Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education

Vol. V, Issue X, April-2013, ISSN 2230-7540

AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY—INNOVATION CONSEQUENCES CONNECTED WITH PRIOR POLICIES AND SUGGESTIONS FOR ENHANCEMENT

www.ignited.in

Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. V, Issue X, April-2013, ISSN 2230-7540

An Empirical Study on Technological Innovation and the Environmental Policy—Innovation Consequences Connected With Prior Policies and Suggestions for Enhancement

Kishan Kumar Gupta

Research Scholar, CMJ University, Shillong, Meghalaya, India

Abstract – The paper offers a diagram of the innovation impacts of past environmental strategies. There is much discuss environmental strategies being defective. Past approaches are being criticised for ending up unable to meet environmental objectives (the environmentalist objection), for being overly unmanageable (the industrialist objection) and for ending up unable to hearten innovation and powerful proficiency. This paper takes a gander at the innovation and technology reception impacts of past environmental strategies. It finds to be sure not many samples of environmental approaches that empowered innovation. The regular consistence reaction is the utilization of unmanageable end-of channel results furthermore incremental technique updates offering constrained environmental additions. This asks the inquiry:

why did the strategies cannot advertise more radical innovation and changing power? One explanation—well-recognised in the monetary expositive expression is the catch of government strategies by uncommon investment. This paper offers a second clarification dependent upon bits of knowledge from innovation studies. It says that so as to advertise innovation, approach instruments must be fine-tuned to the situations in which sociotechnical change forms happen and tip the parity. The paper offers a balance view on arrangement, which supplements an instrument perspective. The paper values approach instruments for nature's domain upgrading specialized change and offers recommendations for the outline and utilization of approach instruments. It contends for the utilization of arrangements that are expressly concerned with specialized change (as opposed to certainly through a change in the investment and lawful casing conditions) and to be concerned with institutional game plans past the items of arrangement instruments. This needs distinctive roles for arrangement producers: that of a patron, organizer, controller, relational arranger, arrangement performer and 'creative diversion regulator'.

The aforementioned approaches pointed at studying and arrangement are not a substitute for arrangements that change the outline conditions yet an addendum. Both sorts of arrangements are wanted for accomplishing an biological modernization.

----------X----------

TECHNOLOGY EFFECT REGARDING ENVIRONMENTAL POLICIES

There exists a little literary works on the effect of real environmental regulations on consistence innovation and clean technology. This writing comprises of the work of Ashford and Heaton in the 1980s in the Us, Kemp (1997) and various German studies (Hartje (1985); Hemmelskamp, 1998; Elder (1999)). The center of the aforementioned studies is on specialized innovation, not on organizational innovation. What the aforementioned studies show is that the technology reactions extend from the dispersion of existing technology, incremental updates in techniques, feature reformulation to feature substitution and the growth of new methodologies. The most normal reactions to regulation are incremental innovation in techniques and features and dispersion of existing technology (in the manifestation of finish of channel results and non-imaginative substitutions of existing substances). Regularly the new innovations are advanced by firms outside the directed industry, which implies that in the past business was dependent upon suppliers, capital exceptional suppliers and environmental technology suppliers. (This is adapting with the developing consideration in environmental strategy and industry to anticipation and feature change). The studies likewise indicate, unsurprisingly, that the stringency of the regulation is a critical determinant of the level of innovation with stringent regulations for example item boycotts being vital for radical technology reactions.

Technology-constraining models show up to be a vital condition for achieving imaginative consistence reactions. The studies likewise demonstrate that long after the regulations are proclaimed there is a hunt transform for results for the issue, both by the directed business (basically for preventive explanations), their suppliers and outcasts. This happened on account of Pcbs and Cfcs where firms both in and outside the compound business were searching for substitutes 10 year after the utilization of Pcbs and Cfcs was prohibited (Ashford, et al., 1985). Obviously, the sureness that their item or movement might be liable to regulations was an paramount element.

When the early synthetic detergents of the 1960s created very visible environmental problems (foam in surface water), the detergent companies and especially their suppliers developed new processes leading to biodegradable synthetic detergents, without government regulation (although with the expectation that there might be regulation in the future). The voicing of concern and the threat of regulation may be enough to induce industry to look for alternative. This does not absolve the need for regulation. Regulation will be needed for the widespread diffusion of environmental technologies.

The obvious implication of all this is that the governance of technical change is not a simple matter. It is difficult to design instruments that do the job and do it well—in the sense that society as a whole is better off. Evaluation studies of environmental policy instruments show that the instruments in themselves are either ineffective in achieving a set goal or outcome, or inefficient in terms of costs or technology choice. An example is the ONO technology used in the Dutch metal plating industry to control the release of metals into receiving waters which led to production of toxic sludge containing heavy metals which had to be treated.

INNOVATION WAIVERS

Restricted to advertise environmental innovation is through the utilization of innovation wavers. Innovation waivers are motivation gadgets incorporated with environmental regulation. Usually, they amplify the deadline by which industry must institute contamination control supplies to meet emanation impediment prerequisites. They absolved industry from retributions throughout trial periods and offer the prospect of expense reserve funds determined from unrivaled technology (Ashford et al., 1985, p.444). In principle, innovation waivers appear to be extremely engaging for both potential innovators and the controlling office. They have been utilized within the Us with small victory.

The explanations behind this had in common with the short and resolute deadlines which filled in as a disincentive for innovation, particularly for radical innovation, and shortages in the route in which the programme was managed. Under the Clean Air Act, the avocation of issuing innovation waivers was given to the Stationary Source Compliance Division (Sscd) of the Environmental Protection Agency, a division with restricted specialized finesse, whose essential errand was implementation. As it created, Sscd barely deciphered the waiver procurements and gave small direction, which illustrates why they plot could not advertise innovation.

By and large, it is straightforward to understand why innovation waivers were unsuccessful in the above case. This does not preclude innovation waivers essentially. There are a few cures to the issues experienced, a considerable lot of which are given by the creators -for example government of the programme by individuals prepared to communicate with industry, the foundation of a technology survey board, depiction of qualification criteria, and longer time remittances. It does outline, be that as it may, the challenges in outlining regulations that hearten environmental innovation.

THE PROS AND CONS OF ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN INSTRUMENTS

Technology-constraining measures that need the advancement of new advances are a better route of supporting mechanical innovation, as the administrative encounters in the United States exhibit. Nonetheless, they might encroach heightened expenses on industry—unless the controller is eager to diminish and delay guidelines, however this will have a negative impact on the ability of suppliers to improve innovations. In my perspective, technology-compelling norms might as well just be utilized when mechanical chances are accessible that could be improved at level enough costs.

Decentralized impetus frameworks (for example assesses and tradeable contamination rights) are an elective to summon and-control strategies. They are favoured by economists and global conglomerations for example the Oecd. The speculative profits of motivation based methodologies to lessening contamination emanations are a large number. To begin with, gushing expenses (or charges and charges) and tradeable shares are more proficient on the grounds that each polluter is given the decision between consistence and paying the polluter's bill. The dirtying firm can't be constrained to undertake outflows control of which the minor expenses are higher than the profluent charge.

This implies that environmental profits are realized at the most minimal reduction costs. Second, there is a money related impetus to decrease all contamination not only up to the level of outflows norms. They make a steady interest for innovation (Stewart, 1981. (The investment conviction that impetus based methodologies furnish a more amazing impelling to advance is dependent upon this contention.) Third, this framework depends less than norms built approaches in light of the accesability of contamination control

technology and can thusly be presented all the more instantly at more level decisional expenses by decreasing requests on administrative methodology to choose complex, definite building and investment inquiries (Stewart, 1981,. Fourth, the peril that dirtying commercial enterprises cannot improve new innovations for vital explanations is less under a motivating force based administration. What's more fifth, financial instruments for the most part invigorate process-coordinated results (counting reusing technology) instead of closure of funnel advances that have been overwhelmingly connected in the past.

Doubt about the interest for less sullied advances, part of the way identified with erratic government approach, might call for the utilization of R&d subsidies or credits. Nonetheless, the channel answerable for the subsidy programme ought to be mindful so as not to fortify worthless innovations. The utilization of subsidies ought to be confined to environmentally valuable innovations for which a business sector does not yet exist, for instance, advances with long growth times (as on account of vigor advances) or advances for which there are issues of appropriating the profits of innovation by the innovator for instance, the point when copy is straightforward). R&d programmes might likewise be utilized to build the amount of mechanical results when there is lack of determination about environmental results.

Subsidies for ventures in contamination control technology are less advantageous in my perspective. They go against the polluter-pays guideline and are unmanageable; also, assessment scrutinize in the Netherlands has demonstrated them to be just insignificantly successful. There is a incredible hazard that such subsidies give windfall picks up for the firms and purchasers getting them. They ought to be utilized just when a switch to less sullied technology involves towering expenses and produces intense impediments because of less strict regulation in other nations.

SOME PLAN SUGGESTIONS

Economic incentives : Economic incentives have an important role to play in environmental policy. The case for them is strong. They leave freedom as to the choice and moment of compliance, and provide an incentive to go beyond environmental standards. Especially in the case of heterogeneous firms with different production technologies, economic instruments are attractive. They are more economically efficient than standards, giving equivalent environmental improvements at lower costs, which, in principle, allows policy makers to achieve greater emissions reductions. They should be used more but there are also limitations to their effective use that are often not recognised in the discussion on the design of environmental policy. For example, there is a danger that economic incentives such as taxes and subsidies provide a too weak and indirect stimulus. Many people who studied the technology responses to environmental pressures take this view. In their study of clean technology in the UK, Irwin and Hooper (1992) found that state incentives had only a marginal impact on innovation. This and other considerations led them to the view that “a sensitive but firm policy of putting regulatory pressure on waste and pollution output will be more effective in focusing industrial minds”. Hartje (1984) in studying the innovation decisions of detergent manufacturers doubted that a tax policy would have achieved a switch away from phosphate-based detergents. The 50 per cent reduction requirement for phosphates created a certain market of significant size for phosphate substitutes.

R&D progammes : In order to stimulate technological innovation, a more focused approach may be needed. One way of doing this is through R&D programmes for environmental technologies or more environmentally benign energy technologies. But as noted with R&D support there is always the danger that the programmes promote second-rate technologies and provide windfall gains to the recipients.

Technology-forcing standards : Another strategy to promote environmental innovation is by specifying strict environmental standards that require the development of new technologies. However, this should be done only in situations where the environmental risks are large and acute and when there is consensus about the most viable technological solution or trajectory. If there is no such consensus there is a danger that technology-forcing standards lock industry into overly expensive and suboptimal technical solutions. In such circumstances there is a need for further research and experiments to learn more about the technological possibilities, about the disadvantages of particular solutions (and how they may be overcome), the economic costs and environmental gains of the technologies, and their acceptability to society. When using direct regulation, policy makers should give careful attention to the actual design of standards: their strictness, differentiation, timing, administration, flexibility and enforcement. The experiences in the US with innovation waivers and tradeable permits (described in Hahn, 1989) illustrate that the ways in which the instruments are designed and implemented are important determinants of the technological responses of industry. This is also the conclusion of Blazejczak, Edler, Hemmelskamp and Jänicke (1999) on the basis of German Studies. The authors developed a set of hypotheses about innovation-friendly environmental policy, having to do with aspects of instruments, policy styles and configurations of actors that are innovationfriendly.

Technology compacts **:** Technology compacts, described in Banks and Heaton (1995), are another way to promote technological innovation by setting an agenda of phased increments of technological change. As with covenants and negotiated rule making, there is the danger of strategic behaviour on the part of industry who may claim that it is impossible to develop technology that is both environmentally superior and economically feasible.

CONCLUSION

There are two sorts of balance strategies: those that are expressly concerned with methods of studying and innovation and those that are most certainly not. Charges, subsidies, principles, and pledges succumb to the second class, as they are definitely not concerned with studying and innovation in an immediate manner. They have a vital part to play in environmental approach, as elective systems for conveying environmental change (for example the interest for green items or associations getting chummy with Mother Earth" since they feel this is the proper thing) are frail. At the same time there are breaking points to what might be attained with strategies that change the budgetary and lawful casing conditions. In my presumption they are unrealistic to achieve an eco-restructuring. They may be utilized for accomplishing a 'environmental upgrading' of a division or chain—what Elzen et al. (1996) call 'system optimisation'—however they are less suited for accomplishing 'system renewal' or 'eco-transformation' which includes a supplanting of existing trajectories by ones that are more environmental inviting. To accomplish framework reestablishment one needs to participate in studying and particular technology help: one needs to value new trajectories and work towards them in an adaptable, slow manner. This could be finished through technology premonitions what's more societal dialogues, to get an ability to read a compass, and through experimentation at the neighborhood level with new advances. Conceivable government arrangements to work towards framework reestablishment are: the formation of spaces for researching new advances, the station of lifelong objectives, and characteristic, adjustable wanting to guide private and open speculation into new headings.

REFERENCES

- Rosenberg, Nathan (1982), *Inside the Black Box. Technology and Economics*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Bressers, J.Th.A, and D. Huitema (1996), Politics as usual. The effect of policy making on the design of economic policy instruments, CSTM, Enschede.

- Deuten, J.J., A. Rip en W.A. Smit, 1998, *Nieuwe werelden… Met micro-optica: Scenario's en scripts, en wat deze impliceren voor micro-optica onderzoek aan de Universiteit Twente* (Enschede: Universiteit Twente)

- Majone, Giandomenico (1976), 'Choice Among Policy Instruments for Pollution Control', *Policy Analysis*, 589-613.

- Aichholzer, Georg, and Gerd Schienstock (eds.), (1994*), Technology Policy: Towards an Integration of Social and Ecological Concerns*, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.

- Hemmelskamp, Jens (1997), 'Environmental Policy Instruments and their Effects on Innovation', *European Planning Studies*, 5(2):177-193.

- Schot, Johan (1992), 'Constructive Technology Assessment and Technology Dynamics. The Case of Clean Technologies', *Science, Technology and Human Values* 17(1), 36-57.

- Kemp, René (1994), 'Technology and the Transition to Environmental Sustainability. The Problem of Technological Regime Shifts', *Futures* 26(10), 1023-46.

- Weber, Matthias,.and Andreas Dorda (1999), Strategic Niche Management: A Tool for the Market Introduction of New Transport Concepts and Technologies, IPTS Report, Febr 1999, 20-27.

- Kooiman, Jan (ed.) (1993), *Modern Governance. New Government-Society Interactions*, London: Sage.

- Lipsey, Richard G. and Kenneth Carlaw (1998), 'Technology Policies in Neo-Classical and Structrualist-Evolutionary Models'. *STI Review* 22: 31-73.