working in the field of LGBTQIA+ welfare. The sampling was intentional and not representative, focusing on individuals who had firsthand experience of navigating their identities within familial contexts.

Each interview was semi-structured, allowing for both guided conversation and open-ended responses. Respondents were asked about their self-perception, gender role expressions, experiences of silence or concealment, family interactions, and emotional struggles. The interviews were conducted in confidentiality, with pseudonyms used for all participants to protect identity and consent obtained in accordance with ethical research standards.

Thematic analysis was applied to the narratives, allowing recurring patterns and categories to emerge organically. These themes included gender performance, emotional suppression, identity concealment, familial rejection or indifference, and the yearning for acceptance. While the findings are not generalizable to all homosexual individuals in India, they offer in-depth insight into the emotional and sociological terrain inhabited by many.

The theoretical framework guiding the analysis includes Goffman's stigma theory, Cass and D'Augelli's identity development models, and Maslow's hierarchy of needs. These helped to frame the respondents' experiences not merely as personal stories, but as expressions of broader social processes of marginalization, adaptation, and resistance.

KEY FINDINGS

The narratives collected in this study revealed a complex and often painful interplay between gender role expectations, silence, and the need for familial belonging. Several common themes emerged across the interviews.

1. Gender Role Negotiation:

Many respondents felt compelled to conform to gender norms within their families. Gay men who expressed femininity were often criticized or mocked, while those who performed traditional masculine behaviors received conditional tolerance. Similarly, lesbian respondents who maintained stereotypically "feminine" appearances found it easier to "pass" within their families. The performance of gender roles became a strategy not of self-expression but of protection.

2. Silence as Survival:

Silence emerged as a dominant mode of survival. Almost all respondents had not openly disclosed their sexual identity to their families. They feared loss of affection, emotional breakdowns, or coercion into heterosexual marriage. This silence was not always voluntary it was shaped by fear, obligation, and the perceived futility of being understood. One gay respondent remarked, "In my family, even straight relationships are taboo. How can I even begin to explain mine?"

3. Emotional Estrangement:

While respondents continued to live within their families or remain in contact, there was a consistent sense of emotional distance. They often felt like strangers within their own homes, unable to share a crucial part of their identity. This led to isolation, anxiety, and in some cases, depression. The longing for unconditional acceptance was deeply felt but rarely fulfilled.

4. Dual Lives and Strategic Presentation:

Several individuals lived what may be termed "dual lives" presenting a conformist identity at home while expressing their true selves among friends, NGOs, or queer networks. This bifurcation created psychological strain, but also allowed for some sense of authenticity in safe spaces.

5. Resilience and Redefinition:

Despite emotional hardships, many respondents exhibited a remarkable degree of resilience. They created chosen families among queer peers, sought professional help, and redefined the meaning of family beyond blood ties. Their ability to persist in asserting their identities, even within constraining environments, reflects a form of quiet resistance.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study underscore the deeply gendered and heteronormative character of Indian familial structures and how they intersect with sexual identity, silence, and emotional belonging. The use of gender role conformity and silence by homosexual individuals as coping mechanisms aligns with Goffman's (1963) concept of "managing a spoiled identity." In a space where disclosure invites stigma and rejection,

concealment becomes not a matter of dishonesty but of emotional survival.

The performance of culturally sanctioned gender roles especially masculinity in gay men and femininity in lesbian women highlights Butler's (1990) theory of gender performativity. Gender is not only enacted but also monitored and reinforced by family members, making non-conformity both socially dangerous and psychologically costly. Respondents' efforts to "pass" as straight or to exaggerate normative behaviors reflect how performance is weaponized for acceptance.

The Cass identity model (1979) was visible in the narratives of several respondents particularly in their progression from confusion and comparison to tolerance and, in a few cases, identity synthesis. However, most respondents appeared to be "stuck" at intermediate stages due to familial non-acceptance and lack of safe spaces. D'Augelli's (1994) model, which accounts for environmental hostility and the fluidity of identity expression over time, better captured their experiences especially the fragmentation between private authenticity and public conformity.

Maslow's (1943) Hierarchy of Needs also frames this discussion effectively. Most respondents reported chronic deficits at the levels of love and belonging, which directly impacted their esteem and self-actualization. Their silence and dual lives reflect an attempt to secure safety at the cost of personal fulfillment. In this context, the family fails as a supportive institution and instead becomes a regulating force enforcing social conformity.

Yet, within these constraints, the agency of individuals is not entirely erased. Through chosen communities, online networks, and personal strategies of self-care, respondents carved out alternative spaces of affirmation. This speaks to what Michel Foucault might term "subjugated knowledges" lived truths that resist dominant narratives but remain marginalized.

Overall, this study contributes to queer sociology by making visible the invisible: the emotional labor, compromises, and strategic silences that homosexual individuals carry within the heart of Indian familial life.

CONCLUSION

This research highlights the tension between identity and belonging for homosexual individuals in Indian families. While legal and public recognition of homosexuality is gradually improving, the private domain of the family remains steeped in silence, denial, and normative control. The performance of gender roles, the choice to remain closeted, and the emotional distance from family members are not simply personal struggles but reflections of larger social structures that resist diversity and difference.

The family, often idealized as a source of unconditional support, becomes a paradoxical space where affection is conditional on conformity. In such a context, silence becomes a form of self-preservation, and strategic gender role performance a daily performance of survival. Yet even within these hostile environments, individuals demonstrate resilience, redefine kinship, and claim their identities in subtle, powerful ways.

Sociologically, this study urges greater attention to the micro-processes of exclusion and adaptation experienced by LGBTQIA+ individuals not only in public institutions but also within the private sphere of

the home. It calls for more inclusive education, sensitization programs, and policy reforms that move beyond legality and address familial and emotional dimensions of queer life in India.

References

1. Bhan, G. (2005). The making of queer spaces in India. Seminar, (545), 44–49.

2. Butler, J. (1990). Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity. Routledge.

3. Cass, V. C. (1979). Homosexual identity formation: A theoretical model. Journal of Homosexuality, 4(3), 219–235. https://doi.org/10.1300/J082v04n03_01

4. D'Augelli, A. R. (1994). Identity development and sexual orientation: Toward a model of lesbian, gay, and bisexual development. In E. J. Trickett, R. J. Watts, & D. Birman (Eds.), Human diversity: Perspectives on people in context (pp. 312–333). Jossey-Bass.

5. Foucault, M. (1980). Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings, 1972–1977. Pantheon.

6. Goffman, E. (1963). Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity. Prentice-Hall.

7. Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. Psychological Review, 50(4), 370–396. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0054346

8. Narrain, A. (2014). Queer: Despised sexuality, law and social change. Books for Change.

9. Pukar. (2008). Breaking the silence: Voices of sexual minorities in India. People's Union for Civil Liberties.

10. Vanita, R., & Kidwai, S. (2000). Same-sex love in India: Readings from literature and history. Palgrave.

11. Voices Against 377. (2010). Human rights violations against sexuality minorities in India. Retrieved from http://www.voicesagainst377.org