

Stigma, Structure, and Support: A Sociological Exploration of Student Mental Health in Universities.

Mr. Pranav Patil*

Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra, India

22patilpranav@gmail.com

Abstract: University students confront escalating mental health challenges within higher education environments marked by intense academic pressures, financial instability, and cultural expectations of resilience. This paper offers a sociological exploration of "Stigma, Structure, and Support," arguing that mental health issues are not merely individual concerns but products of institutional structures, pervasive stigma, and uneven support systems. It examines how neoliberal university cultures—characterized by performative productivity, hierarchical power dynamics, and commodified well-being—exacerbate distress, particularly among marginalized students facing intersecting inequalities of class, race, gender, and ability.

Central to the analysis is the role of stigma in discouraging help-seeking behaviors, fostering identity conflicts, and perpetuating silence around vulnerability. The theoretical framework integrates sociological perspectives on social structure, cultural reproduction, and agency, illuminating pathways from structural barriers to personal experiences. Student-led advocacy emerges as a counterforce, challenging institutional inertia and advocating for holistic support. Ultimately, the paper calls for systemic reforms to destigmatize mental health, redistribute resources equitably, and embed solidarity in university life, promoting mental well-being as a collective responsibility.

Keywords: Advocacy, Inequality, Social Exclusion, Stigma

INTRODUCTION

University students worldwide are grappling with an escalating mental health crisis, characterized by rising rates of anxiety, depression, stress, and suicidal ideation (Sivertsen et al., 2025). Prevalences of these issues have surged in recent decades, prompting urgent calls for intervention (Hernández et al., 2020). While psychological and biomedical models dominate public discourse—attributing distress to personal vulnerabilities or genetic predispositions—a sociological lens reveals mental health as a profoundly social phenomenon, profoundly shaped by institutional structures, pervasive cultural norms, and intersecting social pressures.

Contemporary universities, steeped in neoliberal ideologies, embody performative productivity, relentless competition, and commodified well-being. Academic demands intensify through high-stakes assessments, precarious employment prospects, and financial burdens like tuition fees and living costs, transforming education into a market-driven

enterprise.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020) These structural forces disproportionately burden marginalized students, where inequalities of class, race, gender, sexuality, and ability compound vulnerabilities. Cultural expectations of stoic resilience and self-reliance further entrench stigma, silencing vulnerability and deterring help-seeking, as individuals internalize shame rather than challenge systemic failings.(Rickwood & Thomas, 2012)

This paper, titled "Stigma, Structure, and Support: A Sociological Exploration of Student Mental Health in Universities," reframes student distress not as isolated pathology but as a product of institutional inertia and cultural reproduction. Drawing on theories of social structure (e.g., Bourdieu's habitus), stigma, and agency amid inequality, it elucidates pathways from macro-level barriers to micro-level experiences.

The scope unfolds across key dimensions: a theoretical framework integrating structure, culture, and agency; a sociological understanding of mental health; institutional cultures and structural pressures; the interplay of stigma, identity, and help-seeking; intersections of inequality and mental health; and student-led advocacy as resistance. The conclusion advocates systemic reforms—destigmatizing discourse, equitable resource redistribution, and fostering solidarity—to cultivate universities as sites of collective well-being.

By centering sociological analysis, this exploration challenges individualistic fixes, urging transformative solidarity to support all students equitably. This paper follows doctrinal exploration of students mental health framework in the universities.

Theoretical Framework

This theoretical framework integrates seminal sociological perspectives to elucidate student mental health as a socially constructed phenomenon shaped by stigma, power relations, and structural inequalities within neoliberal universities. Drawing on Erving Goffman, Michel Foucault, Pierre Bourdieu, and complementary thinkers, it bridges macro-level structures and micro-level experiences, revealing how institutional cultures perpetuate distress while constraining agency.(Bos et al., 2013; Tyler & Slater, 2018)

Erving Goffman's foundational analysis of stigma as the management of "spoiled identity" provides critical insight into help-seeking barriers among students.(Tyler & Slater, 2018) Goffman posits stigma as a discrediting attribute that fosters social exclusion, shame, and identity conflicts, compelling individuals to conceal vulnerabilities to avoid

discrimination.(Bos et al., 2013) In higher education, where cultural norms valorize resilience and productivity, mental health disclosures risk labeling students as deficient, exacerbating isolation and deterring support utilization. This micro-sociological lens highlights how stigma operates through everyday interactions, silencing vulnerability and reproducing silence amid rising distress rates.

Complementing Goffman, Michel Foucault's theories of power, discipline, and biopower unpack universities as disciplinary apparatuses enforcing neoliberal subjectivities(Thornton, 2014; Timsina, 2016). Foucault's notion of panopticism—pervasive surveillance via assessments, metrics, and commodified well-being—internalizes self-regulation, transforming students into entrepreneurial selves responsible for their own optimization(Priestley & Smith, 2023; Venable et al., 2024). Mental health crises emerge not as individual failings but as resistances to this "psy-disciplined" governance, where structural pressures like competition and precarity pathologize systemic failures(Sarauw et al., 2023; Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020).

Pierre Bourdieu extends this by conceptualizing habitus and symbolic violence, wherein class, race, and gender inequalities shape embodied dispositions toward distress(Johansson & Jones, 2019; Wiegmann, 2017). Marginalized students navigate fields dominated by dominant capitals, experiencing misrecognition that amplifies stigma and limits agency(Choong, 2021; Costa et al., 2020). Habitus internalizes neoliberal logics, rendering structural barriers as personal deficits(Clancy & Holford, 2018; Simmons et al., 2020).

Synthesizing these—Goffman's interactional stigma, Foucault's disciplinary power, and Bourdieu's reproductive structures—illuminates pathways from institutional inertia to personal suffering. Agency emerges in structuration-like tensions, where student advocacy disrupts reproduction.(Tyler & Slater, 2018) This framework reframes mental health as collective, urging destigmatization and equitable reforms.

Sociological Understanding of Student Mental Health

Mental health in universities is not merely a biomedical or psychological state but a socially constructed phenomenon, profoundly shaped by prevailing norms, expectations, and institutional cultures.(Hernández et al., 2020) Sociological perspectives challenge the dominant individualistic paradigms, emphasizing how societal definitions of "normal" mental well-being emerge from power-laden interactions, cultural discourses, and structural

constraints. In neoliberal higher education, mental health is framed through lenses of productivity, resilience, and self-optimization, rendering distress a deviation from the idealized entrepreneurial student subject. This construction pathologizes systemic failures—such as intensified workloads, precarious futures, and commodified support services—as personal shortcomings, perpetuating cycles of shame and silence.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020)

Social norms dictate what constitutes acceptable emotional expression, valorizing stoicism and high performance while stigmatizing vulnerability. Universities embody these norms through rituals of relentless assessment, competitive rankings, and performative well-being initiatives, which normalize chronic stress as a rite of passage. Students internalize these expectations via habitus, Bourdieu's concept of embodied dispositions, where middle-class norms of self-reliance marginalize those from diverse backgrounds, amplifying distress among racialized, low-income, or LGBTQ+ groups. What is labeled "anxiety" or "depression" often reflects misrecognition of structural violence: financial precarity heightens cortisol responses not as innate flaws but as rational reactions to existential threats like debt and employability anxiety.(Rickwood & Thomas, 2012)

This social construction manifests in help-seeking disparities(Ntumi et al., 2025). Norms of independence deter disclosure, as mental health struggles threaten one's "spoiled identity," per Goffman, inviting exclusion in peer networks obsessed with achievement. Foucault's biopower further elucidates how universities' surveillance—via wellness apps, attendance tracking, and mental health metrics—disciplines bodies into compliant subjects, framing non-conformity as illness requiring correction. Consequently, rising prevalence rates (e.g., 40-50% reporting moderate-severe symptoms) are less epidemics of pathology than barometers of institutional dysfunction, where cultural scripts equate well-being with output.(Hernández et al., 2020)

Empirically, cross-national studies reveal variability in mental health outcomes tied to normative contexts: Scandinavian universities with collectivist support cultures report lower distress than Anglo-American neoliberal models, underscoring social construction over universal biology.(Bos et al., 2013) In the UK and US, for instance, intensified marketization correlates with doubled suicide ideation rates since 2010, as norms shift blame from underfunded services to deficient coping.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020)

Ultimately, this sociological lens reveals mental health as relational and contested, influenced by norms that reproduce inequality. Recognizing these dynamics paves the way for interrogating institutional cultures and stigma's role, advocating culturally attuned interventions that dismantle rather than reinforce normative pressures.

Institutional Culture and Structural Pressures in Universities

Universities, reshaped by neoliberal logics, cultivate institutional cultures defined by relentless academic pressure, hyper-competition, and a pervasive performance ethos that profoundly undermines student mental health.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020) Sociologically, these structures transform higher education into a marketplace where students are positioned as entrepreneurial subjects, compelled to maximize human capital through ceaseless self-optimization amid precarious futures. Drawing on Bourdieu's habitus, students internalize dominant dispositions of productivity and resilience, perceiving structural barriers—like escalating workloads and high-stakes assessments—as personal inadequacies, thus enacting symbolic violence that reproduces inequality.(Yin & Mu, 2022)

Academic pressure manifests through intensified curricula, frequent evaluations, and metric-driven accountability, fostering chronic stress as a normalized condition. Cross-national data reveal that about one-third of students experience psychosocial difficulties, linked to acceleration, performance demands, and individualized responsibility in competitive study environments.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020) This neoliberal imprint individualizes systemic failures, framing distress not as a collective response to institutional dysfunction but as individual deficits in coping or resilience. Competition exacerbates this: peer rivalries, fueled by rankings and employability anxieties, create Darwinian fields where insecurity and fear of failure destabilize habitus, generating "suffering and stress" as structural byproducts.(Yin & Mu, 2022)

Performance culture further entrenches these dynamics via panoptic surveillance—wellness metrics, attendance tracking, and gamified apps—that disciplines bodies into compliant, output-maximizing entities.(Priestley & Smith, 2023) Foucault's biopower illuminates how universities govern through visibility, internalizing norms that equate well-being with productivity(Sarauw et al., 2023; Welsh, 2021). Students report toxic work landscapes demanding constant labor, overwork, and rejection of rest, even in low-stakes contexts, as identity and value hinge on outcomes.(Priestley & Smith, 2023) Empirical studies confirm

academic stress as a robust predictor of mental health decline, with moderate-to-high levels prevalent among undergraduates navigating exams, procrastination, and time pressures.(Olivera et al., 2023)

These pressures disproportionately affect marginalized students, whose habitus clashes with dominant capitals, amplifying misrecognition and isolation. Yet, rising distress rates—doubled suicide ideation in marketized systems—signal institutional inertia rather than pathology epidemics.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020) Sociologically, this lens reveals mental health as a barometer of neoliberal governance, where competition and performance pathologize rational responses to precarity. Destigmatizing requires structural reforms: reducing assessment loads, fostering collaborative cultures, and redistributing agency to challenge reproductive mechanisms. Only then can universities transcend disciplinary logics, enabling genuine well-being.

Stigma, Identity, and Help-Seeking Behaviour

In university settings steeped in neoliberal performance cultures, stigma surrounding mental health profoundly shapes students' identities and barriers to seeking help. Erving Goffman's concept of "spoiled identity" illuminates this dynamic: mental health struggles threaten the presentation of self as a competent, resilient achiever, marking individuals as deviant in peer networks valorizing independence and output.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020) Students anticipate stigmatization—ranging from subtle exclusion to overt judgment—as disclosure risks tarnishing their social worth, transforming personal distress into a public liability that undermines belonging in hyper-competitive academic fields.

Labeling theory further elucidates how institutional responses amplify this effect. Once a student is tagged with an "anxious" or "depressed" label, it becomes a master status overshadowing academic potential, fostering secondary deviance where individuals internalize and enact the stigmatized role. In universities, this manifests through surveillance mechanisms like wellness check-ins, which, while ostensibly supportive, reinforce psychiatric categorizations that pathologize normal responses to structural pressures such as workload intensification and precarious futures. Norms of self-reliance, ingrained via cultural scripts of entrepreneurial subjectivity, deter help-seeking: admitting vulnerability invites pity or doubt about employability, clashing with the habitus of productivity where rest is suspect.

Empirical patterns underscore these barriers. Help-seeking disparities are stark, with only a fraction of distressed students accessing services, particularly among racialized or low-income groups whose intersecting stigmas compound misrecognition of their struggles as individual failings rather than systemic inequities.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020) Fear of judgment perpetuates silence, escalating isolation and symptom severity, as "coming out" as mentally unwell disrupts the "normal" identity performance essential for peer validation and institutional success.

Sociologically, stigma is not inherent but relationally constructed, sustained by power-laden discourses that equate mental well-being with personal fortitude. Dismantling it demands shifting institutional cultures from disciplinary labeling to empathetic solidarity, normalizing disclosure through peer-led initiatives and reframing vulnerability as a collective concern. Only by challenging these identity-threatening norms can universities foster genuine help-seeking, mitigating the relational toll of stigma on student lives.

Inequality and Mental Health

Neoliberal higher education intensifies mental health disparities through intersecting inequalities of class, gender, caste, and other axes of oppression, framing distress among marginalized students as individual failings rather than structural violence.(Yin & Mu, 2022) Bourdieu's concepts of habitus and symbolic violence elucidate this: dominant academic fields valorize middle-class cultural capitals—linguistic fluency, networked entitlement, and performative confidence—while clashing with the dispositions of working-class, racialized, or lower-caste students, engendering chronic misrecognition and psychosocial strain.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020) Class inequalities thus manifest as destabilized habitus, where precarity breeds "insecurity, suffering, and stress" in Darwinian competition, reproducing subordination under the guise of meritocracy.(Yin & Mu, 2022)

Gender further exacerbates these dynamics, with women disproportionately burdened by intensified emotional labor and perfectionist norms within performance cultures. Empirical data link academic stress—prevalent in metric-driven environments—to mental health decline, yet women report higher incidences due to intersecting expectations of resilience and care, internalized via neoliberal scripts of entrepreneurial femininity.(Olivera et al., 2023) In global contexts, this intersects with caste, as seen in South Asian universities where Dalit and Adivasi students endure compounded symbolic violence: overt discrimination and subtle

exclusion amplify isolation, transforming rational responses to exclusion into pathologized anxiety.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020) Racialized and Indigenous students similarly navigate "spoiled identities," where colonial legacies and neoliberal individualism pathologize collective traumas as personal deficits, deterring help-seeking amid fear of further marginalization(Beshai et al., 2023; "Navigating Colonial Space: A Case Study of an Indigenous Student-Led Decolonial Movement in Canadian Higher Education," 2022).

These inequalities are not incidental but constitutive of neoliberal governance, which individualizes systemic failures. Cross-national studies confirm one-third of students face psychosocial difficulties, disproportionately minoritized groups whose struggles are misrecognized as coping deficits amid workload intensification and precarious futures.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020) Panoptic surveillance—wellness apps, attendance metrics—disciplines these bodies into compliant productivity, entrenching biopower that equates well-being with output.(Priestley & Smith, 2023) Sociologically, mental health emerges as a barometer of reproductive mechanisms, where competition pathologizes resistance to inequality.

Addressing this demands de-neoliberalization: redistributing capitals through affirmative action, intersectional support, and collaborative pedagogies that challenge habitus misalignments. Without structural reforms, universities perpetuate inequality-laden distress, undermining their emancipatory potential.(Yin & Mu, 2022)

Mental Health Advocacy and Student Voices

Student-led mental health advocacy has emerged as a potent force in universities, transforming individual struggles into collective calls for systemic reform amid neoliberal pressures. Grassroots movements, such as the UK's "Student Minds" network and U.S. campaigns like "Active Minds," amplify marginalized voices, challenging the pathologization of distress as personal failure. These initiatives foster peer-to-peer solidarity, reframing vulnerability not as weakness but as a shared response to intensified workloads, precarious futures, and symbolic violence in academic spaces.(Yin & Mu, 2022)

Central to this advocacy are peer support systems, which bypass institutional surveillance by creating autonomous spaces for mutual aid. Trained student facilitators lead listening circles and affinity groups, particularly vital for racialized, low-income, and gender-minoritized students whose intersecting stigmas compound isolation. Unlike top-down wellness programs

that reinforce entrepreneurial subjectivity, peer networks normalize disclosure through storytelling, disrupting stigma's relational grip. Empirical insights reveal these systems reduce symptom severity by 20-30% in participants, as communal validation counters the "spoiled identity" imposed by dominant discourses.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020)

Collective action escalates this momentum: campus protests against metric-driven cultures, . Students invoke sociological frames—Bourdieu's habitus misalignments and Foucault's biopower—to politicize mental health, exposing how neoliberal governance individualizes structural inequities. Campaigns for "trauma-informed" pedagogies illustrate this shift, pressuring administrations toward redistributive policies.

Yet challenges persist: co-optation by universities risks diluting radical demands into performative allyship. True social change requires scaling peer models institution-wide, integrating them with intersectional reforms to dismantle inequality-laden distress. By centering student agency, these movements reclaim universities as sites of emancipation, proving collective resistance can forge empathetic cultures where help-seeking thrives without shame.

CONCLUSION

This analysis unveils how neoliberal universities transform structural violence into individualized mental health crises, pathologizing the distress of minoritized students through Bourdieu's destabilized habitus and Foucault's biopower.(Yin & Mu, 2022) Class, gender, race, and caste inequalities manifest as symbolic violence, where middle-class capitals are valorized, breeding insecurity and shame amid Darwinian competition.(Wulf-Andersen & Larsen, 2020) Wellness metrics and surveillance entrench this, equating well-being with productivity while minoritized groups bear disproportionate psychosocial burdens, their collective traumas reframed as personal deficits.(Priestley & Smith, 2023)

Student-led advocacy disrupts this hegemony, politicizing vulnerability via peer networks and protests that demand decolonized pedagogies and workload reforms. Yet, without structural interventions—affirmative action, intersectional supports, and collaborative cultures—these efforts risk co-optation, perpetuating inequality-laden distress.

Sociological insights compel a paradigm shift: universities must dismantle neoliberal governance, redistributing capitals to align habitus with diverse dispositions. Cultural changes,

like trauma-informed teaching and unionized care, foster solidarity over competition, reclaiming higher education as an emancipatory space. Only through such reforms can institutions nurture resilience not as entrepreneurial grit, but as collective resistance to inequities, ensuring mental health thrives as a right, not a privilege.(Yin & Mu, 2022). This necessitates a reimagining of university structures, moving beyond mere distributive equality to actively dismantle the power relations that perpetuate existing systems of exclusion and disadvantage (Gordon, 2023). This entails fostering an institutional culture that promotes genuine inclusivity, critical self-reflection, and continuous adaptation to the evolving needs of its diverse student body (Odriozola, 2025).

References

1. Beshai, S., Desjarlais, S. M., & Green, B. (2023). Perspectives of Indigenous University Students in Canada on Mindfulness-Based Interventions and their Adaptation to Reduce Depression and Anxiety Symptoms. *Mindfulness*, 14(3), 538. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-023-02087-7>
2. Bos, A. E. R., Pryor, J. B., Reeder, G. D., & Stutterheim, S. E. (2013). Stigma: Advances in Theory and Research. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 35(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01973533.2012.746147>
3. Choong, C. (2021). Race-based affirmative action in Malaysia: Misrecognised subjectivities, enduring inequalities. *Asian Journal of Social Science*, 50(2), 79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajss.2021.12.001>
4. Clancy, S., & Holford, J. (2018). “Life-changing things happen.” *Education + Training*, 60(6), 620. <https://doi.org/10.1108/et-03-2018-0069>
5. Costa, C., Taylor, Y., Goodfellow, C., & Ecochard, S. (2020). Student estrangement in higher education: identity formation and the struggle against stigma. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 41(5), 685. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01425692.2020.1770575>
6. Gordon, S. A. (2023). Designing for Hope: Black Students’ Experiences and Mental Wellbeing in British Higher Education. In *University of Edinburgh eBooks*. University of Edinburgh. <https://doi.org/10.2218/ed.9781912669585.3>

7. Hernández, D., Ibrayeva, L., Sparks, J., Lim, N., Clementi, A., Almukhambetova, A., Nurtayev, Y., & Muratkyzy, A. (2020). Mental Health and Well-Being of University Students: A Bibliometric Mapping of the Literature. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01226>
8. Johansson, M., & Jones, S. (2019). Interlopers in class: A duoethnography of working-class women academics. *Gender Work and Organization, 26*(11), 1527. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12398>
9. Navigating Colonial Space: A Case Study of an Indigenous Student-Led Decolonial Movement in Canadian Higher Education. (2022). *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice, 22*(16). <https://doi.org/10.33423/jhetp.v22i16.5615>
10. Ntumi, S., Upoalkpajor, J. L. N., & Nimo, D. G. (2025). Culturally responsive assessment of help-seeking behavior among university students: a mediation-moderation analysis of cultural norms, mental health stigma, and digital engagement across cross-cultural contexts. *BMC Psychology, 13*(1), 922. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-025-03256-0>
11. Odriozola, M. S. (2025). The Mental Health of University Students: A Social Ecology Perspective. *Societies, 15*(4), 110. <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc15040110>
12. Olivera, P. C., Gordillo, P. G., Mejía, H. N., Taborga, I. L. F., Grájeda, A., & Unzueta, A. S. (2023). Academic stress as a predictor of mental health in university students. *Cogent Education, 10*(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186x.2023.2232686>
13. Priestley, M., & Smith, L. M. (2023). The Epistemology and Ethics of Analysing Lived Experience Data: A Pragmatic Foucauldian-Informed Approach to Coproducing Student Mental Health Initiatives in the Neoliberal University. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 22*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231215234>
14. Rickwood, D., & Thomas, K. (2012). Conceptual measurement framework for help-seeking for mental health problems. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management, 5*, 173. <https://doi.org/10.2147/prbm.s38707>
15. Sarauw, L. L., Bengtsen, S. S. E., & Filippakou, O. (2023). The psychological turn in higher education and the new taxonomy of attitudes and emotions: Denmark as a case

- study. *Policy Futures in Education*, 22(2), 293.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/14782103231173017>
16. Simmons, R., Connelly, D., & Thompson, R. (2020). 'Education ain't for us': using Bourdieu to understand the lives of young White working-class men classified as not in education, employment or training. *Research in Post-Compulsory Education*, 25(2), 193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13596748.2020.1742992>
 17. Sivertsen, B., Skogen, J. C., Petrie, K. J., O'Connor, R. C., Knudsen, A. K., Kirkøen, B., Reneflot, A., Lønning, K.-J., & Hysing, M. (2025). Mental health and suicidal ideation from 2010 to 2023 among university students: national repeated cross-sectional analysis. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2025.10435>
 18. Thornton, M. (2014). *Through a Glass Darkly: The Social Sciences Look at the Neoliberal University*. https://doi.org/10.26530/oopen_515938
 19. Timsina, N. N. (2016). Discourse of higher education reform in Nepal : towards neo-liberalism. *Research Portal Denmark*, 366. <https://local.forskningsportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=ruc&id=ruc-938c5658-4253-44e8-ae73-f573245f374f&ti=Discourse%20of%20higher%20education%20reform%20in%20Nepal%20%3A%20towards%20neo-liberalism>
 20. Tyler, I., & Slater, T. (2018). Rethinking the sociology of stigma. *The Sociological Review*, 66(4), 721. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0038026118777425>
 21. Venable, C., Howard, S., Rudy, J. A., & Scheckel, R. (2024). Panopticon Advising: Surveillance Capitalism in Service of the Completion Agenda. *The Mentor Innovative Scholarship on Academic Advising*, 26, 5. <https://doi.org/10.59236/mj2663320>
 22. Welsh, T. (2021). *Feminist Existentialism, Biopolitics, and Critical Phenomenology in a Time of Bad Health*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003168676>
 23. Wiegmann, W. L. (2017). Habitus, Symbolic Violence, and Reflexivity: Applying Bourdieu's Theories to Social Work. *The Journal of Sociology & Social Welfare*, 44(4). <https://doi.org/10.15453/0191-5096.3815>

24. Wulf-Andersen, T. Ø., & Larsen, L. (2020). Students, psychosocial problems and shame in neoliberal higher education. *Journal of Psychosocial Studies*, 13(3), 303. <https://doi.org/10.1332/147867320x15986395598815>
25. Yin, Y., & Mu, G. M. (2022). Thriving in the neoliberal academia without becoming its agent? Sociologising resilience with an early career academic and a mid-career researcher. *Higher Education*, 86(1), 65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-022-00901-0>