Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. XV, Issue No. 1, April-2018, ISSN 2230-7540

A Study on Comparisons between Sine–Gordon & Perturbed NLS Equations

Sonia Rani[1]* Dr. Ashwini Kumar[2]

[1] Research Scholar of OPJS University, Churu, Rajasthan

[2] Associate Professor, OPJS University, Churu, Rajasthan

Abstract – The sine-Gordon (SG) equation and irritated nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equations are considered numerically for displaying the spread of two space dimensional (2D) limited heartbeats (the purported light projectiles) in nonlinear dispersive optical media. We start with the (2 + 1) SG equation acquired as an asymptotic decrease in the two dimension dissipationless Maxwell–Bloch framework, trailed by the survey on the bothered NLS equation in 2D for SG beat envelopes, which is comprehensively very much presented and has all the pertinent higher request terms to regularize the breakdown of standard basic (cubic centering) NLS. The irritated NLS is approximated by truncating the nonlinearity into limited higher request terms experiencing centering defocusing cycles. Productive semi-certain sine pseudospectral discretizations for SG what's more, irritated NLS are proposed with thorough mistake gauges. Numerical examination results between light shot solutions of SG and irritated NLS just as basic NLS are accounted for, which approve that the arrangement of the irritated NLS just as its limited term truncations are in qualitative and quantitative agreement with the arrangement of SG for the light slugs proliferation even after the basic breakdown of cubic centering NLS. Conversely, standard basic NLS is in qualitative agreement with SG just before its breakdown. As an advantage of such perceptions, beat spreads are examined by means of illuminating the bothered NLS truncated by sensibly numerous nonlinear terms, which is an a lot less expensive undertaking than understanding SG equation directly

Keywords: Equation, Sine, Gordon

---------------------------------x---------------------------------

INTRODUCTION

The engendering and association of spatially limited optical heartbeats (alleged light projectiles (LBs)) with molecule includes in a few space measurements are of both physical and numerical interests. They have been discovered helpful as data bearers in correspondence as vitality sources, switches and rationale entryways in optical gadgets Such LBs have been seen in numerical reenactments of the full Maxwell framework with prompt Kerr (χ(3) or cubic) nonlinearity in 2D. They are short femtosecond beats that proliferate without basically changing shapes over a long separation and have just a couple of EM (electromagnetic) motions under their envelopes.

In 1D, the Maxwell framework displaying light engendering in nonlinear media concedes steady speed voyaging waves as accurate arrangements, otherwise called the light air pockets (unipolar heartbeats or solitons),. The total inerrability of a Maxwell–Bloch framework is appeared. In a few space measurements, consistent speed voyaging waves (mono-scale arrangements) are more earnestly to dropped by. Rather, space-time wavering (numerous scale) arrangements are increasingly powerful. The supposed LBs are of numerous scale structures with unmistakable stage/bunch speeds and abundancy elements. Despite the fact that direct numerical recreations of the full Maxwell framework are rousing, asymptotic guess is vital for examination in a few space measurements. The estimate of 1D Maxwell framework has been widely considered. Long heartbeats are all around approximated by means of envelope guess by the cubic centering nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) for χ(3) medium An examination between Maxwell arrangements and those of an all-inclusive NLS likewise demonstrated that the cubic NLS estimation works sensibly well on short stable 1D beats. Scientific investigation on the legitimacy of NLS guess of heartbeats and counter-proliferating beats of 1 D sine–Gordon condition has been done .Notwithst that basic breakdown of the cubic centering NLS happens in limited time (and references in that). Then again, because of the inborn physical instrument or material reaction, Maxwell framework itself commonly acts fine past the cubic NLS breakdown time. One precedent is the semi-old style two dimension dissipation less Maxwell–Bloch framework where smooth arrangements persevere perpetually.It is hence a fascinating inquiry how to adjust the cubic NLS estimation to catch the right material science for demonstrating the engendering and association of light flag in 2 D Maxwell type frameworks. One methodology will be talked about in the accompanying.

Considering the transverse electric regime, after taking a distinguished asymptotic limit of the two level dissipation less Maxwell–Bloch system studied in , Xin found that the well-known sine-Gordon (SG) equation also admits 2D LBs solutions. In the SG equation (1.14)–(1.15), it is well-known that the energy

$$E^{SG}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[(\partial_t u)^2 + c^2 |\nabla u|^2 + 2G(u)\right] d\mathbf{x}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

With

$$G(u) = \int_0^u \sin(s) ds = 1 - \cos(u),$$

is monitored. Direct numerical recreations of the SG condition in 2D were performed in which are a lot less complex undertakings than mimicking the full Maxwell framework. Moving heartbeat arrangements having the option to keep the general profile over quite a while were watched, much the same as those in Maxwell framework See likewise for related breather-type arrangements of the SG condition in 2D dependent on a regulation examination in the Lagrangian plan.

Likewise, as determined in with the SG-LBs as beginning stage one can search for an adjusted planar heartbeat arrangement of the SG condition (1.14) in the structure:

$$u(\mathbf{x},t) = \varepsilon A(\varepsilon(x-vt), \varepsilon y, \varepsilon^2 t) e^{i(kx-\omega(k)t)} + \text{c.c.} + \varepsilon^3 u_2, \ \mathbf{x} = (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ t \geq 0, \quad (5.3)$$

NUMERICAL METHODS FOR SG AND PERTURBED NLS EQUATIONS

Since the limited time proliferation of the LBs is of interests in its right, taking note of the inalienable far-field evaporating property of the LBs arrangements of the SG and NLS equations, practically speaking, one can generally truncate the entire space issues on a limited computational area Ω, e.g. $\Omega = [a,b]\times[c,d]$, with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, i.e., consider

$$\partial_{tt} u - c^2 \Delta u + \sin(u) = 0, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \quad (5.18)$$

$$u(\mathbf{x},0) = u^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \partial_t u(\mathbf{x},0) = u^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}), \qquad u(\mathbf{x},t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5.19)$$

and a similar initial-boundary-value problem for the truncated perturbed NLS equation (5.12).

Let $\Delta t > 0$ be the time step and denote time steps as $t_n = n\Delta t$, $n = 0,1,\ldots$; choose spatial mesh sizes $\Delta x = \frac{b-a}{J}$ and $\Delta y = \frac{d-c}{K}$ with J,K being two positive even integers, and denote the grid points be

$$x_j := a + j\Delta x, \quad j = 0,1,\ldots,J; \qquad y_k := c + k\Delta y, \quad k = 0,1,\ldots,K.$$

Let $Y_{JK} = \text{span}\{\varphi_{lm}(\mathbf{x}),\ l = 1,2,\ldots,J-1,\ m = 1,2,\ldots,K-1\}$, where $\varphi_{lm}(\mathbf{x}) := \sin(\mu_l(x-a))\sin(\lambda_m(y-c))$, $\mathbf{x} = (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\mu_l = \pi l/(b-a), \ \lambda_m = \pi m/(d-c), \qquad l = 1,2,\ldots,J-1,\ m = 1,2,\ldots,K-1.$$

For a function $\xi(\mathbf{x}) \in L_0^2(\Omega) = \{v(\mathbf{x}) \mid v \in L^2(\Omega),\ v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$ and a matrix ϕ:=

$$\{\varphi_{jk}\}_{j,k=0}^{J,K} \in \mathbb{C}_0^{(J+1)(K+1)} = \{w \in \mathbb{C}^{(J+1)(K+1)} \mid w_{0k} = w_{Jk} = w_{j0} = w_{jK} = 0,\ j =$$

0,1,...,J, k = 0,1,...,K}, denote $\mathcal{P}_{JK} : L_0^2(\Omega) \to Y_{JK}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{JK} : \mathbb{C}_0^{(J+1)(K+1)} \to Y_{JK}$ be the standard projection and trigonometric interpolation operators,

METHOD FOR THE SG EQUATION

A semi-implicit sine pseudospectral method is discussed here for solving the SG equation. Let $u^n_{JK}(\mathbf{x})$ be the approximation of $u(\mathbf{x},t_n)$ ($\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$), and respectively, u^n_{jk} be the approximation of $u(x_j,y_k,t_n)$ ($j = 0,1,\ldots,J$, $k = 0,1,\ldots,K$) and denote u^n be the matrix with components u^n_{jk} at time $t = t_n$. Choose $u_{JK}^0(\mathbf{x}) = PJK(u^{(0)})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, by applying the sine spectral method for spatial derivatives, and secondorder implicit and explicit schemes for linear and nonlinear terms respectively in time discretization for the SG equation (5.18), one can get the semi-implicit sine spectral discretization as:

Find $u^{n+1}_{JK}(\mathbf{x}) \in Y_{JK}$, i.e.,

$$u_{JK}^{n+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{l=1}^{J-1}\sum_{m=1}^{K-1} \widehat{(u_{JK}^{n+1})}_{lm} \phi_{lm}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad n \geq 0,$$

such that for $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{u_{JK}^{n+1} - 2u_{JK}^n + u_{JK}^{n-1}}{(\Delta t)^2} - \frac{c^2}{2}\ \Delta u_{JK}^{n+1} + \Delta u_{JK}^{n-1}) + \mathcal{P}_{JK}(\sin(u_{JK}^n)) = 0,$$

and the initial data is discretized as

$$\frac{u_{JK}^1 - u_{JK}^0}{\Delta t} = \mathcal{P}_{JK}(u^{(1)}) + \frac{\Delta t}{2}\left[c^2 \Delta u_{JK}^0 - \mathcal{P}_{JK}\ \sin(u^{(0)}))\right].$$

$$\widehat{(u_{JK}^{n+1})}_{lm} = \begin{cases} \left[1 - \frac{c^2}{2}(\Delta t)^2(\mu_l^2 + \lambda_m^2)\right]\widehat{(u^{(0)})}_{lm} + \Delta t\,\widehat{(u^{(1)})}_{lm} - \frac{(\Delta t)^2}{2}(\widehat{\sin(u^{(0)})})_{lm}, & n = 0; \\ \frac{2}{2 + c^2(\Delta t)^2(\mu_l^2 + \lambda_m^2)}\left[2\widehat{(u_{JK}^n)}_{lm} - (\Delta t)^2(\widehat{\sin(u_{JK}^n)}_{lm}\right] - \widehat{(u_{JK}^{n-1})}_{lm}, & n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The above discretization scheme is spectral order accurate in space and second-order accurate in time; in fact, one can have the following error estimate,

METHOD FOR THE PERTURBED NLS EQUATION

A semi-implicit sine pseudo spectral method is discussed here for solving the perturbed NLS equation. Let $\Delta T > 0$ be the time step and denote time steps as $T_n = n\Delta T$, $n = 0,1,...$; and choose spatial mesh sizes ΔX and ΔY and grid points X_j ($j = 0,1,...,J$) and Y_k ($k = 0,1,...,K$) in a similar manner to Δx and Δy as well as x_j and y_k. Let $A^n_{JK}(\mathbf{X})$ be the approximation of$A(\mathbf{X},T_n)$ ($\mathbf{X} \in \Omega$) , and respectively, A^n_{jk} be the approximation of $A(X_j,Y_k,T_n)$ ($j = 0,1,...,J$, $k = 0,1,...,K$) and denote A^n be the matrix with components A^n_{jk} at time $T = T_n$. Choose $A^0_{JK}(\mathbf{X}) = PJK(A^{(0)})$ for $\mathbf{X} \in \Omega$, by applying the sine ghastly strategy for spatial subsidiaries, and second-request certain and express plans for linear and nonlinear terms individually in time discretization for the annoyed NLS condition one gets the semi-verifiable sine phantom discretization as:

Find $A^{n+1}_{JK}(\mathbf{X}) \in Y_{JK}$, i.e.,

AnJK+1(**X**) = XJ−1 KX−1 (\AnJK +1)lmφlm(**X**), **X** ∈ Ω, n ≥ 0, l=1 m=1

such that for **X** ∈ Ω and n ≥ 1

$$i\frac{A^{n+1}_{JK} - A^{n-1}_{JK}}{2\Delta T} = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4\omega^2}\frac{A^{n+1}_{JK} - 2A^n_{JK} + A^{n-1}_{JK}}{(\Delta T)^2} - \frac{\varepsilon ck}{2\omega\Delta T}\ \partial_X A^{n+1}_{JK} - \partial_X A^{n-1}_{JK}) - \frac{1}{2}\ \Delta A^{n+1}_{JK} + \Delta A^{n-1}_{JK}) + \mathcal{P}_{JK}\ \ f^N_\varepsilon\ \ |A^n_{JK}|^2)\, A^n_{JK}), \qquad (5.49)$$

and the initial data is discretized as

$$\frac{A^1_{JK} - A^0_{JK}}{\Delta T} = \mathcal{P}_{JK}(A^{(1)}) + \frac{4\omega^2\Delta T}{2\varepsilon^2}\left[i\mathcal{P}_{JK}(A^{(1)}) + \Delta A^0_{JK} + \frac{\varepsilon ck}{\omega}\partial_X \mathcal{P}_{JK}(A^{(1)}) - \mathcal{P}_{JK}\ \ f^N_\varepsilon(|A^{(0)}|^2)A^{(0)})\right].$$

$$\widehat{(A^{n+1}_{JK})}_{lm} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{lm}\widehat{(A^{(0)})}_{lm} + \beta_{lm}\widehat{(A^{(1)})}_{lm} - \dfrac{2\omega^2(\Delta T)^2}{\varepsilon^2}\widehat{(g^0)}_{lm}, & n = 0; \\ \dfrac{i-\gamma_{lm}}{i+\gamma_{lm}}\widehat{(A^{n-1}_{JK})}_{lm} - \dfrac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega^2\Delta T\,(i+\gamma_{lm})}\widehat{(A^n_{JK})}_{lm} + \dfrac{2\Delta T}{i+\gamma_{lm}}\widehat{(g^n)}_{lm}, & n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\alpha_{lm} = 1 - \frac{2\omega^2(\Delta T)^2}{\varepsilon^2}\ \ \mu_l^2 + \lambda_m^2), \quad \beta_{lm} = \Delta T + \frac{i2\omega^2(\Delta T)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{i2\omega ck\mu_l(\Delta T)^2}{\varepsilon}$$

$$\gamma_{lm} = -\Delta T\ \ \mu_l^2 + \lambda_m^2) - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\omega^2\Delta T} + \frac{i\varepsilon ck\mu_l}{\omega}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq J-1,\ 1 \leq m \leq K-1,$$

$$g^0(\mathbf{X}) = f^N_\varepsilon\ \ |A^{(0)}(\mathbf{X})|^2)\ A^{(0)}(\mathbf{X}),$$

$$g^n(\mathbf{X}) = f^N_\varepsilon\ \ |A^n_{JK}(\mathbf{X})|^2)\ A^n_{JK}(\mathbf{X}), \quad n \geq 1$$

,**X** ∈ Ω.

Also, the above discretization conspire is ghastly request precise in space and second-request exact in time; indeed, one can have the accompanying blunder gauge,

Theorem 1. Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$ be a fixed constant in and $T_* > 0$ be any fixed time, suppose the exact solution $A(\mathbf{X},T)$ of an initial-boundary-value problem of satisfies $A(\mathbf{X},T) \in C^4([0,T_*];L^2) \cap C^3([0,T_*];H^1) \cap C^2([0,T_*];H^2) \cap C([0,T^*];H^m \cap H^1_0 \cap L^\infty(\Omega))$ for some $m \geq 2$. Let A^n_{JK} be the approximations

Obtained at time $T = T_n$, then there exist two positive constants k_0 and h_0, such that for any $0 \leq \Delta T \leq k_0$ and $0 < h := \max\{\Delta X,\Delta Y\} \leq h_0$, satisfying $\Delta T\ .\ 1/|\ln(h)|$,

Where $e^n(\mathbf{X}) = A(\mathbf{X},T_n) - A^n_{JK}(\mathbf{X})$.

Proof. The proof proceeds by means of mathematical induction, and without loss of generality one can assume $\Delta X = \Delta Y$. From the regularity of exact solution,

$$\max_{0\leq T\leq T^*}\{\|\partial_T^4 A(\mathbf{X},T)\|_{L^2}, \|\partial_T^3 A(\mathbf{X},T)\|_{H^1}, \|\partial_{TT} A(\mathbf{X},T)\|_{H^2}, \|A(\mathbf{X},T)\|_{H^m}, \|A(\mathbf{X},T)\|_{L^\infty}\} \lesssim 1,$$

and by the smoothness of f^N_ε,

NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this area, the SG condition the bothered NLS condition with various N, and the cubic NLS condition are numerically considered for displaying the LBs. Numerical correlations are made among them, and the proliferating heartbeats are explored through tackling the irritated NLS condition with N satisfactorily enormous. The SG and annoyed NLS equations are explained by the proficient techniques proposed previously, and the cubic NLS condition is comprehended by the effective and precise time-part pseudospectral strategy In simulation, $c = 1$ in and the initial data $A^{(0)}(\mathbf{X})$ is chosen such that it decays to zero sufficiently fast as $|\mathbf{X}| \to \infty$. In order to make the perturbed NLS equation be consistent with the cubic NLS equation at $T = 0$ when $\varepsilon \to 0$, the initial data $A^{(1)}(\mathbf{X})$ ($A^{(1)}(\mathbf{X})$ appears in the coefficient before $O(\varepsilon^3)$ term in the ansatz (5.3) for initial data of the SG equation) in (5.6) is chosen as

$$A^{(1)}(\mathbf{X}) = i\left[\Delta A^{(0)}(\mathbf{X}) + \tfrac{1}{2}|A^{(0)}(\mathbf{X})|\,A^{(0)}(\mathbf{X})\right], \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \qquad (5.87)$$

From the ansatz (5.3) with $t = 0$ and omitting all $O(\varepsilon^3)$ terms, the initial data in (1.15) for the SG equation can be chosen

CONCLUSION

We have analyzed numerically the solutions of the sineGordon (SG) equation, the irritated nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation, which is gotten from the SG equation via conveying out an envelope extension, with its limited term nonlinearity approximations, and the basic cubic NLS, for the spread of light slugs in nonlinear optical media. This was accomplished by the effective semi-verifiable sine pseudospectral strategies, which are frightfully precise in space, second request in time, and are exceptionally proficient in down to earth execution. In light of our broad numerical correlation results, we abridged the ends as pursues, if ε is sensibly little.

REFERENCES

1. A short note on simplified pseudospectral methods for computing ground state and dynamics of spherically symmetric Schro¨dinger–Poisson–Slater system, Journal of Computational Physics, Vol. 230 (22), pp. 7917–7922.

2. Analysis and comparison of numerical methods for the Klein–Gordon equation in the nonrelativistic limit regime (with Weizhu Bao), Numerische Mathematik, Vol. 120 (2), pp. 189-229, 2012.

3. A fourth-order split-step pseudo spectral scheme for the Kuramoto–Tsuzuki equation, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, Vol. 17, pp. 3161–3168, 2012.

4. A trigonometric integrator pseudo spectral discretization for the N- coupled nonlinear Klein–Gordon equations, Numerical Algorithms, to appear.

5. An exponential wave integrator pseudospectral method for the Klein–Gordon–Zakharov system (with Weizhu Bao), preprint.

6. Error estimates in the energy space for a Gautschi-type integrator spectral discretization for the coupled nonlinear Klein–Gordon equations, preprint.

7. Numerical solutions of the symmetric regularized-long-wave equation by trigonometric integrator pseudospectral discretization, preprint.

8. On the computation of ground state and dynamics of Schro¨dinger–Poisson– Slater system (with Yong Zhang), Journal of Computational Physics, Vol. 230 (7), pp. 2660–2676, 2011.

9. Numerical methods for computing ground states and dynamics of nonlinear relativistic Hartree equation for boson stars (with Weizhu Bao), Journal of Computational Physics, Vol. 230 (10), pp. 5449–5469, 2011.

Corresponding Author

Sonia Rani*

Research Scholar of OPJS University, Churu, Rajasthan