Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. XIV, Issue No. 2, January-2018, ISSN 2230-7540

A Study on Conceptual and Procedural Basis and Remedies and Breach of Contracts

Sushil Kumar Mondal[1]* Prof. Atiowar Rahman[2]

[1] Research Scholar

[2] Supervisor

Abstract – This study examines, in the context of standard construction contracts, the existence and economical consequences of contractual solutions and their function and details in the field of foreign contemporary industry. The different solutions to incorporation and usage of foreign arrangements was covered under numerous civil and common-law legal directives. The key emphasis of the analysis is on the economic effects of the contractual method as a structure feature which, due to changes (internally and externally to the parties) should be flexible and adaptable in the circumstances following the contract termination. This research is aimed at exploring ways in which more efficient modes of contractual reconstruction, developed in various areas and soft-law codifications, can be controlled and applied. As stated in the report, this improved strategy maximizes the economic efficiency and profitability of each remedy under a clear corrective framework, enables contracting parties to separate various interests in one single shared contract and adjust their respective protection levels by utilizing the appropriate function of each corrective action.

Keywords: Remedies, Contractual Remedies, Breach

----------X----------

INTRODUCTION

When commitments in our daily lives arise, we should fairly conclude that such responsibilities be they binding, insulting or compensation would be broken. "If the complainant has determined that the defendant is breaching a contract, the complainant usually demands punitive claims for injuries arising as a consequence of a breach." There is also a simple principle that if one party experiences damage because of the other party's breach of contract, the individual must try to remedy the breaches, while the latter is obliged to restore the harm. [1]The wise analyzes of contracts indicate that claims are designed to repay the alleged victim. The purpose, remedy and method of obligation damages are so reflected in the law of the contract, that they seem immediately to be apparent. In effect, this would typically be accomplished by paying anticipated damages i.e. the sum needed to put the affected person on the position as it should have been if the contract had been performed. In closer studies, though, it is evident that disruption to aspirations is often compensatory in nature and the importance of harm, liability and intention remain unclear. This Paper is intended to recognize the importance of these crucial principles, to establish some theoretical and real harm remedies for breaches of contracts, and to examine the connection between certain harm activities and the objectives of the judiciary.[2]

"When a contractual contracts broken, the deal is assumed to have been cancelled or discharged. The following forms should be found to discharge a contract[3]:-

- By performance;
- By mutual agreement;
- By impossibility of performance;
- By breach of contracts;
- By operation of Law;
- By lapse of time.

Some fundamental problems relating to contract breach and the definition of damages are given particular attention as:-

- What is the meaning of breach of contracts?
- What are the various remedies available for breach of contracts?
- What is the meaning of damages?

- Difference between damages and compensation and other related concepts.
- Under what circumstances is it appropriate to compensate a victim of breach of contract?
- Up to what extent he/she is to be compensated?
- What should be the criteria for fixation of damages for breach of contract? And some other related issues are also defined and discussed in this Paper."

If you speak of where a right resides, you will recognize the essential intent of the remedy for the offensive group. The key purpose of the solutions accessible for contract breach may, thus, be seen to be as a means of social regulation.[4] A contract participant missing out cannot be acknowledged as the benefit he should have received from the implementation of the deal cannot be proven. This is therefore correct to claim that a dissuasion from negligence is needed if there is some contract breach to secure the parties who may not be informed of their privileges. Morally, taking advantage of others unnecessarily is not acceptable. There is also a need for a proper law framework, as it is necessary for citizens to be regulated and for them to stop participating in socially and morally inacceptable activities, aside from conscience. Within the general system of social regulation, the purpose of redress for breach of the contract may therefore be strongly identified.

"And it is important to discriminate between various forms of breach when a contract is breached because of not getting the same legal consequences. Non performances can take various types, such as fundamental and non-fundamental breaches, or predictive and actual breaches. Nonetheless, the loss or breach can be separated into deliberate or accidental if that is viewed on the basis of purpose.' Unless the breach of the deal is unintentional, so the statute cannot be disrespectful to the person who has done wrong. In this context, other procedural structures have been revised and the recourse modified for the plaintiff. [5]One of these is contract law, which includes different penalties for contract breaches. Therefore, in brief, to fully grasp the definition, it is important to address such remedies. Since contracts are at the heart of corporate life. Growth has risen in domestic and foreign affairs. Besides the fact that the parties meet the responsibilities agreed to in the document, which in the majority of cases represent their purpose. Nonetheless, certain situations exist in which the negotiating parties or either of them does not meet their commitments and therefore refuse to comply. Therefore, specific rules are put down to cope with a non-performance and much of the time will be exposed as recourse for negligence under all legal frameworks for the breach of the contracts. Different forms of misconduct are required in a given judicial framework with different methods of redress. And you must be informed of the sort of breaches that happened when utilizing every solution. The fundamentality of the breach can be important in this way, because the solutions depends on the form of breaches. For eg, the intentional breach of the contract represents the reckless actions of the non-performing group and thus has specific consequences. In this sense, several legal structures see maladministration as a crucial element in deciding whether or not non-performance is necessary. The malicious termination of the contract therefore has an impact in our legal framework that stretches an infringing party's responsibility to unforeseeable consequences. The aggressor is allowed to cancel the arrangement which entitles the injured Party to seek punitive damages in the event of malicious breaches.[6]

MEANING, NATURE AND EFFECT OF BREACH OF CONTRACT

Meaning of "Breach"

In general sense, Breach is failure to act in a required or promised way.

"According to Merriam Webster's Dictionary of Law: - Breach means "a failure to do what is required by a law or an contractor a duty."[7]"

"According to Oxford Law Student Dictionary: - Breach means "an act of breaking or failing to observe a law, agreement, or code of conduct."[8]"

Meaning of "Breach of Contract"

Contract breach shall not perform without a legal excuse any term of a contract, written or oral. This may mean not completing a job, not paying in full, not delivering the goods in full or in time, replacing lesser or substantially different goods, not binding if required, no late payment without excuses or any act showing that the party is not completing the work. Contract breach is one of the most common sources of alleging or accusing the "limited execution" of the contract.

According to Black Law Dictionary: - "Breach of contract means failure to live up to the terms of a contract."[8]

Consequently, breach of the contract shall be a civil concept implying the breaches of a contract or arrangement under which one Party refuses to comply on its undertakings or interferes with another party's capacity to conduct its duties. Partly or wholly a contract may be violated. The contracts are often terminated after the contractual responsibilities of all sides have been met, however the negotiating parties can not satisfy their commitments alluded to in the contract for their own purposes at any time; thus, it is assumed that the contract was broken by the group. The most common reason for bringing the

disputes relating to the contract to the tribunal is breaches of the contract.[9]

REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT

The rules, legitimate activities and regulations and the origins of duties are the basis of specific responsibilities or rights,15:

- contracts;
- unilateral acts;
- acts causing harm;
- acts conferring a benefit; and
- The law.

The first root of these responsibilities includes the contracts and any duty resulting from contraventions is subject to the extent of the statutory liability in the context of a contract. If there is no recourse for upholding the privileges resulting in the contract, a correlative package of rights and responsibilities for the parties should be of little benefit. This is why the Latin maxim ubi jus, ibi remedium, implies in this sense that a solution is necessary where there is a error. A privilege will not be of much benefit, as without a solution. Many trade deals contain express redress clauses. All the provisions affecting their mutual arrangements may be believed to have been contained in the contract itself by the parties specifically in writing. They wished accordingly to transfer any privileges and remedies not stated in the contract given by statute. Any particular redress that a party wants to pursue in the case of an breach must be expressly preserved in the document. The collective redress provision aims to ensure that, apart from the rights set out in law in this Document, the interests of the Plaintiffs are similar to those of the Parties in question. If indeed, no redress for breach of the contract has been resorted to by parties, the remedies given under contract law will be used. An breach of the contract happens where the other side violates one important contract word when the contracted side has not performed any when more of the contractual duties. The other party is entitled to deprive herself of all more responsibility under the Convention in the event of breaches by one side. The other party should have incurred losses as a result of this mistake or breach and should thus have performed a defects or breachess of a contracting parties. There are ways to remedy these breaches.

Meaning of Remedy

A solution is a valid method, either to reinstate or put a party in the same condition as though the arrangement had been completed before its results.[10]

In theory, solutions are classified into two groups:

1. Legal remedy;
2. Equitable remedy.

Distinction between Legal remedy and equitable remedy

Historically, in English Common law tradition, there were two distinct types of courts:

1.) Courts of Law, and 2.) Courts of Equity. The courts of law is viewed as the primary place to pursue redress for errors, even contractual breaches. Nevertheless, where the recourse in courts of law was inadequate or unreasonable, the courts of equity is deemed a last resort. In India too, one direction or the other was governed by the Common Law Method. All solutions are practiced in India, but the courts have not been split into justice and equity justice, like it is in Britain. The courts of law in India offer all remedies.

A.) Legal Remedies

In practice, two forms of civil relief are available: "compensatory and collateral damages." This monetary compensation is intended to put the guilty party on an equal footing if the contract was concluded and to enable any damages incurred as a result of the breaches to be recovered.

B.) Equitable Remedies

In relation to the real direct harm incurred by a breach, substantive remedies apply to justice. The 'real ity' is the typical method of fair remedy exercised in the case of a particular benefit which implies a court may require the non-performing party to follow the specified contractual terms. In the case of these litigation the courts have the power to alter or modify contract conditions to make them fairer to either or both of the parties, or, in the event that the contract is unjust to one party, the court may withdraw from the entire contract and re-establish all parties until the contract has been resolved.

Various solutions have so far been established as regards the Indian context for breach of contracts. The redress is for breach of the Indian Contract Act , 1872.:-

- Damages or compensation
- Specific performance
- Injunctions

- Rescission
- Quantum meruit.

As this thesis focuses solely on "damages" to contract breaches, a detailed review of the "definition of harm" must be undertaken, while a brief discussion of other solutions for contractual breaches is needed. When a group discovers that it is in breach of a settlement, the plaintiff has many options to rectify the damages, the solutions. The popular solution is the cash payout for damage, which is incurred in breach of the contract by an offended Member. A new responsibility occurs in the case of a breach of the deal, namely that the affected party is denied the freedom to continue. Such conditions contribute to harm intervention. Normally, the quantity of restitution to be charged from the defendant to the complainant for the breach of contract is deemed to be the total of funds determined by the Court. In general, statutory damages are intended to satisfy the plaintiff's desires by putting him in a role that will be as nice as he would if the deal were performed. This concept may be related to stating that the ordinary purpose of the claimant's claims is restitution, i.e. the allocation of money in order to compensate the claimer's loses. The main purpose in an adjudication in judgment is then to put the complainant in his position because there were not breaches of the contract.

DEFINITIONS AND MEANING OF "DAMAGES"

Definition of "Damages"

The word 'damage' is literally the quantity of money paid for injury or hurt of some kind. In fact, the phrase 'damage' is redress for damages or wounds from neglect or through malicious actions, or an judgment of the court or grant of the value as an breach penalty. That is the sum of funds that the statute provides or implements as a cash benefit, reimbursement or relief for an accident caused or for a error suffered as a result of a breaches of the statutory agreement. Damages was a cash reward for a civil law breach.

LIQUIDATED DAMAGES AND UNLIQUIDATED DAMAGES

Liquidated Damages

Damage liquidated is a form of real injury. In the bulk, a settlement includes the word "liquidated liability." Liquidated Damage is a valuable legal mechanism in contractual transactions, but it has a challenge to view the liquidated Damage as a "penalty provision" because they are not adequately interpreted or written accurately and therefore are inapplicable. In a recent judgement[3], the controversy about the "take or pay" clauses was resurrected by stating that such conditions that break the penalty law as well. The common law does not extend the liquidated damages provision where the object is to prosecute the wrongdoer or the group in breach instead of indemnifying the injured person.

The parties to the contract that, in the event of an breach, decide in advance the amount of money owed. A fixed amount will come under one or two of the following groups, according to English law95:-

1. Liquidated damages, or
2. Penalty.

"Liquidated Losses" is a amount that the negotiating parties receive as insurance for losses irrespective of the real harm. The negotiating parties must decide at the moment the arrangement has been reached that the infringer owes a certain amount of the money to a non-infracting party in the case of a breach or can consent to have the amounts charged from one party to the other forfeited in the event of the breach by one State. That is a true "pre-estimate of liability" that may be incurred by the breaches. Nevertheless, liquidated penalties vary from the word "penalty" to guarantee the validity of the deal.

Difference between Liquidated Damages and Penalty

In general, the word "liquidated injury" and "penalty" are quite confusing.

There, the distinction between two words is also essential to be addressed.

Present or successful pre-estimation of loss as mentioned above is the liquidation of damages. A penalty is therefore deemed to be an amount so stipulated with fear (with order to intimidate the contracting party) and thus an amount may be called a penalty if the quantity alluded to herein is too high and unfair. It is a punishment because the breaches require spending money and the price stated is greater than the sum that will be charged. The evidence and conditions in every situation must be judged in such a way. In consideration of a number of specific considerations, including the existence, character of the contract and its unique features, the general status of the parties, the privileges and responsibilities arising from such an contract under the statute and the purpose of the parties which, the issue of whether the contract includes a particular stipulation is of a sort that must be determined by court the following: If the Court finds such a detailed situation, the real object for which the stipulation has been inserted in the contract is to induce the Promissory apprehension, owing to its burdensome or coercive existence, to drive him to satisfy the contract.

CONCLUSION

This study is dedicated to the following remedies currently available under an international contract: specific performance; damages' compensation;

termination of contract; liquidated damages and penalty; price reduction and performance withholding. International contract law offers wider variety of more diversified and detailed options, but essentially they all derive from the described types. For instance, ordinary sales contract provide for the remedy of repair or re-delivery of conforming goods. In my opinion, both these alternatives are covered under specific performance (substitute performance) and additionally secured by the enforceable hierarchy of other remedies.

REFERENCES

1. Poole, Jill (2016). "Casebook on contract law", 13th edition, Oxford University Press, p.555.

2. Pound, Roscoe (2013). "Interpretations of legal history", Cambridge University Press, p.60.

3. Preeti, "Performance of Contract", (2016). Available online at: http://www.simplynotes.in/m-comb-com-2/performance-of-contract/.

4. R. K. Singh (1963). "Public Interest and Restrictive Trade Practices in India", Mittal publication, New Delhi, (1963), p.270. http://indiankanoon.org, accessed date on (09.04.2016)

5. Rao Peddina Mohana (2013). "Business Law", PHI Learning Private Ltd., p. 98.

6. Raviteja P. (2014). "Discharge by Performance & Contingent contracts", Lawctopus' Law Journal and Knowledge Center, ISSN: 2349-9796. Available online at: http://www.lawctopus.com/academike/discharge-performance-contingent- contracts/.

7. Rebecca Smithers (2011). "Terms and conditions: not reading the small print can mean big problems", (Guardian, 11 May 2011), Available online at: http://www.guardian.co.uk/money/2011/may/11/terms-conditions-small- print-big-problems.

8. Reginald Walter Michael Dias, "Jurisprudence", 11th edition, Butterworths, (1985), p.4.

9. Richard Lawson (1983). "Exclusion Clause", Oyez Longman Publishing Limited, p.21.

10. Tubrazy S. J., "Contract and a Promise, Distinction between Both", available online at: http://www.bukisa.com/articles/412747contract-and-a-promise- distinction-between-both.

Corresponding Author

Sushil Kumar Mondal*

Research Scholar

sushilmondal59@yahoo.in