

# Review on Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria in Plant Microbe Interaction

Prashant Telgad<sup>1\*</sup> Dr. Nalwade Abasaheb Ram Chandra<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> PhD Research Student, Kalinga University, Chhattisgarh

<sup>2</sup> PhD Guide, Kalinga University, Chhattisgarh

**Abstract – Organic control of plant diseases, including the utilization of opposing microorganisms, offers a phenomenal contrasting option to synthetic control. An immense number of microorganisms exhibit in rhizosphere have been considered as critical in supportable farming on account of their biocontrol potential and capacity to advance plant growth. A diagram of the momentum status of research on the biocontrol of viral diseases by rhizobacteria is introduced in this. In this paper we discuss about the studies done in the field of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria.**

-----X-----

## INTRODUCTION

IAA is phytohormone which is known to be related with root initiation, cell division and cell intensification (Salisbury, 1994). This hormone is routinely conveyed by PGPR (Barazani and Friedman, 1999). Vessey (2003) has investigated the age of this hormone and trapped it in the development progression by PGPR. In any case, the effect of IAA on plants depends upon the plant affectability to IAA and the measure of IAA conveyed from plant related microorganisms and enrollment of various phytohormones (Peck and Kende, 1995). Patten and Glick (2002) displayed that bacterial IAA from *P. putida* accepted a vital part in the progression of host plant root system. So additionally, IAA age in *P. fluorescens* HP 72 associated with smothering of creeping bowed grass dim hued settle (Suzuki et al., 2003).

## IAA PRODUCTION IN BACTERIA

Various infinitesimal life forms consolidate auxins with a particular ultimate objective to trouble have physiological methodology for their own particular preference. Here we look at five minuscule living beings, *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Pseudomonas savastanoi*, *Agrobacteria tumefaciens*, *Erwinia herbicola* and *Rhodococcus fascians* as instances of IAA creation in bacteria. *Pseudomonas syringae* is a Gram-negative bacterium. Most of the strains (pathovars) in this species are plant pathogens that have specific correspondences. *P. syringae* causes wounds in leaves, buds and natural items. IAA age by methods for the IAM pathway has been perceived in numerous strains of *P. syringae* and related with the (Taiz and Zeiger, 1998) epiphytic survival of the tiny

living beings (Costacurta and Vanderleyden, 1995; Patten and Glick, 1996).

Tryptophan-subordinate pathways of IAA biosynthesis in plants and bacteria. Enzymes are appeared in blue while substrates, intermediates and the last after effect of the pathways are exhibited in red. The IAM pathway is from left, and the TAM pathway is on the right. The IAN pathway is second to the other side and IPA pathway is in the midst of the outline.

*Pseudomonas savastanoi* can orchestrate auxins and cytokinins, which prompts the advancement of nerves on olive and oleander. *P. savastanoi* produces IAA through the IAM pathway; the *iaaM* and *iaaH* characteristics are arranged on the chromosome and encode proteins that have critical homology to proteins encoded by the *tms 1* and *tms 2* characteristics accountable for IAA biosynthesis in *Agrobacteria tumefaciens* (Yamada, 1993; Yamada et. al., 1985). An IAA insufficient mutant of *P. savastanoi*, EW 2009-3, does not make irritates on have plants. Strikingly, if the IAA deficient mutant of *P. savastanoi* is changed with a vector containing the *iaaM* and *iaaH* characteristics, the ability to convey nerves is recovered (Glickmann et.al., 1998; Surico et.al., 1984; Yamada, 1993), confirming the importance of IAA in the malady system.

*Agrobacteria tumefaciens* is a bar shaped, Gram-negative bacteria that causes crown irritate of many plant species. The unsafe strains of *A. tumefaciens* pass on T-DNA (trade DNA) on the Ti plasmid, which is brought into have cells in the midst of defilement. The T-DNA encodes characteristics for the biosynthesis of auxins and cytokinins, which incite

strange cell division in sullied tissue. Accordingly, tainted tissue produces opines, which is an imperativeness hotspot for the bacteria. The destructiveness region of T-DNA passes on the tms-1 and tms-2 characteristics, which encode proteins that basically contrast with two auxin mix chemicals, tryptophan monooxygenase (IaaM) and indole-3-acetamide hydrolase (IaaH), independently (Yamada, 1993). *Erwinia herbicola* is a Gram-negative bacteria that colonizes plant surfaces, especially leaves and buds. Pathogenic strains incite trouble maladies on has. Both pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains orchestrate IAA through the IPA pathway.

## VIRAL DISEASES OF TOMATO

Numerous viruses contaminate tomato edit (Avgelis, 1986). Mosaic disease on tomato was first revealed in the year 1902 by Wood. Later on, Clinton (1908) announced the mosaic disease as irresistible chlorosis of field tomatoes from Connecticut. Further, this disease was observed to be the same as calica of tobacco and was later affirmed as a customary tomato mosaic (Stone, 1911). The event of mosaic disease on tomato was first revealed from India by Das and Raychaudhuri (1952). From that point forward, more than 28 viruses have been accounted for to contaminate tomato. They are potato virus-X (PV-X), potato virus-Y (PV-Y), tomato Aspermy cucumovirus (TAV), Tomato Ringspot Nepovirus (ToRSV), Tobacco Mosaic Tobamovirus (TMV), Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus (ToWSV), Tomato Mosaic Tobamovirus (ToMV), Tobacco Streak Ilarvirus (TSV), Cucumber Mosaic Cucumo virus (CMV), Zucchini Yellow Mosaic Polyvirus (ZyMV), tomato yellow leaf twist. Begamovirus (TYLCV), Tomato Acuba Mosaic Virus, Tomato Streak Virus, Tobacco Rattle Virus, Tobacco Mottle Virus, Tobacco Necrosis Virus, Tobacco Ring Spot Virus, Tobacco Rosette Virus, Tomato Black Ring Virus, Tomato Bushy Top Virus, Tomato Bushy Stunt Virus.

## SYMPTOMS OF TMV ON TOMATO

Grancini and Cesaroni (1950) revealed a virus which delivered greenery leaf like manifestations on tomato and since the virus was profoundly irresistible, they contemplated that the virus may have a place with the tobacco mosaic virus group. Severin (1950) depicted the event of TMV on tomato which created clearing of veins and veinlets on the more youthful takes off. In the beginning times, the side effects of the disease included hindering of entire plant and collapsing or moving of leaves alongside midrib. Further, the littler pamphlets were decreased to filiform. In the later stages, a portion of the flyers demonstrated light green or yellow regions with various mottling between the veins of the pamphlets. Mill operator (1963) watched a strain of TMV on tomato, which created vein clearing, occasional foliar corruption and interveinal chlorosis in the field and assigned it as V-52-1, a strain of TMV. The virus under nursery conditions created

foundational mottling on tomato. Rao and Reddy (1971) depicted the manifestations on tomato tainted with mosaic virus. The normally contaminated tomato plants in the field indicated mosaic side effects as dim green islands encompassed by light green territories and diminished leaf size. In falsely vaccinated plants, the disease seemed two weeks after immunization on youthful foliage as mosaic mottling with light green zones encompassed by dull green islands. The leaf size was seriously diminished in size contrasted with those from healthy plants. Pfleger and Zeyen (1991) watched that tomato foliage demonstrated mosaic (mottled) zones with substituting yellow and dim green territories.

## RHIZOBACTERIA IN THE MANEGEMANT OF PLANT DISEASES

Natural control by opposing living beings has been contemplated broadly and rhizobacterial strains have risen as potential biocontrol specialists for the control of root and foliar diseases (Anuratha and Gnanamanickam, 1990; Raupach and Kloepper, 1998; Ramamoorthy et al., 2002 and Earnapalli, 2005). PGPR can secure over the ground plant parts against viral, contagious and bacterial diseases by prompted fundamental opposition (ISR). Among the PGPRs, the most abused microorganisms fluorescent pseudomonads are for natural control. In the past three decades, different strains of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* have been kept from soil and plant roots by a couple of specialists and their biocontrol action against soil borne and foliar pathogens assessed (Rosales et al., 1993; Rabindran and Vidhyasekaran, 1996; Vidhyasekaran and Muthamilan, 1995; Nandakumar et al., 2001a; Vishwanathan and Samiyappan, 2001; Ramamoorthy et al., 2002 and Jagadish, 2006).

## SCREENING AND SELECTION OF BIOCONTROL AGENTS

It has been demonstrated that microorganisms separated from roots or rhizosphere of a particular product adjusted better to that harvest and gave powerful control of diseases than living beings segregated from other plant species. Such plant related microorganisms fill in as compelling biocontrol specialists since they are now intently related. The screening of such privately adjusted strains yielded enhanced biocontrol as a rule (Cook and Baker, 1983 and Jagadeesh, 2000). Identification of successful enemy strains speaks to just the initial move towards the advancement of compelling natural control. With the end goal for biocontrol to be executed on a useful level, the foes must be naturally fit to survive, wind up set up and work inside the particular states of the ecosystem. Understanding the component of biocontrol and association with condition will empower understanding the maximum capacity of biocontrol and create methodologies for administration and

usage. As of late, Slininger et al. (2003) created files, for example, relative execution file, in view of growth of bioagents and their adversarial activity under various conditions.

## **INDUCTION OF SYSTEMIC RESISTANCE BY RHIZOBACTERIA**

Incited systemic obstruction, extensively characterized as enactment of inert safeguard instruments in plants preceding pathogenic assault has been hypothesized to be an attractive component of biocontrol in a few rhizobacteria. Van Loon et al. (1998) characterized initiated systemic obstruction (ISR) as a condition of expanded protective limit created by plants when properly fortified, through actuation of dormant opposition incited by different specialists incorporating rhizobacteria. ISR is related with expanded blend of specific enzymes, for example, peroxidase (Rajinimala et al., 2003) expanded levels of certain corrosive solvent proteins (Zdor and Anderson, 1992) and the aggregation of phytoalexins in the prompted plant tissue (Vanpeer et al., 1991). Peroxidase shows liking to substrates engaged with cellular lignification and the results of its activity likewise have coordinate antimicrobial activity within the sight of hydrogen peroxide (Ride, 1975). Phenylalanine smelling salts lyase activity produces forerunners of lignin biosynthesis and other phenolic exacerbates that amass because of contamination (Klessig and Malamy, 1994). Chitinase and  $\beta$ -1, 3-glucanases are PR (pathogenesis related) proteins that show synergistic antifungal activity and are identified with the systemic procured obstruction (SAR) pathway that incorporates salicylic corrosive as flag atom that is enacted by necrotizing pathogens and concoction inducers.

## **SCREENING AND SELECTION OF PGPR STRAINS**

It has been proposed that microorganisms disengaged from the root or rhizosphere of a particular harvest embraced better to that yield and give powerful control of infections than life forms initially segregated from other plant species. Such plant related microorganisms fill in as better biocontrol specialists since they are now intently related and embraced to the plant or plant part and in addition to the specific natural condition in which they should work. The screening of such privately embraced strains has yielded enhanced biocontrol strains now and again (Cook and Baker, 1983). Be that as it may, now-a-days microbial biodiversity ponders have improved the recognizable proof of potential bioagents suited to shifted ecological conditions. Identification of effective antagonists strains represents only the first step towards the development of effective biological control. In order for biocontrol to be implemented on a practical

level, the antagonists must be ecologically fit to survive, become established and

## **RHIZOBACTERIA AS BIOCONTROL AGENTS**

Rhizobacteria are ideal for use as biocontrol masters since they have the rhizosphere that gives the forefront defend to roots against strike by pathogens. Pathogens encounter risk from rhizobacteria already and in the midst of their fundamental tainting of roots. Rhizobacteria are accounted for to give security against grouped plant pathogens.

### **Effect of PGPR on contagious pathogens**

Sedra and Malouhy (1994) analyzed six adversaries from 420 cases obtained from supportive and suppressive soils, for their inhibitory movement against *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *albedinis*. These adversaries smothered the development of *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *albedinis* in vitro by 24-47 for each penny and its sporulation by 70-99 for every penny. Gupta et al. (1999) separated *P. aeruginosa* from potato rhizosphere that demonstrated a strong contradicting action against crucial parasitic pathogens, viz. *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Fusarium oxysporum*. Tripathi and Johri (2002) pondered the biocontrol ability of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* bound from rhizosphere of pea and wheat in vitro and in vivo against maize sheath scourge caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. They discovered a few isolates to have different ailment control potential, while some others indicated biocontrol potential against specific pathogens, which exhibited that fluorescent *Pseudomonas* are varying with respect to their biocontrol potential. Ahmadzadeh et al. (2004) detailed that ill-disposed rhizobacteria, more especially fluorescent *Pseudomonas* and certain *Bacillus* species had the ability to stifle parasitic and bacterial root maladies of country crops. Plant development lifting rhizobacterial strain having a place with fluorescent *Pseudomonas* were kept from the rhizosphere of rice and sugarcane.

### **Biocontrol capacity of PGPR against nematodes**

Sikora (1990) found that *P. fluorescens* showed an in vitro repellent effect towards *R. similis* and *Meloidogyne* spp. Jonathan et al. (2000) considered the practicality of plant development progressing uncharacterized actinomycetes (strain 29 and 45) and the nematode parasitic microscopic organisms *Pasteuria penetrans* (detach 100) against *M. incognita* race 1 on tomato and banana. Seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* and *P. chlororaphis* basically reduced the root anger of *M. incognita* race 1 in tomato cv. Rutgers (Jonathan et al., 2000). *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Bacillus* spp. moreover, arbuscular mycorrhizae were attempted against *M.*

incognita and *Tylenchulus semipenetrans* in green harvests, for instance, citrus, tomato, potato and bean stew. The results exhibited that these living things could be used as productive biocontrol administrators for the organization of plant parasitic nematode (Rajendran et al., 2001). Seenivasan and Lakshmanan (2001) considered the nematotoxic effects of culture filtrates of *P. fluorescens* strain Pf1 on *Hirschmanniella gracilis* at 25, 50, 75 and 100 for each penny obsession in vitro. Usage of *P. fluorescens* or *B. subtilis* extended the development and yield of chickpea and decreased the tainting by *M. incognita* by constraining the amount of nerves/root framework, egg expansive scale assembling and soil people (Khan et al., 2001). Mortality of *M. incognita* young people apparently was near both in unheated and warmed culture filtrates of *P. fluorescens* and the mortality extended with increase in center (Sirohi et al., 2000). *P. fluorescens* and *B. thuringiensis* exhibited nematocidal action against teenagers and adults of *M. incognita* invading tomato plants. The mortality levels of *M. incognita* extended with increase in the union of bacterial cells ( $5 \times 10^8$  cfu/ml) (Hanna et al., 1999).

## BIOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF PGPR

Diverse phenotypic and biochemical procedures have been delivered and used for depicting fluorescent pseudomonad disengages. The class *Pseudomonas* is depicted by gram-negative post formed lively cells and are connected with plants. The basic species fuse *P. fluorescens*, *P. putida*, *P. aeruginosa* and *P. aureofaciens*. Most of the tests led for unmistakable confirmation of fluorescent pseudomonads have been established on physiological and invigorating tests (Krieg and Holt, 1984). Among the *Pseudomonads* gathering, *P. aeruginosa* outlines a light gathering and creates more than  $41^\circ\text{C}$  (Hildebrand et al., 1992). A vast part of the related *Pseudomonas* sp. have a place with *P. fluorescens* and *P. putida* complex. There was no sensible refinement between *P. fluorescens* and *P. putida* (Sheath et al., 1981). However, these two species are recognized in perspective of trehalose utilize and gelatin liquefaction. In this, *P. fluorescens* shows positive for both the tests however *P. putida* exhibit negative response (Hildebrand et al., 1992). The types of fluorescent pseudomonas are again assembled in different biovars and subgroups in perspective of similarity in biochemical tests (Champion et al., 1980; Barrett et al., 1986). Thusly, quick distinctive confirmation of possibly and fiscally feasible bioagents is possible through various procedures for biochemical depiction (Weller et al., 2002; Ongena et al., 1999; Zehnder et al., 2000; Singh et al., 2000)..

## CONCLUSION

PGPR are having the capacity to guarantee over the ground plant parts against fungal, bacterial and viral diseases by incited central resistance (ISR). Kloepper

et al. (1992) reported that among the PGPR, fluorescent pseudomonads are the most mishandled microscopic organisms for natural control of soil borne and foliar plant pathogens. In the past three decades different strains of fluorescent pseudomonads have been kept from the dirt and plant roots by a couple of experts and their biocontrol movement against soil borne and foliar pathogens have been represented (Austin et al., 1997; Mew and Rosales 1986; Rabindran and Vidyasekaran, 1996; Viswanathan and Samiyappan, 2001; Ramamoorthy et al., 2002). *Pseudomonas fluorescens* disconnects are suitable bacterial enemies for the organization of soil borne and foliar afflictions. Among the distinctive isolates tried, *P. fluorescens* segregate Pf1 effectively blocked mycelial development of the pathogen in vitro conditions and lessened the characteristic item ruin recurrence under nursery conditions (Ramamoorthy and Samiyappan, 2001). The utilization of biocontrol PGPR strains has given promising results in oats, vegetables, verdant sustenances plant creation under glass house and field conditions (Raupach and Kloepper, 1998).

## REFERENCES

1. Abdul, B. A. A. and Anderson, J. D. (1973). Vigor determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. *Crop Sci.*, 13: pp. 630-633.
2. Aziz, Z. F. A., Saud, H. M., Rahim, K. A. and Ahmed, O. H. (2012). Variable responses on early development of shallot (*Allium ascalonicum*) and mustard (*Brassica juncea*) plants to *Bacillus cereus* inoculation. *Malays. J. Microbiol.*, 8: pp. 47-50.
3. Banik, S. and Dey, B. K. (1982). Available phosphate content of an alluvial soil as influenced by inoculation of some isolated phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms. *Plant Soil*, 69(3): pp. 353-364.
4. Calvo, P., Ormeño-Orrillo, E., Martínez-Romero, E. and Zúñiga, D. (2010). Characterization of *Bacillus* isolates of potato rhizosphere from andean soils of Peru and their potential PGPR characteristics. *Braz. J. Microbiol.*, 41(4): pp. 899-906.
5. Dastager, S. G., Deepa, C. K. and Pandey, A. (2010). Isolation and characterization of novel plant growth promoting *Micrococcus* sp. NII-0909 and its interaction with cowpea. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 48(12): pp. 987-992.
6. Elad, Y. and Baker, R. (1985). The role of competition for iron and carbon in suppression of chlamydospore germination



- of *Fusarium* sp. by *Pseudomonas* sp. *Phytopathol.*, 75: pp. 1053-1059.
7. Faisal, M. and Hasnain, S. (2005). Growth improvements of Sunflower seedlings by Cr (VI)-resistant bacteria. *Iran. J. Biotechnol.*, 3(2).
  8. Gaiind, S. and Gaur, A. C. (1999). Microbial phosphate solubilisation as influenced by sodium chloride. *Ind. J. Exp. Biol.*, 37: pp. 209-210.
  9. Haas, D. and Keel, C. (2003). Regulation of antibiotic production in root-colonizing *Pseudomonas* sp. and relevance for biological control of plant disease. *Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 41(1): pp. 117-153.
  10. Howell, C. R. and Stipanovic, R. D. (1980). Suppression of *Pythium ultimum*-induced damping-off of cotton seedlings of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and its antibiotic, pyoluteorin. *Phytopathol.*, 70: pp. 712-715.
  11. Izhar, I., Ehteshamul-Haque, S., Javeed, M. and Ghaffar, A. (1995). Efficacy of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Bradyrhizobium* sp., in the control of root rot disease of chickpea. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 27: pp. 451-455.
  12. Jacobson, B. C., Pasternak, J. J. and Glick, B. R. (1994). Partial purification and characterization of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase from the plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas putida* GR 12-2. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, 40: pp. 1019-1025.
  13. Kannan, V. and Sureendar, R. (2009). Synergistic effect of beneficial rhizosphere microflora in biocontrol and plant growth promotion. *J. Basic Microbiol.*, 49(2): pp. 158-164.
  14. Khalid, A., Arshad, M. and Zahir, Z. A. (2006). *Phytohormones: microbial production and applications* (Uphoff, N. et al., edi.). *Biological approaches to sustainable soil systems*. Taylor and Francis, Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 207-220.
  15. Li, J., Zhao, G. Z., Chen, H. H., Wang, H. B., Qin, S. and Zhu, W. Y. (2008). Anti-tumour and antimicrobial activities of endophytic *Streptomyces* from pharmaceutical plants in rain forest. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.*, 47: pp. 574-580.
  16. Maksimov, I. V., Abizgil' Dina, R. R. and Pusenkova, L. I. (2011). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as alternative to chemical crop protectors from pathogens: A review). *Appl. Biochem. Microbiol.*, 47(4): pp. 333-345.

---

### Corresponding Author

#### Prashant Telgad\*

PhD Research Student, Kalinga University, Chhattisgarh