Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. 13, Issue No. 2, July-2017, ISSN 2230-7540

Impacts of Overwhelming Metals on Plants and Resistance Systems

Lalita Chaudhary[1]* Ms. Kamna[2] Kuldeep Singh[3]

[1]Faculty of Science, Dept. of Botany, Pt. JLN Govt. College, Faridabad

[2]Faculty of Science, Dept. of Botany, Pt. JLN Govt. College, Faridabad

[3]Faculty of Science, Dept. of Botany, Pt. JLN Govt. College, Faridabad

Abstract – Exceptional bioaccumulation and bio magnification of overwhelming metals (HMs) in the earth have turned into an issue for every single living life form including plants. HMs at lethal levels have the capacity to cooperate with a few key cell biomolecules, for example, atomic proteins and DNA, prompting over the top expansion of receptive oxygen species (ROS). This would cause genuine morphological, metabolic, and physiological inconsistencies in plants running from chlorosis of shoot to lipid peroxidation and protein corruption. Accordingly, plants are outfitted with a collection of components to balance substantial metal (HM) lethality. The key components of these are chelating metals by framing phytochelatins (PCs) or metallothioneins (MTs) metal complex at the intra-and intercellular level, which is trailed by the expulsion of HM particles from touchy locales or vacuolar sequestration of ligand-metal complex. Nonenzymatically orchestrated mixes, for example, proline (Pro) can reinforce metal-detoxification limit of intracellular cancer prevention agent proteins. Another vital added substance part of plant protection framework is harmonious relationship with arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) growths. AM can successfully immobilize HMs and diminish their take-up by have plants by means of restricting metal particles to hyphal cell divider and discharging a few extracellular biomolecules. Also, AM growths can upgrade exercises of cancer prevention agent protection apparatus of plants.

- X -

INTRODUCTION

A substantial metal is dangerous when generally it is thick metal or metalloid that is noted for its potential poisonous quality, particularly in ecological settings. Substantial metal harmfulness implies abundance of required focus or it is undesirable which were discovered normally on the earth, and wind up noticeably focused because of human caused exercises, enter in plant, creature and human tissues by means of inward breath, eating regimen and manual taking care of, and can tie to, and meddle with the working of indispensable cell segments. Substantial metals were huge natural poisons; their danger is an issue of expanding essentialness for biological, transformative, dietary and ecological reasons (Nagajyoti, et. al., 2010). They are gathering of metals and metalloids with nuclear thickness more prominent than 4 g/cm3, or 5 times or progressively, more prominent than water (Ali, et. al., 2013) including copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), nickel (Ni), cobalt (Co), press (Fe), zinc (Zn), chromium (Cr), press (Fe), arsenic (As), silver (Ag) and the platinum. Ecologically it is characterized as aggregate conditions encompassing an living being or gathering of life forms particularly, the mix of outside physical conditions that effect and impact the development, advancement and survival of the life forms (Flora, et. al., 2008). They are to a great extent found in scattered frame in shake developments.

Expanding industrialization and urbanization had anthropogenic commitment of substantial metals in biosphere and had biggest accessibility in soil and sea-going biological systems and to a moderately littler genius parcel in environment as particulate or vapors. It lethality in plants shifts with plant species, particular metal, fixation, substance frame and soil organization furthermore, pH, the same number of substantial metals are thought to be fundamental for plant development. Some of these overwhelming metals like Cu and Zn either fill in as cofactor and activators of chemical responses (Cirlaková, 2009). It display metallic properties, for example, flexibility, flexibility, conductivity, cation solidness and ligand specificity were described by moderately high thickness and high relative nuclear weight with a nuclear number more noteworthy than 20 (Rascio and Navari-Izzo, 2011) eavy metals, for example, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, V, and Zn are required in minute amounts by life forms, over the top measures of these components can wind up noticeably unsafe to life forms. Substantial metals, for example, Pb, Cd, Hg, and as (a metalloid however for the most part alluded to as an overwhelming metal) don't have any gainful impact on creatures and are in this manner viewed as the "principle dangers" since they are exceptionally unsafe to both plants and creatures, toxin in nature air, water and soil, might be toxic or lethal and will make hurt living things. Metals collect in natural evolved way of life through take-up at essential maker level and after that through utilization at purchaser levels and plants roots are the essential contact site for substantial metal particles. While, in oceanic frameworks plant body is presented to these particles and overwhelming metals are ingested straightforwardly to the leaves because of particles stored on the foliar surfaces. This paper quickly depicts the nature and properties of soils contamination with substantial metals and its impact on the plant development were investigated.

As one of the consequences of heavy metal pollution in soil, water and air, plants are contaminated by heavy metals in some parts of China. To understand the effects of heavy metals upon plants and the resistance mechanisms, would make it possible to use plants for cleaning and remediating heavy metal-polluted sites.

EFFECTS OF HEAVY METAL ON PLANTS

Nature of heavy metals

Heavy metals are natural components cannot be degraded or destroyed biologically. Life can't develop and survive without the metal ions as life is as much inorganic as organic. Trace element to designate the elements which occur in small concentrations in natural biological systems concern over the deteriorating quality of the environment led to a trace element. The elementary constituents of plant, animal and human life may be classified as major and trace elements, the latter group comprising both essential and non-essential elements (including toxic elements).

Essential heavy metals

Some of heavy metals (Fe, Cu and Zn) are essential for plants and animals (Wuana and Okieimen, 2011), their availability in medium varies, and metals such as Cu, Zn, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni and Co are essential micronutrients (Schützendübel and Polle, 2002), whose uptake in excess to the plant requirements result in toxic effects (Tangahu, et. al., 2011). Range of a few important heavy metals in plants like As 0.02-7; Cd 0.1-2.4; Hg 0.005-0.02; Pb 1-13; Sb 0.02-0.06; Co 0,05-0.5; Cr 0.2-1; Cu 4.15; Fe 140; Mn 15-100; Mo 1-10; Ni 1; Sr 0.30 and Zn 8-100 in µg g-1 dry wt. on land plants (Zhou, et. al., 2014).

Effect of heavy metals

The heavy metals available for plant uptake are those present as soluble components in the soil solution or those solubilized by root exudates (Patra, et. al., 2004). Plants require certain heavy metals for their growth and upkeep, excessive amounts of these metals can become toxic to plants and ability of plants to accumulate essential metals equally enables them to acquire other nonessential metals (Chen, 1990). As metals cannot be broken down, when concentrations within the plant exceed optimal levels, they adversely affect the plant both directly and indirectly and some of the direct toxic effects caused by high metal concentration include inhibition of cytoplasmic enzymes and damage to cell structures due to oxidative stress (Djingova and Kuleff, 2000. Assche and Clijsters, 1990). Indirect toxic effect is the replacement of essential nutrients at cation exchange sites of plants (Jadia and Fulekar, 1999). The negative influence of heavy metals on the growth and activities of soil microorganisms also indirectly affect the growth of plants. Reduction in the number of beneficial soil microorganisms due to high metal concentration may lead to decrease in organic matter decomposition leading to a less fertility of soil. Enzyme activities are very much useful for plant metabolism, hampered due to heavy metal interference with activities of soil microorganisms. These toxic effects (both direct and indirect) lead to a decrease in plant growth which finally results in the death of plant (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002). The effect of heavy metal toxicity on the growth and development of plants differs according to the particular heavy metal for that process. Metals such as Pb, Cd, Hg, and As which do not play any beneficial role in plant growth, adverse effects have been recorded at very low concentrations of these metals in the growth medium. Kibra (Schaller and Diez, 1991). noticed significant reduction in height of rice plants growing on the soil contaminated with 1 mg Hg/kg with reduction in tiller and panicle formation. For Cd toxicity which reduces the shoot and root growth in wheat plants when Cd as low as 5 mg/L in the soil (Rellán-Álvarez, et. al., 2006). Most of the reduction in growth parameters of plants growing on polluted soils can be attributed to reduced photosynthetic activities, plant mineral nutrition, and reduced activity of some enzymes (Hatata and Abdel-Aal, 2008). Like every living organisms, plants are often sensitive both to the deficiency and to the excess availability of some heavy metal ions as essential micronutrient, while the same at higher concentrations and even more ions such as Cd, Hg, as are strongly poisonous to the metabolic activities. Research has been conducted throughout the world to determine the effects of toxic heavy metals on plants. Contamination of agricultural soil by heavy metals has become a critical environmental concern due to their potential adverse ecological effects. Such toxic elements are considered as soil pollutants due to their widespread occurrence and their acute and chronic toxic effect on plants grown of such soils.

Copper (Cu)

Cu is an essential micronutrient that participates in many vital physiological functions of plants including acting as a catalyzer of redox reaction in mitochondria, chloroplasts, and cytoplasm of cells or as an electron carrier during plant respiration. However, Cu becomes toxic when its concentration in the tissue of plants rises above optimal levels. Cu exists in many states in soils but is mainly taken up by plants in the form of Cu^{2+} . The concentration of copper in soil is typically between 2 and 250 $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$ and healthy plants can absorb 20–30 $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$ DW . But copper availability depends greatly on soil pH and its phytoavailability increases with declining pH . In addition, uptake of Cu by plants and its toxicity are contingent on nutritional condition of plant, Cu^{2+} concentration in soil, length of exposure, and genotype of a species . A plethora of research studies such as in Rhodes grass (Chloris gayana Knuth), in clove (Syzygium aromaticum L.), in cucumber (Cucumis sativus), and in some Eucalyptus species indicate that copper has a propensity for the accumulation in the root tissues with little upward movement towards shoots. Therefore, the initial characterization of Cu toxicity is the hindrance of root elongation and growth . The subsequent symptoms include chlorosis, necrosis, and leaf discoloration . Excess Cu can become attached to the sulfhydryl groups of cell membrane or induce lipid peroxidation, which results in the damaged membrane and the production of free radicals in different plant organelles and parts . Of these pernicious effects, damage to the permeability of root cells and structural disturbance of thylakoid membranes can be mentioned. Cu at toxic levels through redox process cycling between Cu^{+} and Cu^{2+}triggers the formation of reactive oxygen species such as singlet oxygen and hydroxyl radical, leading to injuries to macromolecules, for example, DNA, RNA, lipids, carbohydrates, and proteins. Decreased photosynthetic competence, low quantum efficiency of PSII, and reduced cell elongation are also associated with Cu toxicity.

Zinc (Zn)

Zn is an essential trace metal that despite having no redox activity is particularly involved in many vital physiological events in plants. Zinc is an indispensable component of special proteins known as zinc fingers that bind to DNA and RNA and contribute to their regulation and stabilization [12]. Moreover, it is a constituent of various enzymes, for example, oxidoreductases, transferases, and hydrolases, as well as ribosome, and plays a role in the formation of carbohydrates and chlorophyll and root growth.

Effects of cadmium on plants The permissible limit of cadmium (Cd) in agricultural soil is 100 mg/kg soil [7]. Plants grown in soil containing high levels of Cd show visible symptoms of injury reflected in terms of chlorosis, growth inhibition, browning of root tips and finally death. The inhibition of root Fe (III) reductase induced by Cd led to Fe (II) deficiency, and it seriously affected photosynthesis. In general, Cd has been shown to interfere with the uptake, transport and use of several elements (Ca, Mg, P and K) and water by plants. Cd also reduced the absorption of nitrate and its transport from roots to shoots, by inhibiting the nitrate reductase activity in the shoots [5]. Appreciable inhibition of the nitrate reductase activity was also found in plants of Silene cucubalus [6]. Nitrogen fixation and primary ammonia assimilation decreased in nodules of soybean plants during Cd treatments [7]. Metal toxicity can affect the plasma membrane permeability, causing a reduction in water content; in particular, Cd has been reported to interact with the water balance [8]. Cadmium treatments have been shown to reduce ATPase activity of the plasma membrane fraction of wheat and sunflower roots. Cadmium produces alterations in the functionality of membranes by inducing lipid peroxidation [9] and disturbances in chloroplast metabolism by inhibiting chlorophyll biosynthesis and reducing the activity of enzymes involved in CO2 fixation.

Some Defense Mechanisms Employed by Plants against HM Stress

As said before, plants have a refined and interrelated system of resistance techniques to dodge or endure HM inebriation. Physical obstructions are the primary line of safeguard in plants against metals. Some morphological structures like thick fingernail skin, organically dynamic tissues like trichomes, and cell dividers and in addition mycorrhizal beneficial interaction can go about as obstructions when plants are confronted with HM stretch [12]. Trichomes, for example, can either fill in as HM stockpiling site for detoxification purposes or discharge different optional metabolites to discredit dangerous impacts of metals . Then again, once HMs overcome biophysical boundaries and metal particles enter tissues and cells, plants start a few cell barrier components to invalidate and lessen the unfavorable impacts of HMs. Biosynthesis of differing cell biomolecules is the essential approach to endure or kill metal poisonous quality. This incorporates the enlistment of a horde of low-sub-atomic weight protein metallochaperones or chelators, for example, nicotianamine, putrescine, spermine, mugineic acids, natural acids, glutathione, phytochelatins, and metallothioneins or cell exudates, for example, flavonoid and phenolic mixes, protons, warm stun proteins, and particular amino acids, for example, proline and histidine, and hormones, for example, salicylic corrosive, jasmonic corrosive, and ethylene (Sharma, et. al., 2012. Viehweger, 2014). At the point when the previously mentioned techniques are not ready to limit metal harming, harmony of cell redox frameworks in plants is vexed, prompting the expanded acceptance of ROS.

Phytochelatins (PCs)

One of the systems embraced by plants to detoxify HMs is the generation of short-chain thiol-rich redundancies of peptides of low-sub-atomic weight integrated from sulfur-rich glutathione (GSH) by the compound phytochelatin synthase (PCS) with the general structure of (γ-glutamyl-cysteinyl) - glycine (to 11) that have a high fondness to tie to HMs when they are at poisonous levels. Phytochelatins, as a pathway for metal homeostasis and detoxification, have been recognized in an extensive variety of living creatures from yeast and growths to various types of creatures. In plants, PCs are observed to be a piece of the protective demonstration against metal-related worries as well as in light of different stressors, for example, overabundance warm, salt, UV-B, and herbicide. PCs are accounted for to be utilized as biomarkers for the early discovery of HM worry in plants (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002) Cytosol is where PCs are fabricated and effectively transported from that point as metal-phytochelatin edifices of high atomic weight to vacuole as their last goal. It has been recommended that the vehicle is interceded by Mg ATP-subordinate bearer or ATP-restricting tape (ABC) transporter.

Metallothioneins (MTs)

MTs, which were first separated from equine kidney, are another group of little cysteine-rich, low-sub-atomic weight cytoplasmic metal-restricting proteins or polypeptides that are found in a wide assortment of eukaryotic life forms including organisms, spineless creatures, warm blooded creatures, and plants and additionally a few prokaryotes (Rellán-Álvarez, et. al., 2006. Hatata and Abdel-Aal, 2008). As opposed to PCs that are the result of enzymatically incorporated peptides, MTs are blended because of mRNA interpretation. While PCs in plants may for the most part manage Cd detoxification, MTs give off an impression of being equipped for indicating partiality with a more noteworthy scope of metals, for example, Cu, Zn, Cd, and As. MTs show distinctive attributes and usefulness in view of their event in various life forms; be that as it may, as our comprehension towards the parts of plant MTs increments and given the way that plant MTs are exceedingly changed as far as their sub-atomic properties and basic highlights (Hatata and Abdel-Aal, 2008), they are probably going to have more and differing capacities in plant than some other living beings. In plants, these ligands are associated with invalidating poisonous quality of HMs through cell sequestration, homeostasis of intracellular metal particles, and metal transport change. Notwithstanding their part in HM detoxification, MTs are known to be dynamic operators in various cell related occasions including ROS scrounger (Jadia and Fulekar, 1999), upkeep of the redox level, repair of plasma film, cell expansion, and its development and repair of harmed DNA. There are a horde of various endogenous and exogenous factors other than HMs that can actuate the creation and articulation of MTs. Of these, osmotic anxiety, dry season, extraordinary temperatures, supplement inadequacy, arrival of different hormones, common and dull instigated tissue senescence, wounds, and viral diseases can be said.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Impacts of overwhelming metals on plants result in development hindrance, structure harm, a decay of physiological and biochemical exercises and in addition of the capacity of plants. The impacts and bioavailability of substantial metals rely upon many components, for example, ecological conditions, pH, types of component, natural substances of the media and preparation, plant species. In any case, there are additionally considers on plant resistance components to ensure plants against the poisonous impacts of overwhelming metals, for example, consolidating substantial metals by proteins and communicating of detoxifying compound and nucleic corrosive, these instruments are coordinated to secure the plants against damage by overwhelming metals.

CONCLUSIONS

Plants develop on overwhelming metal dirtied soils resultant in decrease in development because of changes in their physiological and biochemical exercises particularly genuine when the substantial metal included does not assume any valuable part towards the development and advancement of plants. Consequently, it is obvious from the few research discoveries that prudent utilize and nearness of overwhelming metals effect sly affecting plants, creatures and many living beings after specific breaking points. Along these lines, in reality to escalate the exploration for better comprehension of overwhelming metal harmfulness on plants and united territories to keep up the natural amicability of our planet. There are two viewpoints on the collaboration of plants and substantial metals, one hand, substantial metals demonstrate negative impacts on plants and other hand, plants have their own particular resistance instruments against lethal impacts and for detoxifying overwhelming metal contamination. Our survey demonstrated that both development and photosynthetic shades are influenced by the nearness of overwhelming metals.

The poisonous quality of substantial metals which is caused by their collection in soil can be expelled by utilizing hyper accumulator plant through bioremediation/phytoremediation process adequately utilized for the treatment of overwhelming metal contaminated soil. Plants utilize distinctive systems in the remediation of overwhelming metal contaminated soils and phytoextraction is the most widely recognized technique for phytoremediation utilized for treatment of overwhelming metal contaminated soils which guarantees the entire evacuation of the toxin.

There are two perspectives on the collaboration of plants and overwhelming metals. On one hand, substantial metals indicate negative impacts on plants. Then again, plants have their own particular resistance components against dangerous impacts and for detoxifying overwhelming metal contamination.

REFERENCES

A. A. Dalvi and S. A. Bhalerao (2013). “Response of plants towards heavy metal toxicity: an overview of avoidance, tolerance and uptake mechanism,” Annals of Plant Sciences, vol. 2, no. 9, pp. 362–368.

A. Cirlaková (2009). “Heavy metals in the vascular plants of Tatra mountains,” Oecologia Montana, vol. 18, pp. 23–26.

A. R. Memon, D. Aktoprakligil, A. Zdemur, and A. Vertii (2001). “Heavy metal accumulation and detoxification mechanisms in plants,” Turkish Journal of Botany, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 111–121.

A. Schaller and T. Diez (1991). “Plant specific aspects of heavy metal uptake and comparison with quality

A. Schützendübel and A. Polle (2002). “Plant responses to abiotic stresses: heavy metal-induced oxidative stress and protection by mycorrhization,” The Journal of Experimental Botany, vol. 53, no. 372, pp. 1351–1365.

B. V. Tangahu, S. R. S. Abdullah, H. Basri, M. Idris, N. Anuar, and M. Mukhlisin (2011). “A review on heavy metals (As, Pb, and Hg) uptake by plants through phytoremediation,” International Journal of Chemical Engineering, vol. 2011, Article ID 939161, 31 pages, 2011.

B. Zhou, W. Yao, S. Wang, X. Wang, and T. Jiang (2014). “The metallothionein gene, TaMT3, from Tamarix androssowii confers C^{d2+} tolerance in Tobacco,” International Journal of Molecular Sciences, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 10398–10409.

C. D. Jadia and M. H. Fulekar (1999). “Phytoremediation of heavy metals: recent techniques,” African Journal of Biotechnology, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 921–928.

Chen G. (1990). Effects of heavy metals on the growth of cucumber seedlings. Chinese Bulletin of Botany 7 (1) pp. 34–39 (In Chinese with English abstract)

F. Assche and H. Clijsters (1990). “Effects of metals on enzyme activity in plants,” Plant, Cell and Environment, vol. 24, pp. 1–15.

H. Ali, E. Khan, and M. A. Sajad (2013). “Phytoremediation of heavy metals—concepts and applications,” Chemosphere, vol. 91, no. 7, pp. 869–881.

K. Viehweger (2014). “How plants cope with heavy metals,” Botanical Studies, vol. 55, no. 35, pp. 1–12.

L. Taiz and E. Zeiger (2002). Plant Physiology, Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Mass, USA.

M. M. Hatata and E. A. Abdel-Aal (2008). “Oxidative stress and antioxidant defense mechanisms in response to cadmium treatments,” American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 655–669.

M. N. V. Prasad (2004). “Phytoremediation of metals in the environment for sustainable development,” Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 71–98.

M. Patra, N. Bhowmik, B. Bandopadhyay, and A. Sharma (2004). “Comparison of mercury, lead and arsenic with respect to genotoxic effects on plant systems and the development of genetic tolerance,” Environmental and Experimental Botany, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 199–223.

N. Rascio and F. Navari-Izzo (2011). “Heavy metal hyperaccumulating plants: how and why do they do it? And what makes them so interesting?” Plant Science, vol. 180, no. 2, pp. 169–181.

P. C. Nagajyoti, K. D. Lee, and T. V. M. Sreekanth (2010). “Heavy metals, occurrence and toxicity for plants: a review,” Environmental Chemistry Letters, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 199–216.

P. Sharma, A. B. Jha, R. S. Dubey, and M. Pessarakli (2012). “Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions," Journal of Botany, vol. 2012, Article ID 217037, 26 pages.

R. A. Wuana and F. E. Okieimen (2011). "Heavy metals in contaminated soils: a review of sources, chemistry, risks and best available strategies for remediation," ISRN Ecology, vol. 2011, Article ID 402647, 20 pages.

R. Djingova and I. Kuleff (2000). "Instrumental techniques for trace analysis," in Trace Elements: Their Distribution and Effects in the Environment, J. P. Vernet, Ed., Elsevier, London, UK.

R. Rellán-Álvarez, C. Ortega-Villasante, A. Álvarez-Fernández, F. F. D. Campo, and L. E. Hernández (2006). "Stress responses of Zea mays to cadmium and mercury," Plant and Soil, vol. 279, no. 1-2, pp. 41–50.

S. J. S. Flora, M. Mittal, and A. Mehta (2008). "Heavy metal induced oxidative stress & its possible reversal by chelation therapy," Indian Journal of Medical Research, vol. 128, no. 4, pp. 501–523.

Corresponding Author

Lalita Chaudhary*

Faculty of Science, Dept. of Botany, Pt. JLN Govt. College, Faridabad

E-Mail – lalitasorout@yahoo.co.in