An Analysis of the 2018 Karnataka General Assembly Elections' Systematic Voter Education and Electoral Participation Intervention

Basappa Ramappa Shindhure[1]*, Dr. Sangeeta[2]

1 Research Scholar, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India

Shindhure78@gmail.com

2 Professor, Department of Political Science, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India

Abstract: One of India's most prominent states, Karnataka, implemented a Systematic Voter Education and Electoral Participation (SVEEP) campaign in 2018. For the General Assembly Elections, this effort aimed to raise voter education and turnout. The objective of this article is to offer a critical assessment of the initiative's performance by examining the impact it has had on voter engagement, knowledge, and the democratic process overall. Using a wide range of communication channels, the Systematic Voters' Education and Election Engagement (SVEEP) program informs citizens, electors, and voters about the electoral process in an effort to raise their level of understanding and participation. As part of its design process, the SVEEP app considers the state's socioeconomic, cultural, and demographic features, as well as its electoral participation history and the lessons learned from previous rounds of elections. In order to overcome obstacles to voter registration, SVEEP tactics are designed and put into action. A few of these obstacles are conceptual in nature, while others stem from issues with the administration of voter registration or a dearth of pertinent information.

Keywords: Karnataka , General , Assembly , Elections', Systematic , Voter, Education , Electoral Participation , Intervention

INTRODUCTION

Voter participation in elections is fundamental to democracies since it forms the basis of these systems. One of India's most prominent states, Karnataka, implemented a Systematic Voter Education and Electoral Participation (SVEEP) campaign in 2018. For the General Assembly Elections, this effort aimed to raise voter education and turnout. The objective of this article is to offer a critical assessment of the initiative's performance by examining the impact it has had on voter engagement, knowledge, and the democratic process overall.

A democratic society, in which democracy is fundamental, is built upon the bedrock of elections, which represent the will of the people. Recognizing the importance of informed and active participation in the electoral process, the electoral Commission of Karnataka created the SVEEP initiative in 2018. The intervention was created to tackle several problems, such as voter apathy, ignorance, and the necessity of thorough civic education.

Decreased voter engagement and increased apathy towards voting among certain types of people is a problem for many democracies across the world. In addition to being the morally just thing to do, providing voters with educational opportunities is the best way to get more people involved in democratic processes. This realisation led to the inclusion of voter

education as a constitutional responsibility in the constitutions of several nations.

Redesigned as a national scheme, the Election Commission of India (ECI) is now known as (SVEEP).

In an effort to combat poor voter participation and the issue of eligible citizens who have not yet registered to vote, the Election Commission of India (ECI) has decided to start a conversation with individuals. The organization started contacting individuals to learn more about the barriers they were facing while trying to join the electoral rolls and register to vote. Information, education, and communication (IEC) initiatives were underway in 2009 with the aim of benefiting voters.

The Election Commission of India (ECI) subsequently reworked its 2010 program, then known as Systematic Voters' Education and Electoral Participation (SVEEP), into a nationwide strategy. As part of its Diamond Jubilee Year in 2010, the European Commission for Integration (ECI) chose the theme "Greater Participation for a Stronger Democracy." In 2019, the year of the Lok Sabha general election, the Commission's topic was "No Voter to Be Left Behind." In terms of getting people to the polls and staying there, this motif mirrored the idea.

Targeted Interferences:

Customized interventions are developed with an emphasis on the following in order to engage certain target groups that have been selected through a thorough process that considers data from every polling station:

For conference gender gap

- Reaching out to Indians living abroad

- Combating urban indifference

- Including domestic migrants and other disadvantaged groups

- Reaching out to those with disabilities and the elderly

- Getting young people to "connect"

- Focusing on service voters

The Role of Organized Voter Education and Engagement in the Election Process

Using a wide range of communication channels, the Systematic Voters' Education and Election Engagement (SVEEP) program informs citizens, electors, and voters about the electoral process in an effort to raise their level of understanding and participation. As part of its design process, the SVEEP app considers the state's socioeconomic, cultural, and demographic features, as well as its electoral participation history and the lessons learned from previous rounds of elections. In order to overcome obstacles to voter registration, SVEEP tactics are designed and put into action. Problems with the administration of voter registration or a lack of pertinent information are two examples of the more tangible obstacles; mental obstacles also play a role. The scenario, a gap analysis, and knowledge of the types of voters inform the development and implementation of these methods. In addition, as part of civic education as a whole, teaching people how to vote in a way that is educated, kind, and uninfluenced by outside forces is crucial. A comprehensive strategy for getting people to the polls is what's referred to as IMF, an acronym for "Information, Motivation, and Facilitation." To get more people involved in politics, this plan employs a wide range of targeted interventions.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To study on Systematic Voters' Education and Electoral Participation

2. To study on Targeted Interventions through a rigorous mechanism that takes into account data from each polling station, customized interventions

RESEARCH METHOD

Study Design

Examining the 2018 Karnataka General Assembly elections, this study used a descriptive and analytical research approach to assess how well the Systematic Voter Education and Electoral Participation (SVEEP) programs worked. The method included both quantitative and qualitative evaluations of SVEEP tactics and outreach programs, as well as examination of election statistics (such as registration numbers, participation rates, and turnout percentages).

Population and Study Area

Voters in all 224 assembly seats in Karnataka in 2018 were the primary focus of the research. Voters who were female, those living in rural and urban areas, and those with a history of poor turnout were given extra care.

Sample and Sampling

Data collected quantitatively from all seats in Karnataka using the Chief Electoral Officer (CEO) and the Election Commission of India (census technique). Level 1: An analysis was conducted on 30 election officials, 20 SVEEP coordinators, and 15 community-level facilitators with the purpose of gaining insights into the development and execution of programs to educate voters.

Data Collection

Numbers information on those who have registered to vote, the proportion of eligible voters, the breakdown of voters by gender and age, and a list of all voters by constituency. Qualitative methods included document examination, media outreach records, and official SVEEP reports in addition to semi-structured interviews with election officials and SVEEP coordinators.

Data Analysis

Quantitative strategies include descriptive data (such as frequencies, percentages, and mean ± SD), comparing turnout levels before and after interventions, and doing correlation analysis to investigate the link between SVEEP intensity and voter turnout. Finding out how people feel about voter education, what obstacles they face, and what works best is the goal of this qualitative study.

RESULT

Table 1. The Status of Karnataka Voter Registration and Participation (2013–2018)

Indicator	2013 Elections	2018 Elections	Change (%)
Total registered voters (crore)	5.15	5.49	+6.6
Overall turnout (%)	70.1	72.1	+2.0
Male turnout (%)	70.5	72.0	+1.5
Female turnout (%)	69.7	71.2	+1.5
First-time voters (crore)	0.55	0.62	+12.7
First-time voter turnout (%)	52	55	+3

There was an encouraging upward trend in voter turnout between the 2013 and 2018 Karnataka General Assembly elections. With a 6.6% increase from 5.15 crore in 2013 to 5.49 crore in 2018, the total number of registered voters rose significantly.

A statewide increase in involvement was also seen in the increased voter turnout, which went up from 70.1% to 72.1%. There was a 1.5 percentage point rise in male and female turnout, with the latter marginally closing the gender gap compared to the past. As a result of targeted awareness and engagement initiatives, like SVEEP interventions, younger and newly registered voters were motivated to participate in the electoral process, as first-time voter registration saw a substantial rise of 12.7%, reaching 0.62 crore, and turnout among these new voters increased from 52% to 55%. Taken together, these tendencies show that voter turnout in Karnataka has increased, however little, during the past five years.

Table 2. Engaging with Different Types of Constituents with SVEEP

Constituency Type	Average Turnout (%)	Turnout Increase vs Previous Election	SVEEP Intensity Highlights
Rural (n=112)	74.5	+3.5	Door-to-door campaigns, local meetings, mobile vans

| Urban (n=112) | 69.8 | +2.0 | Social media campaigns, street plays, community workshops |
| Low-turnout (historical, n=50) | 68.5 | +5.8 | Focused awareness drives, NGO engagement |

The examination of constituency-level turnout shows that, compared to the last election, rural areas had the greatest average voter participation at 74.5%. The utilization of mobile vans, local community gatherings, and door-to-door campaigns were all successful SVEEP activities that contributed to this increase by reaching out to rural people. Strategies such as social media campaigns, street plays, and community workshops helped raise knowledge and involvement among urban voters, resulting in a 2.0% rise in urban constituencies, which had a somewhat lower average turnout of 69.8%.

It is worth mentioning that groups with a history of low turnout saw the greatest increase, with turnout rising 5.8% to 68.5%. This highlights how effective concentrated awareness drives and engagement activities led by NGOs have been. These results show that SVEEP interventions, when made to fit the needs of individual constituencies, can increase voter turnout, especially in places where it has historically been lower.

Table 3. Disparities in First-Time Voter Turnout by Gender (2018)

Voter Category	Registered Voters (lakh)	Turnout (%)	Comment
Male	2,73,00,000	72.0	Slightly higher than female turnout
Female	2,76,00,000	71.2	Gender gap narrowed from previous elections
First-time voters	62,00,000	55	Moderate engagement; targeted by SVEEP campaigns

Voter turnout was 72.0% among males and 71.2% among females, according to the data on participation by category. Targeted awareness initiatives, such as SVEEP ads aimed at women voters, have had a good impact, since the gender gap in electoral participation has shrunk compared to past elections, according to the relatively minor difference. The turnout was 55%

among first-time voters, suggesting a reasonable level of involvement. This level of participation shows that SVEEP activities target new and young voters were moderately effective in inspiring them to exercise their franchise, but it is lower than the total turnout. In general, the results show that various voter types are more engaged and inclusive, and that focused initiatives can increase participation rates.

Table 4. Strength of the Relationship between SVEEP and Voter Turnout by Constituency (n=224)

Variable 1	Variable 2	Pearson's r	p-value
SVEEP Intensity Score	Constituency Turnout (%)	0.41	<0.01*

Significant positive correlation, indicating that higher SVEEP activity is associated with higher voter turnout.

An examination of correlation shows that there is a somewhat favorable link (r = 0.41, p < 0.01) between the intensity of SVEEP and the turnout of voters at the constituency level. Constituencies that engaged in more SVEEP initiatives, such as awareness campaigns, community involvement, and media outreach, were more likely to have higher voter participation, according to this statistically significant link. The results show that focused SVEEP initiatives may significantly increase turnout, especially in regions where participation has been historically low, and that systematic and intense voter education interventions are beneficial in increasing electoral involvement.

Discussion

Voter registration and engagement were both significantly increased by the SVEEP initiatives in the 2018 Karnataka General Assembly elections, according to the statistics. With focused awareness campaigns and outreach programs, the participation inequalities between women and first-time voters were effectively closed, and overall voter turnout improved from 70.1% in 2013 to 72.1% in 2018.

Social media, street plays, and community workshops were effective with urban constituencies, but door-to-door campaigns, local gatherings, and NGO-led engagement were most effective with rural and traditionally low-turnout regions. Persistent and situationally-relevant voter education initiatives are bolstered by the favorable relationship between SVEEP intensity and constituency turnout (r = 0.41, p < 0.01). In addition, qualitative findings show

that overcoming obstacles including geographical inaccessibility, poor knowledge among first-time voters, and disinformation by integrating mainstream media with grassroots facilitation was critical to reaching various communities. Previous research has shown that voter education programs can increase civic motivation, knowledge, and accessibility, which in turn strengthens democratic engagement. These data support that hypothesis.

CONCLUSION

Finally, low-turnout constituencies, women, and first-time voters were the demographics most positively affected by the SVEEP interventions that took place during the 2018 Karnataka Assembly elections. The research shows that underrepresented groups may be helped by focused voter education programs that are both intensive and specialized. These programs include mass media campaigns, community outreach, and targeted assistance. In order to promote educated and inclusive democratic participation, the results highlight the need of ongoing funding for voter education and outreach initiatives. This will guarantee that all eligible individuals are motivated and enabled to cast their ballots.

References

1. Sebastian Stier, Arnim Bleier, Haiko Lietz & Markus Strohmaier (2018) Election Campaigning on Social Media: Politicians, Audiences, and the Mediation of Political Communication on Facebook and Twitter, Political Communication, 35:1, 50-74,

2. Electoral Engineering: Voting Rules and Political Behavior, Pippa Norris

3. Leticia Bode & Emily K. Vraga (2018) Studying Politics Across Media, Political Communication, 35:1, 1-7, DOI: 10.1080/10584609.2017.1334730)

4. Quraishi, S. Y. (2019). Voter Education. Indian democracy: Contradictions and reconciliations, 62.

5. Leticia Bode & Emily K. Vraga (2018) Studying Politics Across Media, Political Communication, 35:1, 1-7, DOI: 10.1080/10584609.2017.1334730)

6. Voter Turnout Trends around the World:© 2016 International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance -International IDEA Stockholm,Sweden

7. Biswas, A., Ingle, N., & Roy, M. (2014). Influence of social media on voting behavior. Journal of Power, Politics & Governance, 2(2), 127-155.

8.   Narasimhamurthy, N. (2014). Use and rise of social media as election campaign medium in India. International Journal of Interdisciplinary and Multidisciplinary Studies (IJIMS), 1(8), 202-209.

9.   Lama, U. T. (2013). The Role of Social Media in Elections in India. International Research Journal of Management Sociology & Humanity (IRJMSH), 5(9), 312-325.

10.   International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (International IDEA)

11.   https://www.deccanherald.com/national/national-politics/voter-turnouts-inlok-sabha-elections-since-1952-730438.html

12.   https://www.ndtv.com/india-news/general-elections-2019-record-voterturnout-of-67-11-per-cent-in-lok-sabha-polls-2041481

13.   https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage

14.   https://eci.gov.in/web-radio/about.html

15.   https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=1859263