Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. XV, Issue No. 6, August-2018, ISSN 2230-7540

Study on the Different Anthropometric Measurements and Indicators of the Subjects Having Glucose Intolerance

Neha Vashistha[1]* Dr. Shweta Rathi[2]

[1] Research Scholar

[2] HOD, Home Science, Monad University, Hapur

Abstract – The goal of this study was to critical analysis of anthropometric measurements of subjects having glucose intolerance. The anthropometric measurement was measured of all subjects of both categories. These measurements includes height, weight, body mass index(BMI), mid upper arm circumference (MUAC), wrist circumference, waist circumference, hip circumference, waist–hip ratio (WHR), skin fold thickness (triceps, biceps, sub scapular and suprailiac), peripheral SFT, central SFT. In the research examination an endeavor has been made to comprehend the impact of body mass index on blood glucose levels in a populace of 802 adults' people. Among the populace 802 adults (Male: 421; Female: 381) are drawn from etah district, Uttar Pradesh. The result of the present work is useful for the human services suppliers and arrangement creators from numerous points of view.

Keywords: Anthropometric Measurement, Glucose Intolerance, BMI, WHR, SFT.

----------X----------

INTRODUCTION

Accessible information on overweight/obesity and its relationship with hypertension and hyperglycemia in Indian populaces, especially in etah district, Uttar Pradesh State is deficient. Henceforth, the present work is done to comprehend Critical analysis of anthropometric measurements of subjects having glucose intolerance. The outcomes are talked about with the accessible writing on different other populace bunches as well.

A sum of 802 adults are screened for the present investigation. Among the example, 802 adults Male: 421; Female: 381 are drawn from urban settings. The age extend in the present investigation is 30-65 years. Besides, the subjects are isolated into various age bunches like 30-40 yrs, 40-50 yrs, 50-60 yrs and >60 yrs for correlation among genders and groups. The examination depicts the dimensions of anthropometry, blood glucose and their commonness in urban populace. It is foreseen that the result of the work may prompt define appropriate procedures and execute formative projects for the prosperity of the populace groups.

In the present examination urban variety in anthropometry and blood glucose levels are obviously imagined. Urban populace is portrayed by lifted dimensions of anthropometry, , and blood glucose levels than provincial populace. Past reports have unmistakably distinguished the provincial urban contrasts in anthropometry, , and blood glucose levels (Shukla et al., 2002; Naidu and Rao, 1994). Anyway the mean dimensions of anthropometry and blood glucose levels are nearly lower in Indian populace than western populace. The discoveries are in great concurrence with other distributed works.

Prospective examinations in the course of the most recent two decades have found out the significance of rise in anthropometric indicators in creating different metabolic clutters (Yong et al., 2011; Priya, 2012). The mean estimations of anthropometric and physiological factors in the present investigation are nearly lower to numerous western examination and in great concurrence with concentrates from South Asia (Ni Mhurchu et al., 2004). The expanding predominance of different metabolic ailments even at lower anthropometric indicators in Indian populaces is a genuine worry to investigate and settle the shorts to evaluate the hazard towards metabolic disarranges.

A few investigations have demonstrated that age is a confounder when utilizing anthropometric indicators to foresee the danger of hypertension and diabetes (Molarius et al., 2000). Age has been contrastingly

classified by various researchers; in any case, connections between anthropometric indicators with hypertension and diabetes are steady over the age groups (Daniel et al., 1999). In opposite a few investigations have demonstrated that age isn't a confounder while evaluating the connection between anthropometric indicators with hypertension and diabetes. It is accepted that a bigger example estimate in each age gathering may expand the factual capacity to take out age as the confounder.

Various epidemiological and clinical overviews amid the most recent three decades have distinguished a progression of hazard factors which are altogether connected with the event of noncommunicable ailments. The major and minor hazard factors so far recognized recommend that NCDs are multi-factorial conditions whose likelihood of event is controlled by hereditary, metabolic and ecological variables. A few statistic factors like age, sex, occupation, monetary status, rustic and urban contrasts and so on., are known to impact the rate of NCDs. Forthcoming investigations have plainly exhibited that the urban populace is more inclined to create NCDs like hypertension, diabetes, disease and so on than the provincial populace.

METHODOLOGY

This study was conduct in a particular area, on a particular age group. The area selected for the study is etah district, uttar Pradesh State.

ANTHROPOMERTIC MEASUREMENTS

The anthropometric measurements was measured of all subjects of both categories.

These measurements includes height, weight, body mass index(BMI), mid upper arm circumference(MUAC), wrist circumference, waist circumference, hip circumference, waist–hip ratio(WHR), skin fold thickness (triceps, biceps, sub scapular and suprailiac), peripheral SFT, central SFT.

Data collection

A questionnaire was framed to collect following information from the subjects.

General information

Age, sex, socio economic status, occupation, Food pattern, food habit, physical health.

Anthropometric evaluation:

Following anthropometric measurements will be taken to see the relationship between body composition and glucose intolerance.

- ► Weight
- ► Height
- ► Circumference measurements
  - ○ Waist circumference
  - ○ Hip Circumference
  - ○ Wrist Circumference
  - ○ Mid upper arm Circumference (MUAC)
- ► Skin fold thickness measurements (SFT)
  - ○ Triceps
  - ○ Biceps
  - ○ Sub scapula
  - ○ Suprailiac

Calculating

- ► Percent body fat
- ► BMI
- ► WHR

Tools and Technique

- ○ Weighing Balance
- ○ Measuring Tape
- ○ Body mass index
- ○ Caliper

METHODS

Data on subject's anthropometric measurements like height, weight, waist and hip circumferences are taken. Subject's blood pressure is recorded as per the standard procedure.

Anthropometric Parameters

The physical assessment included height, weight and circumferences of waist and hip as per the procedure specified by Lohman et al (1988). The instruments are calibrated prior to take the measurements.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis is carried out via SPSS - 16.0 and alpha levels are set at $p<0.05$. Continuous variables are provided with descriptive statistics and discontinuous variables with percentages. Mean

values between groups are compared with students "t" test and within the age groups, socioeconomic status and physical activity with one way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

RESULT AND ANALYSIS

In the present examination an endeavor has been made to comprehend the impact of body mass index on blood glucose levels in a populace of 802 adults' people. Among the populace 802 adults (Male: 421; Female: 381) are drawn from etah district, Uttar Pradesh.

Information on demographic, socioeconomic status and lifestyle exercises are appeared in table 1. The age range in the present examination is 30-70 years. Subjects are isolated into various age bunches for correlation like 30-40 yrs, 40-50 yrs, 50-60 yrs, and >60 yrs separately. Precautionary measures are taken to incorporate the uniform populace measure in each age companion. In the two sexual orientations optional and advanced education levels are more in urban than provincial populace.

Occupational levels of the subjects show that a comparable extent of women in the 66%, engaged with homemaker movement. Subjects with employment are overwhelming in urban 22-60%. Including in rural partnered exercises (10-39%) is more noteworthy in provincial populaces. Socioeconomic status (SES) has been figured by Indian criteria and isolated into five classifications as SES-I to SES-V. SES-I is the most astounding and SES-V is least monetary class. None of the subjects characterized into SES-V classification. The dissemination of the subjects in other socioeconomic classes is relatively comparable in both urban and provincial circumstances.

Including in substantial difficult work is more noteworthy in both the sexual orientations of rustic territory than urban populace (6-11%). Then again in both the genders stationary physical action (12%) is more prominent in urban than provincial (9%). Habit for smoking and alcoholism are not by and by when all is said in done in Indian women society. Smoking status is recorded to a degree of 44% in urban males. Additionally the Habit for alcohol utilization is urban males (31%).

Table 1: Demographic and lifestyle characteristics of the examination populace

Variable	N= 802			
	Male (421)		Female(381)	
	n	%	n	%
Age group				
30-39 yrs	55	13.06	93	24.41
40-49 yrs	116	27.55	109	28.61
50-59 yrs	155	36.82	98	25.72
>60 yrs	95	22.57	81	21.26
Education				
Illiterate	30	7.13	54	14.20
Primary	64	15.20	88	23.10
Secondary	169	40.14	151	39.60
Higher	158	37.51	88	23.10
Occupation				
House wife	0	0.0	250	65.60
Employee	253	60.10	84	22.00
Agriculture	30	7.12	0	0.0
Business	138	32.78	47	12.30
Socioeconomic Status				
SES-I	33	7.80	49	12.90
SES-II	150	35.60	138	36.20
SES-III	122	29.00	91	23.90
SES-IV	116	27.60	103	27.00
Physical Activity				
Sedentary	49	11.60	46	12.10
Mild	137	32.50	171	44.90
Moderate	188	44.70	141	37.00
Heavy	47	11.20	23	6.00
Smoking Habit				
Yes	184	43.70	0	0.0
No	237	56.30	381	100.00
Alcoholism				
Yes	132	31.40	0	0.0
No	289	68.60	381	100.00

Age balanced descriptive statistics for anthropometry and blood glucose levels in populace are appeared in table 2. Sex contrasts are watched for anthropometry and blood glucose levels with the exception of waist circumference. Mean stature is bring down in females and mean weight is higher in males than to their separate partners. The

subsequent body mass index significantly elevated in females (27.87±5.70) than males (26.40±5.81). No sex Descriptive ion is seen among males and females concerning Waist outline. Females have higher hip circumference (99.66±14.82) than males (97.08±13.77). Waist-hip ratio is altogether raised (0.91±0.06) in males than females (0.87±0.05). Females have higher pulse rate than males.

Table 2: Age balanced expressive measurements for anthropometry and blood glucose levels in populace

Variable	Male		Female		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	*t-value*
Height (cm)	166.56	10.81	157.84	9.83	11.96*
Weight (Kg)	73.08	15.94	69.28	13.14	3.78*
Body Mass Index (kg/m)	26.40	5.81	27.87	5.70	3.70*
Waist Circumference (cm)	88.21	12.09	86.70	10.74	1.88
Hip Circumference (cm)	97.08	13.77	99.66	14.82	2.54*
Waist-Hip Ratio	0.91	0.06	0.87	0.05	8.49*
Pulse Rate	78.81	13.62	80.75	11.44	2.20*
Fasting Blood Glucose (mg %)	125.73	40.75	119.52	35.76	2.28*

Rate commonness of overweight/obesity, hypertension and hyperglycemia are computed and the outcomes are anticipated in table 3. Typical weight is recorded to a degree of 42 to 49% in populace. Overweight is recorded to a degree of 28% in urban males and females. Obese I is seen to a degree of 19 to 22% in urban males and females. Obese II is predominant in the middle of 2 to 10% in urban males and females. Ordinary weight is lesser in females than males in both urban and provincial residences. Women are spoken to by higher Frequency of overweight in both urban and rustic populace. Then again Obese I is more noteworthy in male sex than female sex. Thusly corpulent II is raised in female sex than male sex. The level of hypertensive's are more 17% of males and 16% of females. Hyperglycemia is available among 17% of males and 15% of females in urban populace. While the Frequency of hyperglycemia in country males and female are 10.47% and 7.44% separately.

Table 3: Frequency distribution of body mass index and blood glucose dimensions of the investigation populace

Variable	Male		Female	
	n	%	n	%
Body Mass Index (kS/m^2)				
Normal weight	202	48.79	160	42.33
Overweight	113	27.29	108	28.57
Obese-I	91	21.98	73	19.31
Obese-II	8	1.93	37	9.79
Blood Glucose				
Normoglycemic	342	82.81	317	84.76
Hyperglycemic	71	17.19	57	15.24

Graphic statistics for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels as per the dimension of training in populace are appeared in table 4. Dimension of education does not demonstrate any significant effect in the mean body mass index, systolic and diastolic pulse and fasting blood glucose levels. Women are commanded in mean body mass index among the ignorant people, essential and advanced education.

In women populace, mean waist-hip ratio step by step descended when subjects accomplished advanced education. So also mean pulse rate diminished when training levels expanded in females ($F=3.11$; $p<0.05$). Male subjects with essential, optional and advanced education reported with larger amounts of mean waist-hip ratio. No sex contrasts are seen in systolic and diastolic circulatory strain levels. Men with advanced education are portrayed by more elevated amounts of mean blood glucose than women.

Descriptive statistics for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate, pulse and blood glucose levels as per the dimension. Mean diastolic circulatory strain and fasting blood glucose levels don't demonstrate any critical variety inside the dimension of education. Accomplishing advanced education in male sex is spoken to by diminished mean body mass index ($F=3.92$; $p<0.05$). Sex contrasts are seen in mean body mass index levels among males and females in the class of essential training.

The vacillations in Waist-hip ratio inside the classifications of education is significant just in female populace ($F=3.52$; $p<0.05$). Males with essential and auxiliary education are portrayed by larger amounts of waist-hip ratio than females. Pulse rate don't demonstrate any change inside the classifications of education in males. Then again the mean pulse rate is continuously expanded towards advanced education in females ($F=2.67$; $p<0.05$). Females with essential and advanced education are ruled males in mean pulse rate. A noteworthy abatement in the mean systolic circulatory strain

levels is seen in the two males (F=8.11; p<0.05) and females (F=5.67; p<0.05) when subjects achieved advanced education.

Table 4: Descriptive statistics for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels according to education in population

Education	Male		Female		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	*t-value*
Body Mass [kg/m^2)					
Illiterate	25.45	2.75	27.73	4.34	2.60*
Primary	26.36	3.83	28.70	5.08	3.10*
Secondary	27.10	3.92	27.81	4.34	1.52
Higher	26.81	3.87	28.75	4.46	3.53*
*F-value**	1.88		1.31		
Waist-Hip Ratio					
Illiterate	0.91	0.03	0.89	0.05	1.31
Primary	0.91	0.04	0.86	0.06	4.66*
Secondary	0.91	0.05	0.86	0.05	7.66*
Higher	0.90	0.03	0.88	0.05	4.68*
*F-value**	0.28		3.76*		
Pulse Rate					
Illiterate	77.00	7.90	82.80	8.63	3.04*
Primary	80.69	8.26	80.45	7.74	0.18
Secondary	78.99	10.88	83.01	9.33	3.52*
Higher	79.98	9.28	79.98	8.93	0.01
*F-value**	1.26		3.11*		
Fasting Blood Glucose					
Illiterate	117.93	5.35	126.72	36.68	1.30
Primary	121.38	17.67	122.85	30.86	0.34
Secondary	127.25	28.33	117.56	20.93	3.42*
Higher	127.59	31.35	116.91	26.58	2.66*
*F-value**	1.77		2.16		

Descriptive statistics for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels as indicated by the Occupational in urban populace are appeared in table 5. Subjects who are engaged with business and work among the male sex indicated higher mean body mass index than subjects who are associated with agrarian exercises.

Likewise subjects who are associated with residential works and business among the female sex demonstrated higher mean body mass index. Occupational don't demonstrate any critical variety in the mean dimensions of Waist-hip ratio. Women who are associated with household exercises increased higher mean fasting blood glucose levels.

Descriptive statistics for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels as indicated by the Occupational in country populace are appeared. In male sex, Occupational don't demonstrate any critical variety in the mean dimensions of body mass index, waist-hip ratio and fasting blood glucose.

Women engaged with residential exercises and business have larger amounts of mean body mass index. Women engaged with residential exercises increased higher pulse rate. Then again women engaged with rural exercises are recorded with larger amounts of fasting blood glucose.

Table 5: Descriptive statistics for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels according to occupation in population

Occupation	Male		Female		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	*t-value*^{A}
Body Mass Index (kg/m^2)					
House wife	0.0	0.0	28.41	4.67	0.0
Employee	26.87	4.01	27.50	4.24	1.23
Agriculture	24.40	2.29	0.0	0.0	0.0
Business	27.07	3.58	28.44	4.38	2.12*
F-value$^{\#}$	6.38*		1.32		
Waist-Hip Ratio					
House wife	0.0	0.0	0.87	0.05	0.0
Employee	0.90	0.03	0.87	0.04	6.36*
Agriculture	0.91	0.03	0.0	0.0	0.0
Business	0.90	0.04	0.89	0.04	2.52*
*F-value**	0.76		1.69		
Pulse Rate					
House wife	0.0	0.0	81.60	9.26	0.0
Employee	81.21	9.89	81.76	7.50	0.47
Agriculture	74.23	8.39	0.0	0.0	0.0
Business	77.44	8.96	82.00	9.09	3.00*
*F-value**	11.96*		0.04		
Fasting Blood Glucose					
House wife	0.0	0.0	122.73	31.49	0.0
Employee	126.02	28.13	114.33	11.64	3.60*
Agriculture	115.03	5.47	0.0	0.0	0.0
Business	127.73	28.17	114.52	20.56	2.92*
*F-value**	2.62		3.89*		

Illustrative statistics for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels as per the socioeconomic status in urban populace are appeared in table 6. In male sex body mass index don't demonstrate any noteworthy variety inside the socioeconomic classes. In female sex, subjects going under SES-I are indicating larger amounts of mean body mass index (F=2.74; p<0.05).

Mean Waist-hip ratio in men increased higher qualities in SES-IV than SES-I. Socioeconomic status neglected to indicate variety in mean waist-hip apportion in females. Mean pulse rate continuously expanded from SES-IV to SES-I (F=2.68; p<0.05) in females. Mean systolic circulatory strain is higher in SES-I in the two males and females. Thus mean fasting blood glucose levels step by step expanded from SES-IV to SES-I in female sex.

Elucidating measurements for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels as indicated by the socioeconomic status in country populace are appeared. In rustic populace, socioeconomic status neglected to demonstrate any noteworthy variety in the mean dimensions of body

mass index, Waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and fasting blood glucose with the exception of systolic and diastolic pulse in female sex.

Table 6: Descriptive statistics for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels according to socioeconomic status in population

Socioeconomic Status	Male		Female		*t-value*[A]
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Body Mass Index (kg/m)					
SES-I	26.66	3.90	29.45	5.80	2.41*
SES-II	26.41	3.77	27.76	4.18	2.85*
SES-III	26.84	3.69	27.60	4.16	1.41
SES-IV	27.13	4.02	28.78	4.57	2.83*
*F-value**	0.79		2.74*		
Waist-Hip Ratio					
SES-I	0.91	0.02	0.89	0.05	2.00*
SES-II	0.90	0.04	0.87	0.05	5.62*
SES-III	0.90	0.03	0.87	0.04	4.17*
SES-IV	0.92	0.03	0.86	0.06	7.25*
*F-value**	3.27*		0.06		
Pulse Rate					
SES-I	81.79	8.12	84.43	6.73	1.60
SES-II	78.84	9.38	82.02	8.73	2.97*
SES-III	78.75	9.06	81.41	9.37	2.08*
SES-IV	80.41	11.15	80.18	9.24	0.16
*F-value**	1.42		2.68*		
Fasting Blood Glucose					
SES-I	117.22	7.44	133.16	45.24	1.97*
SES-II	123.70	21.62	119.04	23.92	1.72
SES-III	130.12	33.68	114.98	15.25	3.93*
SES-IV	126.38	29.33	119.09	27.32	1.87
*F-value**	2.39		4.98*		

Descriptive statistics for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels as per physical action in populace are appeared in table 7. Male subjects with stationary movement are portrayed by more elevated amounts of mean body mass index ($F=12.54$; $p<0.05$). In males the mean dimensions of waist-hip ratio and pulse rate neglected to demonstrate critical variety inside the dimensions of physical action. Then again subjects who are associated with inactive way of life are described by higher mean dimensions of systolic circulatory strain ($F=13.10$; $p<0.05$), diastolic pulse ($F=5.52$; $p<0.05$), and fasting blood glucose ($F=6.75$; $p<0.05$). Physical action neglected to apply critical variety in the mean dimensions of body mass index, Waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and fasting blood glucose in female sex.

Table 7: Descriptive statistics for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate, blood pressure and blood glucose levels according to physical activity in population

Physical Activity	Male		Female		*t-value*[A]
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Body Mass Index (kg/m)					
Sedentary	26.74	4.21	26.84	4.36	0.11
Mild	27.87	3.87	28.69	4.55	1.66
Moderate	26.63	3.73	28.12	4.44	3.30*
Heavy	24.07	1.75	27.81	5.26	4.42*
*F-value**	12.54*		2.06		
Waist-Hip Ratio					
Sedentary	0.90	0.03	0.86	0.05	3.83*
Mild	0.91	0.03	0.87	0.06	6.37*
Moderate	0.90	0.04	0.87	0.05	6.29*
Heavy	0.90	0.05	0.86	0.05	2.10*
*F-value**	0.60		0.04		
Pulse Rate					
Sedentary	78.98	10.59	80.63	8.13	0.85
Mild	79.06	10.50	82.09	9.46	2.66*
Moderate	79.93	9.13	81.87	8.30	1.98
Heavy	79.43	9.09	79.74	9.18	0.14
*F-value**	0.26		0.72		
Fasting Blood Glucose					
Sedentary	120.43	15.56	124.30	20.71	1.04
Mild	133.93	36.16	122.05	31.79	3.02*
Moderate	123.62	22.36	117.17	25.02	2.44*
Heavy	117.21	19.67	112.45	13.36	1.03
*F-value**	6.75*		1.75		

Descriptive statistics for body mass index, Waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels as per Habit for smoking are appeared in table 8. In urban populace mean dimensions of body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate, systolic pulse and diastolic circulatory strain don't indicate significant Descriptive ion among smokers and non-smokers; anyway mean fasting blood glucose levels are raised in smokers than non-smokers. Habit for smoking raised the mean dimensions of body mass index and fasting blood glucose in populace.

Table 8: Descriptive statistics for body mass index, Waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels as per Habit for smoking

Habit of Smoking	Urban		Rural		*t-value*[$]
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Body Mass Index (kg/m)					
Smokers	26.84	3.94	25.46	3.33	3.40*
Non-smokers	26.68	3.74	25.15	3.41	4.62*
Waist-Hip Ratio					
Smokers	0.91	0.03	0.91	0.04	0.46
Non-smokers	0.91	0.04	0.90	0.04	0.71
Pulse Rate					
Smokers	79.18	8.74	77.75	8.42	1.51
Non-smokers	79.71	10.46	78.06	9.29	1.82
Fasting Blood Glucose					
Smokers	129.34	30.48	116.21	16.83	4.44*
Non-smokers	122.97	24.17	118.18	24.82	2.02*

Descriptive statistics for body mass index, Waist-hip ratio, and pulse rate and blood glucose levels as per Habit for alcohol addiction are appeared in table 9. No critical contrasts are seen in the mean dimensions of body mass index, Waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and fasting blood glucose among heavy drinkers and non-drunkards in both urban and rustic populace. Drunkards in urban zones have larger amounts of body mass index, pulse rate and fasting blood glucose than heavy drinkers in populace.

Table 9: Expressive measurements for body mass index, waist-hip ratio, pulse rate and blood glucose levels as per Habit for alcohol addiction

Alcoholism	Urban		Rural		*t-value*[$]
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Body Mass Index (kg/m)					
Alcoholics	26.99	3.93	25.81	3.54	2.52*
Non-alcoholics	26.64	3.78	25.00	3.27	5.38*
Waist-Hip Ratio					
Alcoholics	0.91	0.03	0.91	0.03	0.17
Non-alcoholics	0.91	0.04	0.90	0.04	1.11
Pulse Rate					
Alcoholics	80.47	8.86	77.02	9.20	3.01*
Non-alcoholics	79.03	10.10	78.39	8.81	0.78
Fasting Blood Glucose					
Alcoholics	129.85	31.40	119.74	22.05	2.85*
Non-alcoholics	123.95	25.06	116.18	21.94	3.61*

Relationship coefficients for anthropometry, circulatory strain and fasting blood glucose in ur populace are appeared in table 10. In males, age is having noteworthy positive relationship with pulse rate (r=0.098; p<0.05). Tallness is having noteworthy positive relationship with weight (r=0.303; P<0.01), body mass index (r=0.294; p<0.01), Waist-hip ratio (r=0.115; p<0.05). Weight is having noteworthy positive connection with body mass index (r=0.818; p<0.01), Waist circumference (r=0.537; p<0.01), hip circumference (r=0.519; p<0.01), pulse rate (r=0.137; p<0.01), systolic circulatory strain (r=0.340; p<0.01), diastolic pulse (r=0.228; p<0.01) and fasting blood glucose (r=0.513; p<0.01). Body mass index is having noteworthy positive relationship with Waist circumference (r=0.472; p<0.01), hip circumference (r=0.451; p<0.01), pulse rate (r=0.117; p<0.05), systolic circulatory strain (r=0.441; p<0.01), diastolic pulse (r=0.298; p<0.01) and fasting blood glucose (r=0.487; p<0.01).

Waist circumference is having significant positive connection with hip circumference (r=0.877; p<0.01), waist-hip ratio (r=0.180; p<0.01), pulse rate (r=0.237; p<0.01), and fasting blood glucose (r=0.419; p<0.01). Hip circumference is having critical positive relationship with Waist-hip ratio (r=0.312; p<0.01), pulse rate (r=0.274; p<0.01), and fasting blood glucose (r=0.431; p<0.01).

Pulse rate is having critical positive connection with diastolic circulatory strain (r=0.118; p<0.05) and fasting blood glucose (r=0.112; p<0.05). Fasting blood glucose (r=0.255; p<0.01).

In females, age is having significant positive connection with fasting blood glucose (r=0.117; p<0.05). Stature is having noteworthy positive relationship with weight (r=0.256; p<0.01), body mass index (r=0.351; p<0.01), Waist outline (r=0.225; p<0.01) and hip circumference (r=0.136; p<0.05). Weight is having significant positive connection with body mass index (r=0.812; p<0.01), Waist circumference (r=0.526; p<0.01), hip circumference (r=0.609; p<0.01), waist-hip ratio (r=0.301; p<0.01), systolic circulatory strain (r=0.400; p<0.01), diastolic pulse (r=0.355; p<0.01) and fasting blood glucose (r=0.342; p<0.01). Body mass index is having significant positive connection with Waist circumference (r=0.369; p<0.01), hip circumference (r=0.501; p<0.01), waist-hip ratio (r=0.328; p<0.01), systolic circulatory strain (r=0.434; p<0.01), diastolic pulse (r=0.358; p<0.01) and fasting blood glucose (r=0.378; p<0.01).

Waist circumference is having significant positive connection with hip circumference (r=0.819; p<0.01), pulse rate (r=0.174; p<0.01), systolic pulse (r=0.252; p<0.01), diastolic circulatory strain (r=0.220; p<0.01) and fasting blood glucose (r=0.250; p<0.01). Hip outline is having critical positive connection with Waist-hip ratio (r=0.549; p<0.01), pulse rate (r=0.148; p<0.01), systolic pulse (r=0.291; p<0.01), diastolic circulatory strain (r=0.267; p<0.01) and fasting blood glucose (r=0.310; p<0.01). Waist-hip ratio is having critical positive connection with systolic pulse (r=0.136; p<0.05), diastolic circulatory strain (r=0.153; p<0.01) and fasting blood glucose (r=0.162; p<0.01).

Table 11: Demographic and way of life qualities of the Skin fold (SF) thickness examination populace

Variable	N= 802		
	Male (421)	Female(381)	P
SumSF (mm)	37.4 (29.5, 50.5)	38.0 (30, 49.5)	<0.0001
STratio	1.0 (0.7, 1.2)	1.0 (0.8, 1.3)	<0.0001
% BF	34.1 ± 7.4*	36.7 ± 7.1	<0.0001

Data expressed as means±SD or n (%). Skewed data described by median, interquartile range tested by Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon nonparametric test. p-values refer to F-test values from ANOVA table. *, § indicate significant difference at baseline. Abbreviations: Family History is self-reported family history of diabetes, BMI (body mass index), WC (waist circumference), HC (hip circumference), WHR (waist to hip ratio), Sum SF (Sum of triceps and subscapular skin fold), STratio (Subscapular: Triceps Skinfold thickness ratio), %BF (percent body fat as estimated from Segal formula from Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis).

CONCLUSION

Present examination underpins the suggestions of WHO (2008), for creating nations to put accentuation on essential anticipation and network programs advancing physical movement and solid dietary habits, including the decrease of smoking and liquor utilization, which are most likely engaged with the expansion of overweight, obesity, hypertension and diabetes. Obesity reduction can be accomplished through way of life intercessions, for example, dietary change and normal physical action. These intercessions are sheltered and are modestly viable measures with which to oversee hypertension and diabetes because of obesity. The causal affiliations built up in the present examination can be fortified by embraced further investigations with bigger example measure are probably going to be led at nearby land levels to design and assess the consequences of future mediations.

REFERENCES

1. The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. 2003 Report of the expert committee on the diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 26; pp. S5-S20.

2. Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycemia: Report of a WHO/IDF consultation. World Health Organization 2006. WHO Press, Geneva, Switzerland.

3. World Health Organization. 2000. Obesity. Preventing and managing the global epidemic. World Health Organization Technical Series Report 894:i-xii, pp. 1-253.

4. Wild S., Roglic G., Green A., Sicree R., King H. (2004). Global Prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 27; pp. 1047-1053.

5. King H., Rewers M. (2012). Global estimates for prevalence of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in adults. WHO Ad Hoc Diabetes Reporting Group. Diabetes Care 16; pp. 157-177.

6. Pyorala K., Laakso M., Uusitupa M. (2013). Diabetes and atherosclerosis: an epidemiologic view. Diabetes/metabolism Reviews 3; pp. 463-524.

7. Feskens E., Kromhout D. (1992). Glucose tolerance and the risk of cardiovascular disease: the Zutphen Study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology 45; pp. 1327-1334.

8. Hitman G., Sudagani J. (2004) Searching for genes in diabetes and the metabolic syndrome. International Journal of Clinical Practice 143; pp. 3-8.

9. Field A., Manson J., Laird N., Williamson D., Willet W., Colditz G. (2004). Weight cycling and the risk of developing type 2 diabetes among adult women in the United States. Obesity Research 12; pp. 267-274.

10. Bazzano L., Serdula M, Liu S. (2005). Prevention of type 2 diabetes by diet and lifestyle modification. Journal of the American College of Nutrition 24; pp. 310-319.

11. Eriksson J., Lindstrom J., Tuomiletho J. (2001). Potential for the prevention of type 2 diabetes. British Medical Bulletin 60; pp. 183-199.

12. Cassano P., Rosner B., Vokonas P., Weiss S. (2014). Obesity and body fat distribution in relation to the incidence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. A prospective cohort study of men in the normative aging study. American Journal of Epidemiology. 136; pp. 1474-1486.

13. Lundgren H., Bengtsson C., Blohme G., Lapidus L., Sjostrom L. (2016). Adiposity and adipose tissue distribution in relation to incidence of diabetes in women: results from a prospective study in Gothenburg, Sweden. International Journal of Obesity 13; pp. 413-423.

14. Chan J., Rimm E., Colditz G., Stampfer M., Willet W. (1994). Obesity, fat distribution and weight gain as risk factors for clinical diabetes in men. Diabetes Care 17; pp. 961-969.

15. Carey V., Walters E., Colditz G., Soloman C., Willet W., Rosner B., Speizer F., Manson J. (1997). Body fat distribution and risk of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in women. The Nurses' Health Study. American Journal of Epidemiology 145; pp. 614-619.

16. Bouchard C, Bray G, Hubbard V. (1990). Basic and clinical aspects of regional fat distribution. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 52; pp. 946-950.

17. McBean A., Li S., Gilbertson D., Collins A. (2004). Differences in diabetes prevalence, incidence and mortality among the elderly of four racial/ethnic group: whites, blacks, Hispanics and Asians. Diabetes Care 27; pp. 2317-2324.

18. Harris M. (2015). Non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus in black and white Americans. Diabetes/Metabolism Reviews 6; pp. 71-90.

19. Sloan N. (1963). Ethnic distribution of diabetes mellitus in Hawaii. Journal of the American Medical Association 183; pp. 419-424.

20. Hill J., Sidney S., Lewis C., Tolan K., Scherzinger A., Stamm E. (1999). Racial differences in amounts of visceral adipose tissue in young adults: the CARDIA (coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults) Study. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 69; pp. 381-387.

21. Lovejoy J., de La Bretonne J., Klemperer M., Tulley R.: Abdominal fat distribution and metabolic risk factors: Effects of race. Metabolism 45; pp. 1119-1124.

22. Haffner S., D'Agostino R., Saad M., Rewers M., Mykkanen L., Selby J., Howard G., savage P., Hamman R., Wagenknecht L. (1996). Increased insulin resistance and insulin secretion in nondiabetic African-Americans and Hispanics compared with non-Hispanic whites: The Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. Diabetes 45; pp. 742-748.

23. Haffner S., Stern M., Hazuda H., Pugh J., Patterson J. (2011). Hyperinsulinemia in a population at high risk for non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. New England Journal of Medicine 315; pp. 220-224.

24. Arslanian S., Bacha F., Saad R., Gungor N. 2005 Family history of type 2 diabetes is associated with decreased insulin sensitivity and an impaired balance between insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion in white youth. Diabetes Care 28; pp. 115-119.

25. Rosenbaum M., Nonas C., Horlick M. 2004 Beta-cell function and insulin sensitivity in early adolescence: Association with body fatness and family history of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of clinical Endocrinological Metabolism 89; pp. 5469-5476.

26. Harrison T., Hindorff L., Kim H., Wines R., Bowen D., McGrath B., Edwards K. (2003). Family history of diabetes as a potential public health tool. American Journal of Preventative Medicine 24; pp. 152-159.

27. International Diabetes Federation. Consensus on the Aetiology of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Colombo, Sri Lanka. June 6-7, 2002. http://www.idf.org/home/index.cfm?node=444

28. Valdez R., Greenlund K., Khoury M., Yoon P. (2007). Is family history a useful tool for detecting children at risk for diabetes and cardiovascular diseases? A public health perpsecitve. Pediatrics 120; pp. S78-S86.

29. Anderson J., Kendall C., Jenkins D. (2003). Importance of weight management in type 2 diabetes: review with meta-analysis of clinical studies. Journal of American College of Nutrition 22; pp. 331-339.

30. Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) Research Group (2002). The Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP): description of lifestyle intervention. Diabetes Care 25; pp. 2165-2171.

31. Knowler W., Barrett-Connor E., Fowler S. (2002). Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention of metformin. New England Journal of Medicine 346; pp. 393-403.

32. Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) (2006). Relationship of body size and shape to the development of diabetes in the diabetes prevention program. Obesity 14; pp. 2107-2117.

33. Meyer K., Kushi L., Jacobs D., Slavin J., Sellars T., Folsom A. (2000). Carbohydrates, dietary fiber and incident type 2 diabetes in older women. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 71; pp. 921-930.

34. Hu F., van Dam R., Liu S. (2001). Diet and risk of type II diabetes: the role of types of fat and carbohydrate. Diabetologia 44; pp. 805-517.

35. Van Dam R., Hu F. (2005). Coffee consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes: A systematic review. Journal of the American Medical Association 294; pp. 97-104.

36. Stevens J., Ahn K., Juhaeri, Houston D., Steffan L., Couper D. (2002). Dietary fiber intake and glycemic index and incidence of diabetes in African-American and white adults: the ARIC study. Diabetes Care 25; pp. 1715-1721.

37. Anderson J., O'Neal D., Riddell-Mason S., Floore T., Dillon D., Oeltgen P. (1995). Postprandial serum glucose, insulin and

lipoprotein response to high and low fiber diets. Metabolism 44; pp. 848-854.

38. Wei M., Gibbons L., Mitchell T., Kampert J., Blair S. (2001). Alcohol intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes in men. Diabetes Care 23; pp. 18-22.

39. Manson J., Ajani U., Lui S., Nathan D., Hennekens C. (2000). A prospective study of cigarette smoking and incidence of diabetes mellitus among US male physicians. American Journal of Medicine 109; pp. 538-542.

40. Facchini F., Hollenbeck C., Jeppesen J., Chen Y., Reaven G. (1992). Insulin resistance and cigarette smoking. Lancet 339; pp. 1128-1130.

Corresponding Author

Neha Vashistha*

Research Scholar

nehavashishtha50@gmail.com