

A SURVEY OF INFORMATION LITERACY SKILLS OF FACULTY AND STUDENTS OF GOVERNMENT AND GOVERNMENT AIDED POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTIONS IN HARYANA

www.ignited.in

Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education

Vol. VI, Issue XI,July - 2013, ISSN 2230-7540

Dr. Narender Kumar

Semi-Professional, Institute of Law, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, (Haryana) - 136119

Abstract – Information Literacy is the key competency for the information age. The paper discusses different definitions, need and importance of information literacy to promote the use of effective information services. Information literacy is a survival skill in the information age irrespective of status and in the society. An attempt has been made in this paper to know the awareness of e-resources and elearning technology to learn and adopt new learning methodologies.

1. INTRODUCTION

Knowledge is growing at a very fast pace. This growth is visible in all spheres of human life in the form of rapid changes in our surrounding. The pace of change is so fast that by the time one becomes accustomed to some device, it becomes obsolete and a new device enters the scene.

This change has severely affected the information availability and tools of its handling. The scarce printed sources are now more easily accessed in digital form. In addition, a number of new born-digital types of sources have come into existence. Today, most of the sources existing in print form are also available in digital form. In fact, the digital revolution has overshadowed all other formats of information sources.

2. INFORMATION LITERACY

Information Literacy (IL) is the process of knowing when and why information is required, where to find it, and how to evaluate, use and communicate it in an ethical way. It is the combination of all the skills that are required for the effective and maximum use of information."The evolution of the concept of Information Literacy, since Zurkowski first used the term in 1974, has taken place both within and outside of the field of library science, not only in the United States but also throughout the world, Librarians have been especially sensitive to the so called information explosion and its resultant repercussions" (Eisenberg, Lowe and Spitzer 2004, 13).

2.1. DEFINITIONS OF INFORMATION LITERACY

A number of authors have defined IL in different ways. Some important definitions are given below:

Paul Zurkowski first defined information literacy in 1970s as "People trained in the application of Information resources to their work can be called information literates. They have learned techniques and skills for using the wide range of information tools as well as primary sources in molding information solution to their problems". (Quoted in Jayaprakash, and Gupta 2005, 293). Lexon and Walker also define "Information Literacy by characterizing information literate person : one who has the analytical and critical skills to formulate research questions and evaluate results and the skills to search for and access a variety of information types in order to meet or her information needs." (Quoted his in Jayaprakash and Gupta 2005, 293). The current and most cited definition for information literacy comes from Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL) which reads as "Information literacy is a set of abilities requiring individuals to recognize when information is needed and have the ability to locate, evaluate and use effectively the needed information" (ACRL 2000).

An information literate individual is able to:

Determine the extent of information needed (a)

(b) Access the needed information effectively and efficiently

Evaluate information and its sources critically (c)

Incorporate selected information into one's (d) knowledge base

(e) Use information effectively to accomplish a specific purpose

Understand the economic, legal, and social (f) issue surrounding the use of information, and access and use information ethically and legally" (ACRL 2000).

2.2. NEED FOR INFORMATION LITERACY

We are living in information age. Information is the basic requirement for every human activity and it is important as food, air and water. Information in itself has no value, but its value lies in its communication and use (Jayaprakash and Gupta 2005). Today, we are surrounded by a growing ocean of information in many formats, which is called Data smog. Data smog is term coined by author David Shank. It refers to the idea that too much information can create a barrier in our lives. This data smog is produced by the huge amount of information, the speed at which it comes to us from all directions, the need to make fast decisions, and the feeling of anxiety that we are making decisions without having all the information that is available or that we need. Information literacy is the solution to Data Smog. It allows us cope by giving us the skill to know when we need information and where to locate it effectively and efficiently. It includes the technological skills needed to use the modern library as gateway to information. It enables us to analyze and evaluate the information we find, thus giving us confidence in using that information to make a decision or create a product. Information literacy equips us with the critical skills necessary to become independent lifelong learners. Keeping in view the various specializations in the area and needs of the polytechnic professionals, it is thus necessary for polytechnic professionals to be equipped with IL competences that can help them to effectively search, locate, evaluate and use the required information.

2.3. INFORMATION LITERACY COMPETENCY **STANDARDS**

The Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL) of the American Library Association (ALA) has prepared 5 Information Literacy Standards, 22 performance indicators and a set of 87 outcomes of these performance indicators. Several educational institutions/organizations helped in development of these standards. The American Association of School Libraries (AASL), The Association of Educational Communication and Technology (AECT) and The Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL) are prominent among them. These IL standards specify the abilities needed to access, evaluate and make use of information critically and serve as guideline to foster IL skills in users.

3. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The present work includes the study of the information literacy survey of faculty and students of polytechnic institutions in Haryana. This study would provide the useful analysis of the prevailing situation in the Polytechnic Institutes that might help in planning and managing Information Literacy Progemmes (ILPs).

4. OBJECTIVES

Within the broad frame work of IL skills of faculty and students of polytechnic institutions in Harvana, the following specific objectives were intended to be achieved:

Expertise in using information technology 1 devices and services:

2. Understanding of IL;

3. Source of IL instruction;

4. Ability to identify appropriate information source;

5. Ability to search databases efficiently using information retrieval tools and techniques;

6. Ability to evaluate the information source before using it;

Ability to ethically use information generated 7. by others; and

8. Ability to communicate over electronic media.

To ascertain the part played by LIS 9. Professionals in making the respondents information literate.

5. HYPOTHESES

There is no significant difference between 1. the IL competencies of faculty and students;

There is no significant difference between 2. the IL competencies of faculty of different subjects;

3. There is no significant difference between the IL competencies of male and female faculty and students:

4. There is no significant difference between the IL competencies of faculty and students having attended ILPs and those who have not attended ILPs.

6. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Descriptive research method was applied to the study. Descriptive research describes a specific phenomenon at a given point of time. It involves the description, analysis and interpretation of conditions that presently exist. Thus, descriptive research method was found to be the most suitable for the

present study. There are various tools and techniques available for collecting data. In the present study, survey tool and questionnaire technique was used to collect data. The response of the study included 165 faculty members and 168 students of the seven govt. and govt. aided polytechnic institutions in Haryana. Thus, a total of 333 respondents participated in the study. The analysis is mainly based on percentage and means core analysis.

7. DATA ANALYSIS

Table 7.1: Gender of Respondents

Gender	Status	Status		
Gender	Faculty	Faculty Student		
	92	68	160	
Male	27.6%	20.4%	48.0 %	
_	73	100	173	
Female	21.9%	30.0%	52.0 %	
	165	168	333	
Total	49.5%	50.5%	100.0 %	

Table 7.1 presents gender wise analysis of respondents. Total 333 respondents participated in the study, of which 160 (48 percent) were male and 173 (52 percent) were female. In all the level of respondents the same trend was visible.

Table 7.2: Expertise in Use of Technology by
Respondents

		Status		Total
Sr. No	Option	Faculty Mean	Student Mean	Mean
		(S.D.)	(S.D.)	(S.D.)
Α	Computer	3.28	2.62	2.95
	computer	1.27	1.39	1.37
в	Windows	3.32	2.79	3.05
2		1.21	1.30	1.28
c	Ms word	3.45	2.87	3.16
Ũ	ins word	1.27	1.36	1.34
D	Ms Excel	3.41	3.02	3.21
	MS EACCI	1.26	1.37	1.33
Е	Ms Power	3.38	3.08	3.23
-	Point	1.25	1.47	1.37
Б	www	3.71	3.24	3.37
-		1.20	1.48	1.37
G	E-mail	3.79	3.33	3.56
		1.34	1.49	1.43

Table 7.2 presents analysis of response regarding ability of respondents to use different devices, software's or facilities. On this question the users were asked to rate their ability on a 5 point scales 1-5, representing novice (1) to expert (5). Mean of the

Deviation (S.D.) has been presented in this table. The higher mean value within the range 1-5 represents respondents' expertise in using the concerned device. The table shows that among various options the respondent's were more comfortable in using e-mail. The faculty response of 3.79 Mean Score (M.S.) was quite encouraging. The (M.S. 3.33) students' response was also relatively satisfactory. The second highest positive response was shown in the use of websites (M.S. 3.37). The faculty (M.S. 3.71) was more comfortable in using websites than the students. Moreover, students (M.S. 3.24) were more expert in using websites. The table reveals that the respondents were somewhat comfortable in using M.S Excel. The faculty members (M.S. 3.41) and (M.S. 3.02) students' response was also relatively satisfactory. M.S. Word is used today by almost everyone who uses computer for academic purposes. But the mean score of 3.16 on a 5 point scale of 1-5 is not very encouraging for M.S. word users. Again the faculty response (M.S. 3.45) was more positive than the response of students (M.S. 2.87). The student's response is also more consistent (S.D. 1.36) than the faculty. M.S. Power Point is an essential tool for making presentations. Faculty and students frequently need to use Power Point for academic purpose. But the response of M.S. 3.23 is not satisfactory. However the faculty (M.S. 3.38) and (M.S. 3.08) students were more comfortable in using PowerPoint than the students. Windows operating system is used in almost all personal computers. Awareness of its functions makes the job of a computer user quite easy. But except faculty (M.S. 3.32) no other category of respondents could even touch the mark of mean score 3.The respondents were least comfortable in using computer (M.S. 2.95). Although the general pattern of faculty (M.S. 3.28) and students (M.S. 2.62) response in decreasing order of expertise was also visible there, but none of the category felt comfortable in using computer

response of each category along with Standard

Table 7.3: Computer Related Course of Respondents

Response	Status	Total	
	Faculty	Student	Iotai
Yes	78	78	156
	23.4%	23.4%	46.8%
No	87	90	177
	26.1%	27.0%	53.2%
	165	168	333
Total	49.5%	50.5%	100.0 %

W www.ignited.in

Table 7.3 presents analysis of response regarding computer related course 156 (46.8 percent) respondents responded that they had done some computer related course. 78 (23.4 percent) faculty members and 78 (23.4 percent) students responded that they had done computer course. Interestingly, 177 (53.2 percent) respondents indicated that they had not done any computer course, which is very strange. Among those who have done some course, more than one-third (i.e. 120) did some basic course, while 20(12.6 percent) had done Diploma in com Sc. 10 of them had done P.G Degree and 8 (of which were faculty) had done MCA. 5(3.1 percent) faculty members had done O'level Diploma.

Table 7.4: Instructions on the use of Electronic Databases

Response	Status	Status		
	Faculty	Student	Total	
Yes	28	43	71	
	8.4%	12.9%	21.3%	
No	137	125	262	
	41.1%	37.5%	78.7%	
Total	165	168	333	
	49.5%	50.5%	100.0 %	

Table 7.4 presents response on the provision of instruction on use of electronic databases. 262(78.7 percent) respondents had not received any instruction while only 71(21.3 percent) stated that they had received instructions. The pattern of response was similar among both the categories. Slightly more number of students 43 (12.9 percent) had received instruction than faculty members.

Table 7.5: Instructions Received On the use ofElectronic Databases

Resource of	Status	Total	
Instruction	Faculty	aculty Student	
Librarian	19	34	53
	5.7%	10.2%	15.9%
Fellow Student/Coll	47	50	97
eague	14.1%	15.0%	29.1%
Faculty	54	52	106
	16.2%	15.6%	31.8%
No	45	32	77
Instructions	13.5%	9.6%	23.1%
Total	165	168	333
	49.5%	50.5%	100.0%

Table 7.5 shows response on the providers of instruction. Interestingly, 77(32.1 percent) respondents clearly stated that they had not received any instruction from anybody. Among those who received some help from others cited their colleague/students97 (29.1 percent) as the main source of the instruction. 5(15 percent) students received instruction from their classmates, while faculty member i.e.19 (5.7percent) received help from the librarian.

Sr. No.	IL Meaning	Status		Total
211 1101	12 mouning	Faculty	Student	
A	Read Complex	12	15	27
-	Documents	3.6%	4.5%	8.1%
	Locate, Evaluate and	113	64	177
В	use Information Effectively	33.9%	19.2%	53.2%
с	Search the "Free Web" for	20	22	42
C	Information	6.0%	6.6%	12.6%
D	Summarize Information	13	33	46
D	you Read	3.9%	9.9%	13.8%
Е	Don't Know	7	34	41
-	Don't Know	2.1%	10.2%	12.3%
Total		165	168	333
- o cui		49.5%	50.5%	100.0%

Table 7.6: Meaning of Information Literacy

Table 7.6 indicates that 113 (33.9 percent) faculty members considered IL as the ability to located evaluate and use information effectively. The same views were expressed by 64 (19.2 percent) Students. Interestingly, the response of majority of respondents spread over three other options and a small number i.e. 41(12.3 percent) had no idea of IL at all. Thus, more than 60 percent respondents did not have a clear concept of IL.

Table 7.7: Source of an Introductory Article

	Source of	Status		
Sr. No	Introductor y Article	Faculty	Student	Total
Α	Library Catalogue	23	32	55
	Catalogue	6.9%	9.6%	16.5%
В	Encycloped ia	54	43	97
	Ia	16.2%	12.9%	29.1%
С	Search Engine	62	55	117
		18.6%	16.5%	35.1%
D	Periodical index	18	16	34
	much	5.4%	4.8%	10.2%
Е	Don't know	8	22	30
		2.4%	6.6%	9.0%
Total	•	165	168	333
		49.5%	50.5%	100.0%

www.ignited.in

Table 7.7 reveals that 117 (i.e. 35.1 percent) respondents consulted search engine for location of a brief introductory article.97 (29.1 percent) consulted encyclopedia for this purpose. Interestingly, more than 55(16.5) percent respondents used library catalogue or periodical index. This clearly indicates that quite a larger number of respondents were unaware of the appropriate source.

		Status	Status	
Sr. No	Article Content	Faculty	Student	Total
Α	An abstract to	25	13	38
	contents of the article	7.5%	3.9%	11.4%
В	The author's	30	33	63
	affiliation or credentials	9.0%	9.9%	18.9%
С	A bibliography or a	25	24	49
	list of works cited	7.5%	7.2%	14.7%
D	All the share	63	74	137
	All the above	18.9%	22.2%	41.1%
E	Den't Varen	22	24	46
	Don't Know	6.6%	7.2%	13.8%
Mada1	•	165	168	333
Total		49.5%	50.5%	100.0%

 Table 7.8: Content of Scholarly Articles

Table 7.8 shows that 38 (11.4 percent) respondents considered scholarly articles generally contain an abstract to the contents of the article, the author's affiliation or credentials and a bibliography or a list of works cited. 49 (14.7 percent) felt scholarly articles generally contain only bibliography/references. Interestingly, 25(7.5 percent) faculty was of the view that scholarly articles contained only an abstract. It is clear from the above response that only one fourth of the respondents were having a clear idea of the content of scholarly articles.

 Table 7.9: Source of Scholarly Article

	Scholarly Article	Status		Total
Sr. No	Source	Faculty	Student	
Α	General	12	20	32
Magazines	3.6%	6.0%	9.6%	
В	Online Research	49	36	85
Database	14.7%	10.8%	25.5%	
C Internet	Internet	63	74	137
		18.9%	22.2%	41.1%
D	Reference Books	23	31	54
	DOORS	6.9%	9.3%	16.2%
E	Don't know	18	7	25
		5.4%	2.1%	7.5%
Total		165	168	333
Total		49.5%	50.5%	100.0%

Table 7.9 indicates that 137 (41.1 percent) respondents considered Internet the best place to find a scholarly article. 85 (25.5 percent) respondents stated that online research database was the best place to find a scholarly article. Interestingly, 63 (18.9 percent) faculty members and 74 (22.2 percent) students opined that Internet was the best source for the scholarly articles. More than 54 (16.2 percent) respondents considered reference books and general interest magazines to be the source of scholarly articles.

		Status	Status	
Sr. No	Option	Faculty	Student	
A	Economic	37	46	83
A		11.1%	13.8%	24.9%
в	Ec*	14	14	28
Б	EC.	4.2%	4.2%	8.4%
С	Economi*	35	11	46
C	Econom1*	10.5%	3.3%	13.8%
D	Eco*	46	76	122
ע	ECO.	13.8%	22.8%	36.6%
Е	Don't know	33	21	54
Ľ	Don't know	9.9%	6.3%	16.2%
Total		165	168	333
		49.5%	50.5%	100.0%

Table 7.10: Word Truncation

The respondents were asked certain questions to know their understanding of search tools and techniques. Table 7.10 presents their response regarding use of truncation. The results show that 122 (36.6 percent) respondents stated that Eco* is the best way to truncate the word Economics so that the words economically, econometrics and economy are also retrieved. This response was more or less similar in all categories. 46 (13.8 percent) faculty stated that Eco* was the best way to truncate the word Economics, similarly 76 (22.8 percent) students also expressed the same view. Interestingly, table further reveals that 54(16.2 percent) did not have any idea.

Table No 7.11: Full-Text Database and Citation Database difference

		Status		
Sr. No.	Statement	Faculty	Studen t	Total
A	Full - Text Database includes	47	55	102
	some full text articles, while citation database includes only Bibliographic Information	14.1%	16.5%	30.6 %
В	Full - Text Database includes an	64	62	126
	abstract while citation Database doesn't	19.2%	18.6%	37.8 %
С	Full - Text Database Does Not	11	11	22
	Include Citations	3.3%	3.3%	6.6%
D	Citation Database includes	8	11	19
	abstracts	2.4%	3.3%	5.7%
E	Don't know	35	29	64
		10.5%	8.7%	19.2 %
Total	·	165	168	333
		49.5%	50.5%	100.0 %

The respondents were asked to state the difference between full-text database and a citation database. The result as analysed in table 7.11, indicates that 126 (37.8 percent) respondents felt that a full text database includes an abstract for each article; the citation database does not include an abstract.102 (30.61 percent) respondents stated that a full text database includes articles some of which are full text. The citation database includes only bibliographic information about the article. Interestingly, 64(19.2 percent) respondents responded that they did not have any idea about the difference between the full-text database and a citation data.

Table 7.12: Boolean Opera	tors
---------------------------	------

			Status		
			Facult	Studen	Total
Sr. No.	Statement		у	t	
Α	Journals	OR	39	42	81
A	Magazines		11.7%	12.6%	24.3%
в	Journals .	AND	70	57	127
D	Magazines		21.0%	17.1%	38.1%
С	Journals	NOT	18	14	32
C C	Magazines		5.4%	4.2%	9.6%
D	Journals		17	18	35
ע	Journals		5.1%	5.4%	10.5%
Е	Don't know		21	37	58
L	Don't know		6.3%	11.1%	17.4%
Total			165	168	333
			49.5%	50.5%	100.0%

Table 7.12 presents response of the question regarding use of Boolean operators. The results show that 127 (38.1 percent) respondents considered 'Journals AND Magazines' keyword should be used to retrieve the maximum results in an online database. Only 81(24.3 percent) responded that 'Journals OR Magazines' Keyword should be used to retrieve information in an online database. Although 39 (11.7 percent) faculties responded for this option but it was still the second highest rated option for them. This shows that only 24.3 percent respondents were aware of use of Boolean operators. Interestingly, 58(17.4 percent) respondents did not have any idea of which Boolean operator should be used.

Table 7.13:	Keyword Search Scope
-------------	----------------------

		Status	Tota	
Sr. No.	Statement	Facul	Stud	1
		ty	ent	•
Α	Search only	32	37	69
	titles	9.6%	11.1	20.7
		9.0%	%	%
В	Work even if	32	26	58
	you spell a word	9.6%	7.8%	17.4
	wrong	9.0%	1.0%	%
С	Search title,	75	62	137
	contents and	22.5	18.6	41.1
	subject areas	%	%	%
D	Search	16	20	36
	reference	4.8%	6.0%	10.8
	material only	4.8%	0.0%	%
E	Don't know	10	23	33
		3.0%	6.9%	9.9%
Total		165	168	333
		49.5	50.5	100.
		%	%	0%

Table 7.13 reveals that 137 (41.1 percent) respondents stated that keyword search includes title, contents and subject areas. 58(17.4 percent) responded that keyword search would work even if they spell a wrong word. 69(20.7 percent) were of the view that keyword search would search only titles. 33(9.9 percent) respondents did not have any idea about keyword searching, which is very strange.

		Statu	s	Tota
Sr. No.	Statement	Facu	Stude	1 Iota
		lty	nt	1
	Limit keyword	29	20	49
Α	search entry to	8.7%	6.0%	14.7
	just a few words	0.170	0.0%	%
	Use very broad,	40	23	63
В	general terms (i.e.	12.0	6.9%	18.9
	Animals)	%	0.970	%
	Check search	34	43	77
С	words for	10.2	12.9	23.1
C	mistyped or	%	%	%
	misspelled words		70	
	Use wildcard	23	56	79
D	symbols to find		16.8	23.7
2	both singular and	6.9%	%	%
	plural			
	Don't know	39	26	65
E		11.7	7.8%	19.5
		%		%
		165	168	333
Total		49.5	50.5	100.
		%	%	0%

Table 7.14: Keyword Search Tips

There are some guidelines for conducting keyword searches. The respondents were asked to tick the statement not conforming to these guidelines. Table 7.14 indicates that 63 (18.9 percent) respondents considered the use of very broad general terms (i.e. animals) as the in appropriate tip. 77(23.1 percent) respondents responded that checking of search words for mistyped or misspelled words was not a good tip for keyword searching. 65(19.5) percent respondents did not have any idea about keyword searching, which is very strange

Table 7.15: Document Evaluation

		Statu		
Sr. No.		Facu	Stud	Tot
	Statement	1ty	ent	al
Α	Title and intended	18	38	56
	audience	5.4%	11.4	16.
		5.470	%	8%
В	Currency and	34	36	70
	available in a full	10.2	10.8	21.
	text data base	%	%	0%
С	Title, date and	23	42	65
	country of	6.9%	12.6	19.
	publication	0.970	%	5%
D	Relevancy,	73	42	115
	currency, publisher,	21.9	12.6	34.
	and author's	%	%	5%
	credential			
E	Don't know	17	10	27
		5.1%	3.0%	8.1 %
	1	165	168	333
Total		49.5	50.5	100
		%	%	.0%

All the students and faculty use books and periodical articles for academic purpose. They were asked to mention how they evaluated these sources before deciding to use them. Table 7.15 shows their

response that 70 (21.0 percent) respondents would use a document if it was current and available in a full text database. 65 (19.5 percent) responded that a document is evaluated on the bases of title, date and country of publication. 115(34.5 percent) evaluated a document by its relevance, currency, publisher and author's credentials. And 7(8.1 percent) did not have any idea about document evaluation. The above analysis shows that only 34.5 percent respondents which includes 21.5 percent faculty, had an understanding of which document to use.

Table 7.16: Use of References

		Status		
Sr. No.	Statemen	Facult	Studen	Total
	t	у	t	
Α	Locate	17	22	39
	and Read			
	the	5.1%	6.6%	11.7%
	sources			
В	Credit to	18	22	40
	Author's	5.4%	6.6%	12.0%
С	Credibilit	54	42	96
	y of sources	16.2%	12.6%	28.8%
D	All A + B +	62	72	134
	C	18.6%	21.6%	40.2%
E	Don't	14	10	24
	Know	4.2%	3.0%	7.2%
Total		165	168	333
		49.5%	50.5%	100.0%

Table 7.16 presents analysis of response regarding use of references. The results indicate that 40 (12.6 percent) respondents included references in their research paper because references give credit to authors. 96(28.8 percent) included references because references help in locating the document, giving credit to authors and determine the creditability of their sources. Faculty response 54 (16.2 percent) was relatively more for this option than any other category. 28.8 percent were of the view that use of references allows readers to only determine credibility of their sources.

 Table 7.17: Selection of Web Based Information

		Status		
Sr. No.	Statement	Facul	Stud	Total
		ty	ent	
Α	"Can I order	21	42	63
	products from this	6.3%	12.6	18.9
	site?"	0.370	%	%
в	"Are these Pictures /	24	29	53
	Graphs / Charts	7.2%	8.7%	15.9
	colorful enough?"	1.270	0.770	%
С	"Is all the spelling	30	40	70
	and grammar correct	9.0%	12.0	21.0
	in this text?"	9.0%	%	%
D	"Who is the author of	77	39	116
	this information and	23.1	11.7	34.8
	is it accurate?"	%	%	%
Е	Don't Know	13	18	31
		3.9%	5.4%	9.3%
		165	168	333
Total		49.5	50.5	100.0
		%	%	%

It was intended to know respondents' awareness about suitability of Internet based information for class project. Their response has been analysed here. Table 7.17 shows that 63 (18.9 percent) respondents considered the question "can I order products from this cite?" as most essential.70 (21.9 percent) stated that the most essential question to ask was whether "all the spelling and grammar correct in this text?" 53(15.9 percent) stated that they would consider the colorfulness of pictures/graphs/ charts as most essential. Interestingly, 116(34.8 percent) were of the view that they should consider the author and accuracy of information.

		Status		
Sr. No.		Facult	Stud	Total
	Statement	у	ent	
Α	"Is far more reliable than books	9	52	61
	and magazines?"	2.7%	15.6 %	18.3 %
В	"Is factual because the Internet is	46	47	93
	constantly monitored by world educational organisations?"	13.8%	14.1 %	27.9 %
С	"Is required by law to be accurate,	41	33	74
	timely and appropriate?"		9.9%	22.2 %
D	"Comes from many varied sources	54	28	82
	such as business, the Government or Private Citizens"		8.4%	24.6 %
E	Don't know	15	8	23
		4.5%	2.4%	6.9%
		165	168	333
Total		49.5%	50.5 %	100.0 %

Table 7.18: Internet Based Information

When the respondents were asked about reliability of Internet based information, their views were again not very clear. Table 7.18 indicates that 93 (27.9 percent) respondents considered that Internet based information was factual, because the Internet is constantly monitored by world educational organizations. 61(18.3 percent) responded that Internet based information was far more reliable than books and magazines. 74(22.2 percent) responded that Internet based information was required by law to be accurate, timely and appropriate. 82(24.6 percent) felt that Internet based information comes from many varied sources such as business, government or private citizens. Table further indicates that 23(6.9 percent) respondents did not have any idea.

Table 7.19: Meaning of Plagiarism

		Status		
Sr. No.		Facul		Total
	Statement	ty	Student	
Α	"Failing to use the correct	14	23	37
	format when citing your sources."	4.2%	6.9%	11.1%
В	"Using the idea of another	41	62	103
	person in your work instead of using only your own ideas."	12.3 %	18.6%	30.9%
С	"Improperly interpreting	18	19	37
	the authors in your source."	5.4%	5.7%	11.1%
D	"Including the ideas of	30	18	48
	another person in your writing and failing to cite them properly."	9.0%	5.4%	14.4%
E	Don't know	62	46	108
		18.6 %	13.8%	32.4%
	•	165	168	333
Total		49.5 %	50.5%	100.0%

There was one question to know respondents understanding of the concept of plagiarism. The response was not encouraging. Table 7.19 shows that 103 (30.9percent) respondents stated that meaning of plagiarism was using the idea of another person in their work instead of using only their own idea. 37(11.1 percent) responded that meaning of plagiarism was improperly interpreting the source. 108(32.4 percent) responded that they did not know meaning of plagiarism, which is very strange. And 48(14.4 percent) considered plagiarism to include the idea of another person in one's writing and not citing the person properly.

Table 7.20: Use of	Web	Based	Information

0 No.	Statement	Status		m 1	
Sr. No.	Statement	Faculty Student		Total	
A	"You only have to cite text	18	20	38	
	sources."	5.4%	6.0%	11.4%	
В	"You can assume that all of	40	65	105	
the data or text is copyrighted."	12.0%	19.5%	31.5%		
С	"You may use the text	60	34	94	
graphics freely unless they are specifically labeled as being copyrighted."	18.0%	10.2%	28.2%		
D	"You do not have to give	34	33	67	
	credit to your sources since Information on the web is not copyright protected. "	10.2%	9.9%	20.1%	
E	Don't know	13	16	29	
		3.9%	4.8%	8.7%	
Total		165	168	333	
		49.5%	50.5%	100.0%	

Table 7.20 indicates that 105 (31.5 percent) respondents assumed that all of the data or text in the web is copyrighted. 94 (28.2 percent) felt that they might use the text, graphics freely unless they are specially labeled as being copyrighted for this purpose. Interestingly, less than 10.00 percent respondents stated that they had no idea of how to use web based information.

Table 7.21: In-Text Citation

Sr. No.	Statement	Status		Tetel
		Faculty	Student	Total
А	"Include only the author's	21	32	53
	last name and the Year, but leave the page number blank."	1	9.6%	15.9%
В	"If there is no page number you do not have to cite the Information."	38	44	82
		11.4%	13.2%	24.6%
С	"Includes the author's last name and the year, write 'No Page' for the page number."	37	32	69
		11.1%	9.6%	20.7%
D	"Include the author's Last	45	49	94
	Name, the Year and the Paragraph no. where you found the quotation."	1	14.7%	28.2%
Е	Don't know	24	11	35
		7.2%	3.3%	10.5%
T-+-1		165	168	333
Total		49.5%	50.5%	100.0%

Table 7.21 shows that 53 (15.9 percent) respondents stated that they would include only the author's last name and the year, but leaves the page number blank in an in-text citation if the quotation has no page number. 82 (24.6 percent) opined that they would not cite the information in an in-text citation if the quotation has no page number. Interestingly, only 37 (11.1 percent) faculty members included the author's last name, the year and the paragraph number where they found the quotation in an in-text citation if the quotation has no page number.

Table 7.22: Electronic Communication Etiquettes

Sr. No.	Statement	Status		M = 4 = 1
SI. NO.		Faculty	Student	Total
Α	It is Normal	48	32	80
		14.4%	9.6%	24.0%
В	Shows shouting	18	27	45
		5.4%	8.1%	13.5%
С	Message may be	34	45	79
	forwarded to others	10.2%	13.5%	23.7%
D	Message very	49	53	102
	Important	14.7%	15.9%	30.6%
E	Don' t know	16	11	27
		4.8%	3.3%	8.1%
Total		165	168	333
		49.5%	50.5%	100.0%

Table 7.22 deals with typing in all capitals in electronic communication. The analysis shows that 80(24.0 percent) respondents found nothing special in typing in all capitals. And only 45(13.5) percent respondents understood that it meant shouting and thus should be avoided. Interesting, about 80 percent response for in appropriate options shows that respondents did not have proper understanding of electronic communication etiquettes.

Table 7.23: E-Mail Message Forwarding

		Status		
Sr. No.		Facult	Stude	Total
	Statement	У	nt	
Α	"The message is	28	31	59
	typed in all capitals."	8.4%	9.3%	17.7%
В	"It does not contain	50	36	86
	any copyrighted material."	15.0%	10.8%	25.8%
С	"The author of	36	20	56
	message has not marked it confidential."	10.8%	6.0%	16.8%
D	"The author of the	35	60	95
	message has given you permission to forward or post it."	10.5%	18.0%	28.5%
E	Don't know	16	21	37
		4.8%	6.3%	11.1%
Total		165	168	333
		49.5%	50.5%	100.0 %

Table 7.23 indicates that 59 (17.7 percent) respondents would forward a message if it is typed in all capitals. 56 (16.8 percent) would forward the message if its author had not marked it confidential. 37 (11.10 percent) respondents responded that they had no idea for e-mail message forwarding. And only 95(28.5 percent) said that they would forward a message only if its author had given them permission to do so.

8. FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

A summary of findings and significant conclusions drawn, have been presented in the following sections:

The faculty felt quite comfortable in using e-1. mail (M.S. 3.79), computer (M.S. 3.28 and M.S. Word (M.S. 3.45) while the students were comfortable in using M.S Power point (M.S. 3.08). This response was mainly due to the fact that 49.7 percent faculty and 50.3 percent students had done some computer courses. The expertise of the respondents in using other software and services like WWW, M.S. Power Point, M.S. Windows and M.S. Excel was not much encouraging.

Only 21.3 percent respondents had received 2. instructions on use of electronic databases. And this response was similar in both the categories. 12.9 percent students received these instructions from their fellow classmates while faculty (8.4 percent) received instructions mainly during studies from their faculty.

3 Less than half the number of responding faculty i.e. 33.9 percent and 19.2 percent students had an understanding of the concept of IL.

4. An important aspect of IL is identification of location of required information. There were two questions on this aspect, one question asked the respondents, where they would find an introductory article on a topic. Only about one-fourth of the respondents (29.1 percent) cited encyclopedia as a source while more than 35.1 percent cited search engine. Similarly regarding the source of scholarly article 41.1 percent opted for internet and only 25.5 percent stated that they would consult online research database.

Appropriate understanding and use of various 5. search tools help in retrieval of accurate information. Interestingly, 36.6 percent respondents were able to use word truncation appropriately, but correct used of Boolean operators was made by only 24.3 percent respondents. Similarly, only 41.1 percent respondents were able to make appropriate use of keyword search facility.

6. All the web-based information on a topic is not of same quality it needs to be evaluated before use. An information literate person should know how to evaluate the information. Only 21.0 percent respondents were able to cite the proper criteria for evaluation of a document. The same response was visible on the issue of web-based information. Only 24.6 percent respondents were able to state clearly the nature and source of Internet based information and 18.3percent respondents knew how to evaluate web-based information.

information needs a proper 7. Use of understanding of information ethics. When we use an idea of some other person we must be able to acknowledge it appropriately and present the same in a proper way. It was not at all encouraging that less than 40 percent respondents know the meaning of plagiarism. Majority of the respondents did not know clearly about in text-citation. Moreover, a large majority did not have a clear understanding of the purpose of given references. Regarding copyright of web based information also, only 31.5 percent had a clear concept.

There were two questions related to e-mail 8. messages. Only 17.7 percent respondents knew that typing in all caps meant shouting. The response on email forwarding was also not encouraging as only 28.5 percent stated that they would forward a message only after the authors' permission.

9. SUGGESTIONS

Suggestions for the improvement of the state of IL among students and faculty members are made:

1. Librarian should play an active role in improving IL skills of the faculty and students by organizing IL courses. If possible, a separate course on IL may be started. It may cover all the aspects of IL competency standards formulated By ALA.

2. The librarians may co-ordinate with faculty in deciding students assignments, projects etc. In this way students may be asked to prepare assignments using library resources by taking help of the librarian.

3. Use of ICT in preparation of students' assignment and projects may be encouraged and proper ICT infrastructure may be provided to all the faculty and students.

4. If a full course on IL is not possible, short term orientation programmes on use of library software, use of Internet resources and techniques of database searching may be organized.

5. Special short term programmes on ethical use of information generated by others may be organized on priority basis.

6. Since, the librarian is the only professionally qualified person in all the colleges except engineering, it is suggested that at least one professionally qualified assistant librarian may be provided in each of the colleges. Only then the librarian will be able to devote attention to IL teaching and liaison with faculty on this issue.

REFERENCES

1. Association of College and Research Libraries (USA). 2000. Information Literacy competency Standards for Higher Education. Chicago: Association of College and Research Libraries. [Cited 05 May 2009]. Available from <http://www.ala.org/acrl/ ilcomstan. html

2. Baradol, A.K., and V. Gopakumar. 2005. Role of librarians as presented in the information literacy models. Paper presented at Libraries, Information Literacy and Lifelong Learning. 51st All India Conference of Indian Library Association, 16-18 December at Kurukshetra.

3. Baro, E. Emmanuel, and Biokuromoye Fyneman. 2009. Information literacy among undergraduate students in Niger Delta University. *The Electronic Library* 27 (4): 659-75.

4. Chakravarty, Rupak. 2008. Information literacy in the knowledge society: Need to promote the use of digital information services in modern era. Paper presented at the ICSSR seminar on trends and strategic issues for libraries in global information society, 18-19 March at Chandigarh.

5. Chauhan, Suresh k., Prem Chand, and T.A.V. Murthy. 2006. Information literacy for Indian academicians: INFLIBNET initiatives. *Journal of Library and Information Science*. 31 (1): 45-52.

6. Eisenberg, Michael B., Carrie A. Lowe, and Kathleen L. Spitzer. 2004. Information literacy:

Essential skills for the information age. Westport: Libraries Unlimited.

7. Ghosh, S.B., and Anup Kumar Das. 2006. Information literacy initiatives in India with special reference to emergingknowledge economy. [Cited 05 May, 2010]. Available from http://drtc.isibang.ac.in/handle/1849/289

8. Hadimani, Manjunath B., and Iqbalahmad U. Rajgoli. 2010. Assessing information literacy competence among the undergraduate students of Collage of Agriculture, Raichur: A case study. *DESIDOC Journal of Library and Information Technology* 30 (2): 70-78.

9. Jayaprakash A. and V. Yugender Gupta. 2005. Information literacy: Need to promote the use of digital information services in modern era. Paper presented at Libraries, Information Literacy and Lifelong Learning. 51st All India Conference of Indian Library Association, 16-18 December at Kurukshetra.

10. Joshi, Manoj K., and Sanjeev Sharma. 2009. Students' use of various information sources and need for information literacy education in Kurukshetra University. *Library Herald* 47 (1): 46-59.

11. Karisiddappa, C .R and Iqbalahmed U. Rajgoli. 2008. In search of information literacy programmes and practices: Survey of selected institutes at Bangalore. *DESIDOC Journal of Library and Information Technology*. 28(2): 28-38.

12. Maharana, Bulu, and Champeswar Mishra. 2007. A survey of digital information literacy of faculty at Sambalpur University. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 2007(August). [Cited 05 June 2010]. Available from <u>http://www.webpages.udiaho.edu/~</u> mbolin/maharana.pdf