

DNA Barcoding: An Efficient Tool to Overcome Authentication Challenges

Komal^{1*} Dr. Krishan Pal Singh²

¹ Research Scholar of OPJS University, Churu, Rajasthan

² Associate Professor, OPJS University, Churu, Rajasthan

Abstract – This venture depends on the use of a piece of genome that is interior deciphered spacer (ITS) district for the validation of plant material to guarantee the nature of home grown medications. ITS is one of the proposed barcoding locus for distinguishing proof of plants. Succession of ITS locale gets species explicit varieties as the consequences of transformative procedures. This district is flanked with the qualities for ribosomal RNA. To do the venture medicinal plants and their adulterant species were gathered from different areas. Two medicinally significant plants were chosen for the venture one is *Terminalia arjuna* which is a cardioprotectent and can be tainted with other *Terminalia* species. Besides, *Convolvulus microphyllus* versus *Evolvulusalsinoides* which have disputable personality because of vague vernacular name 'Shankhpushpi'. ITS area of every wa enhanced and sequenced. The successions in this manner created were contrasted with distinguish species explicit polymorphism. Based on varieties species explicit preliminaries were planned which were additionally used to create PCR tests for quick recognizable proof of the real and tainted species.

Keywords – Barcode, DNA, Authentication

-----X-----

INTRODUCTION

DNA barcoding mirrors the appropriation of intra and intra-explicit variety that is isolated by a separation called „DNA barcoding gap“. The Consortium of Barcode of Life organizes DNA barcoding advancement and usage generally. DNA barcoding is extremely basic for the sub-atomic ID of effectively depicted species and the revelation of new species.

The DNA barcoding is the blend of the accompanying three perspectives,

- Molecularization (for example the utilization of the inconstancy in sub-atomic markers as a discriminator)
- Computerization (for example the transposition of the information utilizing informatics support)
- (Standardization (for example the stretching out this way to deal with immense gathering of life forms)

A definitive point of DNA barcoding is to separate the species utilizing a mechanized framework, with the goal that unexplored living life forms can be named as fast as conceivable before it gets terminated. DNA barcode demonstrated to be a promising apparatus

to recognize the species over all types of life including creatures, plants and microorganisms in a fast and dependable way. The a considerable lot of the distributed work utilized a basic separation framework examination, a Neighbor Joining (NJ) calculation with Kimura-2-parameters (K2P). The recognizable proof and portrayal of atomic elements are the fundamental objective in DNA barcoding contemplates. A perfect DNA barcode ought to have the accompanying highlights,

- High entomb and low intra-explicit arrangement difference.
- Undergo all inclusive enhancement with standard preliminaries.
- Technically easy to break down.
- Short enough to grouping in one response.
- Easily alienable (couple of additions/cancellations).

Readily recoverable from the historical center or herbarium tests and other corrupted examples.

ADVANCES IN BARCODING

• Present Status

Over the most recent five years, most of the examples (more than 98 %) barcoded were from the set of all animals with more than 65 % speaking to the gathering of creepy crawlies. The International Barcode of Life venture (iBOL-<http://ibol.org>) facilitated by University of Guelph, Canada, with scientists from 25 nations associated with this huge scale collective program, which targets fabricating an extensive DNA barcode library for eukaryotes. It intends to secure DNA barcode records for 5 million examples in next five years. Up until now, the COX1 quality has been demonstrated to be proper for the distinguishing proof of an enormous scope of creature taxa, including

• New Insights into Ecology and Species Biology

DNA barcoding is a productive device in understanding the mind boggling host-parasite and harmonious connections and thus give new bits of knowledge on host spectra, just as on the land dispersions of species (hosts, parasites and imperiled species). It was additionally appropriate to explain the symbiont and parasite transmission pathways starting with one host age then onto the next, which are examined in creepy crawlies (Lecythidaceae) with their endosymbionts. Atomic dating of cooperative affiliations can likewise be recognized utilizing barcoding apparatuses.

• Technical Advances in Barcoding

DNA barcoding undertaking intends to amass a precise and a delegate reference library, in view of unsurprising and cheap conventions for DNA extraction, PCR intensification and DNA sequencing. In this way, the reference library turns out to be progressively valuable, empowering the quick recognizable proof of low ordered level taxa with explicit short-DNA arrangements, for example minibar code (Hajibabaei et al., 2006; Min and Hickey, 2007). It has been demonstrated that species uniqueness can be approved from few polymorphic destinations inside the barcode applicant quality, for example DNA exhibits based recognizable proof and SNP-based separation (Xiao et al., 2007). Other tale sub-atomic advancements like bioengineering (for example silicon-based microarrays, nylon layer based macroarrays, and so forth.) are getting to be less expensive, thus getting incorporated into the „second venture of DNA barcoding. What's more, new sequencing techniques, for example, pyrosequencing empowers quick and delegate examinations of blended examples (. Generally it is utilized in the rising field of metagenomics and these headways could be promising for future.

The fundamental downside of atomic based examination is powerlessness to disconnect the DNA from examples, which are saved in formalin. Exhibition hall gathered creature tests speaks to the real piece of voucher example for DNA barcoding contemplates. Subsequently, the present test is to discover the proper approaches to separate DNA from formalin-monitored examples and reap DNA barcodes from them.

LIMITATIONS

High paces of intra-explicit disparity revealed in geologically confined populaces (Hebert et al., 2003). It is the key test for the DNA barcoding activity to screen the current species between the limit and populace. To tackle this issue, far reaching intraspecific testing ought to be coordinated in the reference database. The importance of the reference DNA barcode database relies upon the comprehensiveness of intra-taxon examining.

• Universality of the Barcode of Life Data (BOLD) System

The Barcode of Life Data Systems chiefly lives in the synergic and standard methodology for information procurement and their gathering into BOLD, which is the fundamental goal of the CBOL activity. The present organization for information accommodation to BOLD is made out of 5 fields for voucher example portrayal, (i) the example identifier (the list and gathering codes, the foundation in charge of giving the example tests); (ii) the ordered status; (iii) the example attributes (sex, life arrange, vegetative/regenerative tissues); (iv) the gathered information (authority, accumulation date and area with GPS directions) and (v) DNA barcode succession (quality name and area, follow record, arrangement subtleties, preliminaries used to produce the amplicons). Intense will give a DNA barcode to unmistakably recognize the obscure examples by encouraging precise inquiry assignments and to think about the information, which is acquired from geologically scattered organizations. As of late, an expanding measure of activities for worldwide information recording have been proposed to oversee clinical and atomic data about irresistible specialists.

Striking could fill in as the all inclusive beginning stage for species ID, which would pass on the clients to elude the specific databases (for example pathogenic strains, malady vector species and jeopardized species). The CBOL has just started, the new International Network for the Barcoding of Invasive and Pest that organizes the accumulation of barcode information on bug species around the globe.

Fast advancement in DNA sequencing and computational innovations made CBOL to

assemble a widespread association professionally creatures stock: the BOLD framework.

PLANT DNA BARCODING

Plant DNA barcoding have an immense job in the preservation science particularly in evaluation of biodiversity hotspots and furthermore to screen the worldwide exchange of the uncommon species separated from the normal recognizable proof. Plants have not been given much significance in the beginning times of DNA barcoding because of failure of cytochrome oxidase (COX1) to function as a barcode. The challenge was set among the botanists to locate an increasingly reasonable marker. Numerous competitor quality locales have been suggested as conceivable barcodes for plants, yet at the same time there is no all inclusive barcode. The absence of agreement district in plants as on account of COX1 in creatures as an all inclusive barcode for plants has not been found till now; rather a few gatherings have advanced distinctive barcode competitors effectively for the littler taxa. A few elements are considered in choosing a plant DNA barcode, similar to (i) all inclusive PCR condition, (ii) scope of ordered assorted variety, (iii) intensity of species separation, (iv) dry lab examination and application.

DNA barcoding must be sensible for a wide scope of experts and the procedure must be available and effectively done by different clients. The quality of DNA barcoding was straightforwardly identified with the information accessible in the barcode libraries, which aides in structure a total DNA barcoding database (Ekrem et al., 2007). These contemplations required a standard scope of PCR conditions alongside a lot of standard PCR groundworks per quality, which fill in as a strong barcode marker for the most stretched out scope of taxa and clients.

In plants, the mitochondrial genome advances substantially more gradually than in creatures. The mitochondrial quality COXI district was inadmissible for plant species differentiation. The CBOL plant-working gathering (PWG) reasoned that, plant DNA barcoding ought to be multi-locus, with one „anchor“ (for example widespread over the plant kingdom) and „identifiers“ to recognize firmly related species. A few mixes of DNA districts have been as of late proposed at present, there is no agreement on, which up-and-comer markers are the best for plant DNA barcoding. The future blend will absolutely contain noncoding intergenic spacers like trnH-psbA and plastidial coding groupings like matK In 2007, Taberlet and his colleagues concentrated on the achievability of barcoding plants from profoundly debased examples (for example permafrost tests) and other connected fields (for example prepared sustenance, traditions and medicinal plants). They recommended the chloroplast trnL (UAA) intron or a shorter part of this

intron inspite of moderately low goals could be improved with exceptionally monitored preliminaries.

APPLICATIONS OF PLANT DNA BARCODING

1. ID of various life stages: To recognize seed and seedlings and finding the progressions of transformation.
2. Recognizable proof of parts of plant material: It is hard to recognize morphologically the youthful leaves, seeds and seed layers of specific species.
3. Legal sciences: DNA barcoding can be utilized in scientific investigation.
4. Check of home grown drugs/groceries: DNA barcoding helps in distinguishing tainted items from unique parts.
5. Biosecurity and exchange the controlled species: in the event of unlawful import and fare of monetarily profitable things, DNA barcoding help as a signal to advance approved exchange.
6. Stock and biological overviews: DNA barcoding can be utilized to survey the systematically broadened species both local and attacked species.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The familyOrchidaceous is one of the exceptionally advanced and biggest groups of angiosperms with around 25,000-35,000 species having a place with 750 to 850 genera appropriated around the world (Chase 2005, Hossain 2011). This profoundly propelled group of monocots is spoken to by for the most part herbaceous plants which are epiphytes, lithophytic, saprophytes or earthly; have particular flower morphology, fertilization instruments and moment seeds; and are related with remarkable parasitic accomplices (mycorrhizae), (Kumar et al. 2007). The trademark includes by which orchids can be recognized from different plants are: respective symmetry/zygomorphic blossoms, nearness of labellum or lip (profoundly changed petal), combined stamens and carpels shaping particular structure called gynostemium or section, mediocre ovary which is commonly turned to 180° (resupinate blooms) and amazingly moment seeds (Chowdhery 1998). Orchids are conveyed worldwide mostly in the wet tropics. They have not been accounted for from the polar district and the driest of deserts (Chase 2005). The higher-level characterization of Orchidaceae has generally been founded on the development of gynostemium or section. The segment in light of

its subtleties is one of a kind to the family (Chase 2005). The family Orchidaceae contains a few megagenera with 1000+ sp. e.g., *Bulbophyllum*, *Epidendrum*, *Pleurothallis* and *Dendrobium* (Whitten et al. 2007). The explanations behind such dangerous speciation, perhaps on account of natural adjustments, physiological/morphological developments, or quickened paces of morphological/sub-atomic change, are as yet not appropriately comprehended (Whitten et al. 2007). In any case, orchid species are commonly hard to recognize as these are grouped fundamentally based on botanical morphology that changes with pollinator inclinations (Cameron 2004). In this manner, for better circumscription and inducing connections among the hard to characterize Orchidaceae genera, various gatherings, using DNA districts from the mitochondrial, plastid and atomic genomes, have embraced sub-atomic precise investigations. Be that as it may, before examining the atomic systematics of orchids, a short evaluation of the morphology-based characterization of the family is fundamental.

Dressler (1993) proposed the most recent and most acknowledged characterization of the Orchidaceae that was in view of on the anther morphology. As per this grouping, the family includes 850 genera and 20,000 species. These were organized in 70 sub-clans, 22 clans and five sub-families (Dressler 1993).

Notwithstanding none-too-attractive circumscription of the Neottioids, the other real gathering of orchids that was dangerous was Vanilloids, the sections of which resemble those of the Epidendroids, yet vegetatively they are exceptionally dissimilar from every single other orchid (Cameron and Dickson 1998, Stern and Judd 2000, Chase 2005).

Orchidaceae when contrasted with the morphological cladistics of Dressler (1993), talked about in detail in later area. Ensuing to these two starting reports, various DNA based phylogenetic investigations have been distributed, at the degree of family (Freudenstein et al. 2004, Cameron 2004), sub-families (Neyland and Urbatsch 1995, 1996, Cox et al. 1997, Freudenstein and Chase 2001), clans (Douzery et al. 1999, Kores et al. 2001, Goldman et al. 2001) and sub-clans (Yukawa et al. 1996, Cozzolino et al. 1996, Pridgeon et al. 2001, van nook Berg et al. 2005, Clements 2003).

The information gave proof to the monophyly of at any rate four sub-families. In any case, the degree of arrangement variety found in this quality area was again not adequate for tending to connections underneath the position of sub-family or clan (Freudenstein and Chase 2001).

The powerlessness of atomic and mitochondrial qualities in clarifying the phylogenetic connections underneath sub-family or innate level made the specialists to switch back to plastid qualities. The upsides of utilizing plastid qualities incorporate one-

sided legacy, various duplicates per cell, simplicity of intensification and sequencing, just as nonattendance in creatures and organisms (Palmer et al. 1988, Clegg and Zurawski 1992, Olmstead and Palmer 1994). The last one is particularly critical for investigations of Orchidaceae since they normally live in cooperative relationship with contagious accomplices. An extended plastid phylogeny for the family was accounted for by Freudenstein et al. (2004).

Atomic connections of different sub-clans inside Vandaeae were surveyed by the examinations of the information from nucleotide groupings of nrITS, trnL-F, and matK. Greatest stinginess examinations of these three DNA areas upheld just two sub-clans inside the monopodial Vandaeae. The two sub-clans perceived were the first Aeridinae and Angraecinae, with the third sub-clan Aerangidinae converged in the last mentioned. Independently, Aerangidinae and Angraecinae were observed to be polyphyletic, yet together they framed a well-bolstered monophyletic bunch in every single atomic investigation (Carlsward et al. 2006).

Hidayat et al. (2005) and Micheneau et al. (2008), separately have done the sub-atomic phylogenetic of sub-clans Aeridinae and Angraecinae having a place with the clan Vandaeae. The DNA arrangements from matK and ITS were analyzed from 75 species speaking to 62 genera of sub-clan Aeridinae to find connections (Hidayat et al. 2005).

Salazar et al. (2009) contemplated the phylogenetic connections of sub-clans Cranichidinae and Prescottiinae from the clan Cranchideae, while ÁlvarezMolina and Cameron (2009) surveyed phylogenetic relationssips among the individuals from just the last sub-clan. Salazar et al. (2009) assessed nucleotide groupings from both atomic ribosomal (ITS) and plastid DNA (rbcL, matK-trnK and trnL-trnF) with cladistic stinginess and Bayesian surmising for 45 species having a place with 14 genera of Cranichidinae and Prescottiinae (counting appropriate out-gatherings).

Yukawa et al. (1993) assessed between conventional connections in the sub-clan (Podochileae) at atomic level by utilizing plastid DNA confinement destinations in 15 species having a place with 12 segments of the sub-clan. The outcomes showed that the sub-clan Dendrobiinae involved three noteworthy clades.

The twelve Taiwan species of *Dendrobium* were arranged and the hereditary connections were surmised utilizing ITS successions by Tsai et al. (2004). The hereditary separation was determined utilizing Kimura-2-parameter technique and among the 12 *Dendrobium* species, the scope of hereditary separations was from 0.06 to 0.28.

Every *Dendrobium* species could be effectively recognized dependent on the ITS arrangement as deduced by hereditary separation and Neighbor Joining techniques.

Numerous orchidaceous species have likewise been utilized in customary arrangement of prescription for restoring different afflictions like tuberculosis, loss of motion, stomach issue, chest torment, joint inflammation, syphilis, jaundice, cholera, acidity, dermatitis, tumor, heaps, bubbles, irritations, menstrual confusion, spermatorrhea, leucoderma, diarrhoea, solid agony, blood looseness of the bowels, hepatitis, dyspepsia, bone breaks, ailment, asthma, intestinal sickness, ear infection, explicitly transmitted illnesses, wounds and injuries (Bulpitt et al. 2007, Hossain 2011). The remedial properties of various orchids are: love potion, rejuvenator, tonic, antibacterial, cancer prevention agent and immunomodulation (Bulpitt et al. 2007).

CONCLUSIONS

The present investigation uncovered ITS to be the best DNA barcode managing most astounding species goals in both the arrays (informational collection I and II). The first contained species from the four of the five sub-families Orchidaceae alongside non-orchid species from different groups of plants and the second was spoken to by just orchids. These outcomes clearly focuses towards appropriateness of ITS as the DNA barcodes for the land plants, as has been recommended as of late by some different agents as well. Be that as it may, this locus alone couldn't be an all inclusive barcode for plants in light of the few confining reasons talked about in the proposition. Among the loci tried from the chloroplast genome, *matK* gave best species goals esteems in the two species arrays. Notwithstanding when connected to congeneric species of twenty orchid genera, these two loci gave best species goals. Or maybe, whenever taken together, these two loci yielded 100% species goals. The other much advertised locus, *rbcl*, is by all accounts successful at higher ordered level, in this way pointing towards its utility in staggered barcodes.

Among the multi-locus mixes tried for 76 orchid species, the most elevated species goals was achieved by a three-locus mix of ITS+*matK*+*rbcl* with 96.05% species goals. The two-locus mix from the chloroplast genome (*matK*+*rbcl*), proposed as all inclusive barcode for land plants by CBOL Plant Working Group, gave 90.79% species separation accomplishment among 76 species. This is more than the species goals of 72% gotten by them in a floristic gathering of species based on which this suggestion was made. Be that as it may, ITS+*matK* displayed still higher species goals (94.74%) than the past blend. The present examination showed that a three-locus blend (*matK*+*rbcl*+ITS), one of the mixes proposed as of late by another gathering as DNA

barcode for the land plants, could resolve 96.05% species, yet there was no expansion in species goals when the staying two loci viz., *rpoB* and *rpoC1* from the chloroplast genome were added to the above mix as four-or potentially five-locus mixes. These perceptions show the utility of incorporating ITS in the center DNA barcode of *matK*+*rbcl* for orchid species.

The outcomes exhibited in the postulation, enough location to the worries about the appropriateness of DNA barcoding to plants. Be that as it may, journey for an ideal all inclusive barcode for plants giving 100% species goals over the plant kingdom seems, by all accounts, to be unreasonable, as DNA barcoding, similar to some other innovation, isn't required to be 100% flawless. In any case, inside a scientific categorization 100% species goals could be acquired by taxa explicit barcodes. Along these lines, the projection that DNA barcodes, when accessible for all the depicted species, would almost certainly give a right personality up to species level to any obscure example, regardless of whether accessible in vegetative, divided or DNA structure, or would show the disclosure of another species does not remain constant. All things considered, over 90% achievement in species ID with single locus or two-/three-locus mixes earnestly shows the adequacy of the technique. The cases of disappointment of DNA barcodes to effectively allot the species ought to urge taxonomist to re-consider or reinvestigate such taxa.

REFERENCE

1. Adams PB (2011). Systematics of Dendrobieae (Orchidaceae), with special reference to Australian taxa. *Bot J Linn Soc*, 166: pp. 105-126.
2. Alvarez I, Wendel JF (2003). Ribosomal ITS sequences and plant phylogenetic inference. *Mol. Phylogenet Evol*, 29: pp. 417-434.
3. Álvarez-Molina A, Cameron KM (2009). Molecular phylogenetics of Prescottiinae and their close allies (Orchidaceae, Cranichideae) inferred from plastid and nuclear ribosomal DNA sequences. *Am J Bot*, 96(5): pp. 1020-1040.
4. Baldwin BG, Sanderson MJ, Porter JM, Wojciechowski MF, Campbell CS, Donoghue MJ (1995). The ITS regions of nuclear ribosomal DNA: a valuable source of evidence on angiosperm phylogeny. *Ann Mo Bot Gard*, 82: pp. 247-277.

5. Barnwell P, Blanchard AN, Bryant JA, Smirnoff N, Weir AF (1998). Isolation of DNA from the highly mucilaginous succulent plant *Sedum telephium*. *Plant MolBiolRepr*, 16: pp. 133-138.
6. Cameron KM, Chase MW, Whitten WM, Kores PJ, Jarrell DC, Albert VA, Yukawa T, Hills HG, Goldman DH (1999). A Phylogenetic analysis of the Orchidaceae: evidence from *rbcl* nucleotide sequences. *Am J Bot*, 86: pp. 208-224.
7. Delforge P (1994). "Guide des Orchidées d'Europe, d'Afrique du Nord et du Proche-Orient," Delachaux et Niestlé, Lausanne.
8. Dressler RL (1974). Classification of the orchid family. In: Ospina M (ed.) *Proc 7th World Orchid Conf Medellín, Colombia*, pp. 259-274.
9. Eaton MJ, Meyers GL, Kolokotronis SO, Leslie MS, Martin AP, Amato G (2010). Barcoding bushmeat: molecular identification of Central African and South American harvested vertebrates. *Cons Genet*, 11: pp. 1389-1404.
10. Ebihara A, Nitta JH, Ito M (2010). Molecular species identification with rich floristic sampling: DNA barcoding the pteridophyte flora of Japan. *PLoS One*, 5: pp. e15136.
11. Farrington L, Mac Gillivray P, Faast R, Austin A (2009). Investigating DNA barcoding options for the identification of *Caladenia* (Orchidaceae) species. *Aust J Bot*, 57: pp. 276-286.
12. Fazekas AJ, Burgess KS, Kesanakurti PR, Graham SW, Newmaster SG, Husban BC, Percy DM, Hajibabaei M, Barrett SCH (2008). Multiple multilocus DNA barcodes from the plastid genome discriminate plant species equally well. *PLoS One* 2008, 3: pp. e2802.
13. Gao T, Sun Z, Yao H, Song J, Zhu Y, Ma X, Chen S (2011). Identification of Fabacea plants using the DNA barcode *matK*. *Planta Med*, 77: pp. 92-94.
14. Garay LA (1972). On the origin of the Orchidaceae, II. *J Arnold Arboretum*, 53: pp. 202-215.
15. Govaerts R, Dransfield J, Zona SF, Hodel DR, Henderson A (2010). World Checklist of Arecaceae. Facilitated by the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. <http://apps.kew.org/wcsp/> 2010.
16. Harmon L (2010). Rare flowers and common herbal supplements get unmasked with plant DNA barcoding (reporting unpublished data of Damon Little and David Baker). *Scientific American Observations*, <http://www.scientificamerican.com/blog/post.cfm?id=rare-flowers-and-common-herbalsupp-2010-04-18>.
17. He J, Wong KL, Shaw PC, Wang H, Li DZ (2010). Identification of the medicinal plants in *Aconitum L* by DNA barcoding technique. *Planta Med*, 76: pp. 1622-1628.
18. Kocyan A, de Vogel EF, Elena Conti E, Gravendeel B (2008). Molecular phylogeny of *Aerides* (Orchidaceae) based on one nuclear and two plastid markers: A step forward in understanding the evolution of the *Aeridinae*. *MolPhylogenetEvol*, 48: pp. 422-443.
19. Lahaye R, van der Bank M, Bogarin D, Warner J, Pupulin F, Gigot G, Maurin O, Duthoit S, Barraclough TG, Savolainen V (2008). DNA barcoding the floras of biodiversity hotspots. *Proc Natl AcadSci USA*, 105: pp. 2923-2928.
20. Medhi RP, Chakrabarti S (2009). Traditional knowledge of NE people on conservation of wild orchids. *Ind J Traditional Knowledge*, 8: pp. 11-16.
21. Nei M, Kumar S (2000). *Evolutionary change of DNA sequences. Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics*. Oxford University Press, NY: pp. 33-50.
22. Olmstead R, Palmer J (1994). Chloroplast DNA systematics: a review of methods and data analysis. *Am. J. Bot.* 1994, 81: pp. 1205-1224.
23. Palmer J, Jansen R, Michaels H, Chase M, Manhart J (1988). Chloroplast DNA variation and plant phylogeny. *Ann Missouri Bot Gard*, 75: pp. 1180-1206.
24. Ran JH, Wang PP, Zhao HJ, Wang XQ (2010). A test of seven candidate barcode regions from the plastome in *Picea* (Pinaceae). *J Integr Plant Biol*, 52: pp. 1109-1126.
25. Raspé O (2001). Inheritance of the chloroplast genome in *Sorbusaucuparia L* (Rosaceae). *J Hered*, 92: pp. 507-509.
26. Salazar GA, Chase MW, Arenas MAS, Ingrouille M (2003). Phylogenetics of *Cranichideae* with emphasis on *Spiranthinae* (Orchidaceae,

Orchidoideae): evidence from plastid and nuclear DNA sequences. *Am J Bot*, 90(5): pp. 777-795.

27. Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR (1977). DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 74: pp. 5463-5467
28. Taberlet P, Coissac E, Pompanon F, Gielly L, Miquel C, Valentini A, Vermat T, Corthier G, Brochmann C, Willerslev E (2007). Power and limitations of the chloroplast trnL (UAA) intron for plant DNA barcoding. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 35: pp. e14.
29. Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S (2007). MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *MolBiolEvol*, 24: pp. 1596-1599.
30. Valentini A, Miquel C, Taberlet P (2010). DNA barcoding for honey biodiversity. *Diversity*, 2: pp. 610-617.
31. Wolfe K, Li WH, Sharp PM (1987). Rates of nucleotide substitution vary greatly among plant mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear DNAs. *Proc Natl AcadSci USA*, 84: pp. 9054-9058.
32. Xiao J-H, Wang N-X, Li Y-W, Murphy R W, Wan D-G, Niu L-M, Hu H-Y, Fu Y-G, Huang DW (2010). Molecular approaches to identify cryptic species and polymorphic species within a complex community of fig wasps. *PLoS One*, 5(11): pp. e15067
33. Yao H, Song J, Liu C, Luo K, Han J, Li Y, Pang X, Xu H, Zhu Y, Xiao P, Chen S (2010). Use of ITS2 region as the universal DNA barcode for plants and animals. *PLoS One*, 5: pp. e13102.

Corresponding Author

Komal*

Research Scholar of OPJS University, Churu,
Rajasthan