Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. XV, Issue No. 6, August-2018, ISSN 2230-7540

An Analysis upon the Theory and Elementary Approaches for the Solution of Functional Differential Equations: Special Aspects

Natasha*

Assistant Professor Mathematics, PG Govt. College, Ambala Cantt – 133001, Haryana, India

Abstract – This paper is a presentation, for non-specialists, to compelling routes for explaining some other, more general, Functional Differential Equations (FDEs). It is a novel combination of rudimentary strategies that utilization just the fundamental systems educated in a first course of Ordinary Differential Equations. FDEs are regularly utilized as displaying apparatuses in a few territories of connected mathematics, including the investigation of scourges, age-structured population development, computerization, activity stream and issues identified with the engineering of elevated structures for quake security.

---------------------------------x---------------------------------

INTRODUCTION

There are distinctive sorts of functional differential equations (FDEs) emerging from vital applications: delay differential equations (DDEs) (likewise alluded to as retarded FDEs [RFDEs]), neutral FDEs (NFDEs), and mixed FDEs (MFDEs). The order relies upon how the present change rate of the system state relies upon the history (the recorded status of the state just or the verifiable change rate and the chronicled status) or whether the present change rate of the system state relies upon the future desire for the system. Later we will likewise observe that the delay included may likewise rely upon the system state, prompting DDEs with state-subordinate delay.

The principle declarations of the hypothesis of functional differential equations depend on the theorems about linear equations in Banach spaces. We give here without proofs certain outcomes which we will require underneath. We formulate a portion of these declarations not in the most general form, but rather in the form fulfilling our points.

X, Y, Z are Banach spaces; A, B are linear operators; D(A) is an area of definition of A; R(A) is a scope of values of An; and A* is an operator adjoint to A. The arrangement of arrangements of the equation Ax = 0 is said to be an invalid space or a piece of An and is signified by kerA. The measurement of a linear set M is indicated by dimM. Let A be acting from X into Y. The equation

$$Ax = y \qquad (1)$$

(the operator An) is said to be typically resolvable if the set R(A) is shut; (1) is said to be aNoether equation in the event that it is a regularly feasible one, and, plus, diminish kerA < ∞ and diminish ker A* < ∞. The number indA = diminish kerA−dim kerA* is said to be the record of the operator A (1). In the event that A will be a Noether operator and indA = 0, equation (1) (the operator An) is said to be a Fredholm one. The equation $A^*\varphi = g$ is said to be an *equation adjoint* to (1).

WAZEWSKI'S PRINCIPLE FOR RETARDED FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Let $C([a,b],\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b, \mathbb{R} = (-\infty,+\infty)$ be the Banach space of continuous functions mapping the interval [a, *b]* into $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the topology of uniform convergence. In the case $a = -r < 0$, $b = 0$ we denote this space by $\mathcal{C}$, that is, $\mathcal{C} = C([-r,0],\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we define the norm of an element φ of $\mathcal{C}$ by $\|\varphi\|_r = \sup_{-r\le\theta\le 0}\|\varphi(\theta)\|$.

We shall deal with a system of retarded functional differential equations

$$\dot{y}(t) = f(t, y_t) \qquad (2)$$

where $f:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$. and Ω is an open subset in $\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{C}$

Let us recall some necessary notions.

If $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}, A \ge 0$ and $y \in C([\sigma - r, \sigma + A],\mathbb{R}^n)$, then for each $t \in [\sigma, \sigma + A]$ we define $y_t \in \mathcal{C}$ by $y_t(\theta) = y(t+\theta), \theta \in [-r, 0]$

A function y is a solution of system (2) on $[\sigma-r,\sigma+A)$ where $\sigma\in\mathbb{R}$ and $A>0$ if $y\in\mathcal{C}([\sigma-r,\sigma+A),\mathbb{R}^n)$, $(t,y_t)\in\Omega$ and $y(t)$ satisfies the system (2) on $[\sigma,\sigma+A)$.

A function $y(\sigma,\varphi)$ where $\sigma\in R$ and $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}$ is called a solution of system (2) starting from (σ,φ) if there is $A>0$ such that $y(\sigma,\varphi)$ is a solution of system (2) on $[\sigma-r,\sigma+A)$ and $y_\sigma(\sigma,\varphi)=\varphi$.

Regarding the right-hand sides of (2) we shall assume that the map $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous, quasibounded and satisfies a local Lipschitz condition with respect to the second argument. Then an element $(\sigma,\varphi)\in\Omega$ determines a unique solution $y(\sigma,\varphi)$ of (2) on its maximal interval of existence $[\sigma,a),\sigma<a\le\infty$ which depends continuously on initial data.

Let us put $\Lambda\equiv\mathcal{C}$ in the formulation of Theorem 30 and $y=y(\sigma,\varphi)$ which is a solution of (2), uniquely determined by $(\sigma,\varphi)\in\Omega$. Then $(\mathcal{C},\Omega,y)$ is a system of curves in $\mathbb{R}^n$ in the sense of Definition. The symbol $D_{\sigma,\varphi}$ denote the right maximal existence interval of solution $y(\sigma,\varphi)$.

LINEAR EQUATION AND LINEAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

The Cauchy problem

$$(\mathcal{L}x)(t)\overset{\text{def}}{=}\dot{x}(t)-P(t)x(t)=f(t),\quad x(a)=\alpha,\ t\in[a,b], \tag{3}$$

is uniquely solvable for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and summable f if the elements of the $n\times n$ matrix P are summable. Thus, the representation of the solution

$$x(t)=X(t)\int_a^t X^{-1}(s)f(s)ds+X(t)\alpha \tag{4}$$

of the issue (the Cauchy formula), where X is a central lattice with the end goal that is the character network, is additionally a portrayal of the general arrangement of the equation $\mathcal{L}x=f$. The Cauchy formula is the construct for examinations with respect to different issues in the hypothesis of ordinary differential equations. The Cauchy issue for functional differential equations isn't resolvable generally; however some boundary value issues might be feasible. Thusly the boundary value issue assumes a similar part in the hypothesis of functional differential equations as the Cauchy issue does in the hypothesis of ordinary differential equations.

We will call the equation

$$\mathcal{L}x=f \tag{5}$$

a *linear abstract functional differential equation* if $\mathcal{L}:\mathbf{D}\to\mathbf{B}$ is a linear operator, D and B are Banach spaces, and the space D is isomorphic to the direct product $\mathbf{B}\times\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbf{D}\simeq\mathbf{B}\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $\mathcal{J}=\{\Lambda,Y\}:\mathbf{B}\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbf{D}$ be a linear isomorphism and let $\mathcal{J}^{-1}=[\delta,r]$.

Everywhere below, the norms in the spaces $\mathbf{B}\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and D are defined by

$$\|\{z,\beta\}\|_{\mathbf{B}\times\mathbb{R}^n}=\|z\|_{\mathbf{B}}+|\beta|,\qquad \|x\|_{\mathbf{D}}=\|\delta x\|_{\mathbf{B}}+|rx|. \tag{6}$$

By such a definition of the norms, the isomorphism $\mathcal{J}$ is an isometric one. Therefore,

$$\|\{\Lambda,Y\}\|_{\mathbf{B}\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbf{D}}=1,\qquad \|[\delta,r]\|_{\mathbf{D}\to\mathbf{B}\times\mathbb{R}^n}=1. \tag{7}$$

Since

$$\|\Lambda z\|_{\mathbf{D}}=\|\{\Lambda,Y\}\{z,0\}\|_{\mathbf{D}}\le\|\{\Lambda,Y\}\|\,\|\{z,0\}\|_{\mathbf{B}\times\mathbb{R}^n}=\|z\|_{\mathbf{B}}, \tag{8}$$

$\|\Lambda\|_{\mathbf{B}\to\mathbf{D}}=1$. Similarly it is stated that $\|Y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbf{D}}=1$. Next, we have

$$\|\delta x\|_{\mathbf{B}}\le\|x\|_{\mathbf{D}}, \tag{9}$$

and if *rx* = 0,

$$\|\delta x\|_{\mathbf{B}}=\|x\|_{\mathbf{D}}. \tag{10}$$

Therefore $\|\delta\|_{\mathbf{D}\to\mathbf{B}}=1$. Analogously $\|r\|_{\mathbf{D}\to\mathbb{R}^n}=1$.

We will assume that the operator $\mathcal{L}:\mathbf{D}\to\mathbf{B}$ is bounded. Applying $\mathcal{L}$ to both parts, we get the decomposition

$$\mathcal{L}x=Q\delta x+Arx. \tag{11}$$

Here $Q=\mathcal{L}\Lambda:\mathbf{B}\to\mathbf{B}$ is the *principal part*, and $A=\mathcal{L}Y:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbf{B}$ is the *finite-dimensional part* of $\mathcal{L}$.

As examples of (5) in the case when D is a space $\mathbf{D}^n$ of absolutely continuous functions $x:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^n$ and B is a space $\mathbf{L}^n$ of summable functions $z:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^n$, we can take an ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t)-P(t)x(t)=f(t),\quad t\in[a,b], \tag{12}$$

where the columns of the matrix P belong to $\mathbf{L}^n$, or an integrodifferential equation

$$\dot{x}(t)-\int_a^b H_1(t,s)\dot{x}(s)ds-\int_a^b H(t,s)x(s)ds=f(t),\quad t\in[a,b]. \tag{13}$$

We will assume the elements $h_{ij}(t,s)$ of the matrix $H(t,s)$ to be measurable in $[a,b]\times[a,b]$, and the functions $\int_a^b h_{ij}(t,s)ds$ to be summable on $[a,b]$, and will assume the integral operator

$$(H_1 z)(t) = \int_a^b H_1(t,s)z(s)ds \tag{14}$$

on L^n into L^n to be completely continuous. The corresponding operators $\mathcal{L}$ for these equations in the form (11) have the representation

$$(\mathcal{L}x)(t) = \dot{x}(t) - P(t)\int_a^t \dot{x}(s)ds - P(t)x(a) \tag{15}$$

for (12) and

$$(\mathcal{L}x)(t) = \dot{x}(t) - \int_a^b \left\{H_1(t,s) + \int_s^b H(t,\tau)d\tau\right\}\dot{x}(s)ds - \int_a^b H(t,s)ds\, x(a) \tag{16}$$

for (13).

INFINITE DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS GENERATED

In Newtonian mechanics, the system's state variable changes after some time, and the law that represents the difference in the system's state is typically depicted by an ordinary differential equation (ODE). Accepting that the capacity engaged with this ODE is adequately smooth (locally Lipschitz, for instance), the relating Cauchy initial value issue is all around presented, and in this manner knowing the present status, one can reproduce the history and anticipate the fate of the system.

In numerous applications, a nearby take a gander at the physical or natural foundation of the demonstrating system demonstrates that the change rate of the system's present status regularly depends on the present state as well as on the historical backdrop of the system, see, for instance,. This more often than not prompts supposed DDEs with the accompanying model:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), x(t-\tau)), \tag{17}$$

where $x(t)$ is the system's state at time if, $f:\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is a given mapping, and the time lag $\tau>0$ is a constant.

Such an equation arises naturally, for example, from the population dynamics of a single-species structured population. In such an example, if $x(t)$ denotes the population density of the mature/reproductive population, and if the maturation period is assumed to be a constant, then we have

$$f(x(t),x(t-\tau)) = -d_m x(t) + e^{-d_i\tau}b(x(t-\tau)), \tag{18}$$

where d_m and d_i are the death rates of the mature and immature populations, respectively, and $b:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ is the birth rate. Death is instantaneous, so the term $-d_m x(t)$ is without delay. However, the rate into the mature population is the maturation rate (not the birth rate), that is, the birth rate at time r. multiplied by the survival probability $e^{-d_i\tau}$ during the maturation process.

Clearly, to specify a function $x(t)$ of $t\geq 0$ that satisfies (17) (called a solution of (17)), we must prescribe the history of it on $[-\tau,0]$. On the other hand, once the initial value data

$$\varphi:[-\tau,0]\to\mathbb{R}^n \tag{19}$$

is given as a continuous function and if $f:\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\ni(x,y)\to f(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and locally Lipschitz with respect to the first state variable $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, then (17) on $[0,\tau]$ becomes an ODE for which the initial value problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t),\varphi(t-\tau)), \quad t\in[0,\tau],\ x(0)=\varphi(0), \tag{20}$$

is solvable. If such a solution exists on $[0,\tau]$, we can repeat the argument to the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases}\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t),\underbrace{x(t-\tau)}_{\text{given}}), & t\in[\tau,2\tau],\\ x(\tau)\text{ is given in the previous step,}\end{cases} \tag{21}$$

to obtain a solution on $[\tau,2\tau]$. This process may be continued to yield a solution of (17) subject to $x|_{[-\tau,0]}=\varphi$ given in (19).

Let $C_{n,\tau}=C([-\tau,0];\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Banach space of continuous mappings from $[-\tau,0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the supremum norm

$$\|\phi\| = \sup_{-\tau\leq\theta\leq 0}|\phi(\theta)| \text{ for } \phi\in C_{n,\tau},$$

and if we define $x_t: C_{n,\tau}\to C_{n,\tau}$ by the segment of ;t on the interval $[t-\tau,t]$ translated back to the initial interval $[-\tau,0]$, namely,

$$x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta), \quad \theta\in[-\tau,0], \tag{22}$$

then (17) subject to $x_0=\varphi\in C_{n,\tau}$ gives a semi flow $[0,\infty]\ni t\mapsto x_t\in C_{n,\tau}$. This clearly shows that an appropriate state space of a DDE is $C_{n,\tau}$ and that a DDE gives an infinite-dimensional dynamical system on this phase space.

Many applications call for the study of asymptotic behaviors (as $t \to \infty$) of solutions of (17), and such an examination is by all accounts extremely troublesome because of the infinite-dimensionality of the stage space and the created semi flow, notwithstanding for a scalar DDE (17) (that is, when n = 1). Indeed, even to confine the investigation of the asymptotic behaviors of solutions almost a predetermined solution is exceedingly nontrivial. Accept a consistent state for instance. A vector $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called an equilibrium of (17) if

$$f(x^*, x^*) = 0. \tag{23}$$

This vector gives a state $\hat{x}^* \in C_{n,\tau}$, which is a constant mapping on $[-\tau, 0]$ with the constant value $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and a solution of (17) with the initial value $\hat{x}^*$ is a constant function $x: [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with the constant value x^*. Behaviors of solutions of (17) in a neighborhood of $\hat{x}^*$ may be determined by the zero solution of the linearization

$$\dot{x}(t) = D_x f(x^*, x^*) x(t) + D_y f(x^*, x^*) x(t-\tau) \tag{24}$$

With

$$D_x f(x^*, x^*) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,y)\bigg|_{x=x^*, y=x^*},$$
$$D_y f(x^*, x^*) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x,y)\bigg|_{x=x^*, y=x^*}.$$

In the case $\tau > 0$, even when n = 1, the behaviors of solutions of (24) can be more complicated than any given linear system of ODEs, since (24) even when n = 1 may have infinitely many linearly independent solutions $e^{\lambda t}$ with λ being given by the so-called characteristic equation

$$\lambda = D_x f(x^*, x^*) + D_y f(x^*, x^*) e^{-\lambda\tau}. \tag{25}$$

Specifically, the infinite-dimensionality of the issue (17) prompts a transcen¬dental equation (as opposed to a polynomial), which can have various zeros on the fanciful hub, offering ascend to confused basic cases.

Then again, some unique highlights (exceptionally the possible minimization of the solution semiflow) of DDEs guarantee that the arrangement of zeros of the characteristic equation on the fanciful hub (checking variety, either arithmetically or geometrically, as will be determined later) must be limited. This gives a limited dimensional focus complex of system (17) in an area of the balance state $\hat{x}^*$, so that the asymptotic behaviors of solutions of (17) in a neighborhood of $\hat{x}^*$ can be caught by the diminished system on the inside complex, and this decreased system is an ODE system despite the fact that its measurement can be high.

We intend to present systematically the approach that empowers us to determine the particular form of the diminished ODE system on the middle complex, unequivocally as far as the first system (17). A few forms of system (17) from application problems accompany a parameter, and since the asymptotic practices of solutions close to a given balance may change subjectively when the parameter shifts (the purported bifurcation), our emphasis will be on how the middle complex and the diminished ODE system on the inside complex change when the parameter is differed.

We should say the well ordered strategy in illuminating (17) on $[0,\tau], [\tau, 2\tau], \ldots$ inductively, which, however adequately numerically, may not give valuable subjective information about asymptotic practices of solutions. This strategy is likewise not valuable in tackling the sort of DDE with disseminated delay, for example,

$$\dot{x}(t) = \int_{-\tau}^{0} f(x(t), x(t+\theta))\, d\theta$$

or

$$\dot{x}(t) = f\left(x(t), \int_{-\tau}^{0} g(x(t+\theta))\, d\theta\right)$$

with $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$. One should also mention that in case the change rate of $x(t)$ depends on the historical value of $\dot{x}(t+\theta)$ with $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$, such as $\dot{x}(t) = c\dot{x}(t-\tau) + f(x(t), x(t-\tau))$, we encounter additional difficulties, which shall be discussed later.

CONCLUSION

In this paper we have presented a few methodologies, MOC for understanding DDEs. Both of these techniques can be instructed in basic courses in differential equations. We additionally saw that Remote Control Dynamical Systems can be dealt with as DDEs and explained as needs be. We have demonstrated that separation can be utilized as a strategy for settling IDEs. In the last area we demonstrated to settle different kinds of FDEs, for example, those whose veering off contentions are diminishing at their settled focuses, as though they were IDEs.

REFERENCES

1. V. Anokhin (1986). On linear impulse system for functional differential equations, Doklady

Akademii Nauk USSR 286, no. 5, pp. 1037–1040 (Russian).

2. Asl, F. M. and Ulsoy, A. G. (2003). Analysis of a System of Linear Delay Differential Equations, Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control, V. 125, pp. 215-223.

3. E. I. Bravyˇı (1996). Linear functional differential equations with inner singularities: Diss. kand. phys.-matem. nauk, Perm. Gos. Tekh. Univ., Perm, 107 p. (Russian).

4. Falbo, C. E. (2003). Idempotent Differential Equations, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, Vol 6, No.3, pp. 279-289.

5. Garcia, O. : Functional Differential Equations in Sustainable Forest Harvesting, Journal of Forest Planning, Vol. 6, pp. 49-63.

6. Hale, J., and Lunel, S. M. V. (1993). Introduction to Functional Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York.

7. Heffernan, J. M and Corless, R. M. (2005). Solving Some Delay Differential Equations With Computer Algebra, Applied Probability Trust, pp. 1-22.

8. Linchuk, L. V. (2006). Factorization of Functional Differential Equations, Differential Equations and Control Processes, No. 4, 2001, pp. 15-25, Electronic Journal http://www.neva.ru/journal, accessed July 11,2006

9. M. J. Alves (1999). Singular functional differential equation of the second order: Diss. kand. phys.-matem. nauk, Perm. University, Perm, 114 p. (Russian).

10. N. V. Azbelev, V. P. Maksimov, and L. F. Rakhmatullina (1995). Introduction to the Theory of Linear Functional-Differential Equations, Advanced Series in Mathematical Science and Engineering, vol. 3,World Federation, Georgia.

11. Ryder, G. H. (1969). Solutions of A Functional Differential Equation, American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 76, pp. 1031-1033.

12. Wu, J. (1996). Theory and Applications of Partial Functional Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag.

Corresponding Author

Natasha*

Assistant Professor Mathematics, PG Govt. College, Ambala Cantt – 133001, Haryana, India