

A Research on Financial Performance of Indian Companies under Corporate Governance

Parminder Kaur*

Assistant Professor, D.A.V. College, Pundri, Kaithal, Haryana, India

Abstract – Corporate Governance is expected to make a corporate culture of cognizance, straightforwardness and transparency. It empowers a company to augment the long haul value of the company which is found regarding performance of the company. In this paper, we take a gander at different Corporate Governance practices pursued by companies in India Constitution, Board Structure, Different Committees, Independent Directors and their jobs, Conflict of interest and Disclosure of data. The goal is to decide whether there is a connection between corporate governance and company performance. The ponder attempts to see whether higher and better corporate governance prompts better performance of the companies. It is found in the consider that corporate governance practices have constrained effect on both the offer costs of the companies just as on their financial performance.

Keywords: Financial Performance, Indian Companies, Corporate Governance

-----X-----

1. INTRODUCTION

It is trusted that the controlling operator for the survival and the development of the company is basically its 'Corporate Governance' policies. Corporate governance alludes to the code of lead through which companies are coordinated and controlled. Regardless of whether the company pursues the stakeholder model (where every one of the stakeholders are considered similarly significant) or pursues the shareholder model (where more significance is given to shareholders as they are the proprietors of the company), the act of corporate governance is progressively getting to be fundamental. The extremely pivotal purpose of contrast between stakeholder esteem and shareholder esteem.

The expansion in financial and managerial tricks has driven the investors to progressively search for straightforwardness and professional management in dealing with the company's business (Coram, et. al., 2016).

The idea of corporate governance has been in presence for quite a while yet it was formalized in UK in the mid 1990's. It all began with Cadbury Committee Report which was an advisory group framed in UK because of an expansive spate of financial tricks and corporate disappointments during the 1980s. It was shaped by the London Stock Exchange, the Financial Reporting Council and the bookkeeping professionals. The principle point of the board of trustees was to examine about financial

parts of Corporate Governance. This report was trailed by Greenbury Report which was an investigation on Director's compensation; was a board of trustees on Corporate Governance. Till then the vast majority of the Asian countries did not have any enactment in regards to corporate governance nor did they want to move towards any around there. It was imagined that there was a connection between corporate governance and the India crisis. Did the crisis uncover corporate governance issues, or did corporate governance issues trigger the beginning of the crisis? This was one of the prime inquiries before the analysts (Faisal and Abdul, 2015).

Under the organizational financial aspects worldview, there are a few hypotheses endeavoring to clarify some piece of this traditional inquiry. Transactional cost theory, Price Theory and Property Right Theory are instances of this sort. These speculations have tended to 'the legally binding nature of firms, limited soundness, the centrality of investment in explicit assets, the refinement between explicit rights and remaining rights and the impacts of blemished information' underlined the way that these hypotheses share a typical response to clarify how an organization is existed and extended by a term of chain of command, which is based upon expenses related with vulnerabilities, information asymmetries, limited objectivity and subjective hindrances.

All the more significantly, these hypotheses attempt to disclose how to make an agent (manager) to act

to the greatest advantage of standard proprietor. At the management of firm perspective, board of directors and administrators go about as an agent of the shareholders who expected to boost shareholders' riches expansion. Because of a few elements, irreconcilable circumstance emerges among agent and standard which is known as agency issue. So as to dispense with these issues, a few moves are made spot, for example, observing and inspecting instrument. Agency cost, which is characterized as the expense caused because of agency issue assumes a significant job as far as corporate governance.

Corporate governance can be considered as a lot of principles that lead the firm management to be straightforward to the shareholders specifically and stakeholders as a rule. Directing the specialist among stakeholders is extreme result of these principles. It is guaranteed that accepted procedures of these principles guarantee the firm to be proficient, compelling, economical and productive. With the usage of these principles at the nearby market, companies show better performance. All the more explicitly, managerial qualities of the firm, for example, board structure, ownership structure, level of diversification and debt level may have impact on firm performance. Corporate governance is seen as the way to sort out and control firms. This means to drive the company dependent on sound governance principles professionally. In a common corporate game plan, execution of corporate governance is ordered to be finished by the board of directors. The shareholders are given the obligation to delegate the board and now and again auditors.

The job of the auditors is generally to give an evaluation of the association's corporate governance incorporate performance-based pay rates of managers or CEOs, stock ownership by official officers and directors, the board of directors' qualities and age and residency of CEOs.

The board of directors is generally ordered to protect the enthusiasm of investors in a company for their sake. Proportions of qualities of the board of directors incorporate the percentage of independent directors/outside directors, the span of the board and CEO duality.

More or less, frail corporate governance will to a great extent add to fundamental disappointments, corporate embarrassments and disappointments coming about because of extortion and different types of wrongdoing, this on the long run will influence adversely the financial performance of any company. The financial crisis of 2008 that included peripheral lending by banks made disintegration of stakeholders' funds of banks, insurance agencies and manufacturing companies. The real reasons for this advancement have been followed to powerless corporate governance. Specialists have contended that the breakdown of numerous enormous corporations is to a huge degree discernible to frail

corporate governance practice. Guides to help this contention were fizzled companies as recently referenced (Jayati, et. al., 2017). This surmises all around administered companies have a premium on their price

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Afsharipour, (2015)[4] states that India's change endeavors have shown that while corporate governance principles may join on a formal dimension with Anglo-American corporate governance standards, neighborhood attributes will in general keep changes from being more than just formal. India's powerlessness to adequately actualize and implement its broad new decides supports the contention that thorough convergence is restricted, and that the transmission of thoughts starting with one framework then onto the next is profoundly perplexing and troublesome, requiring political, social and institutional changes that can't be made effectively.

Gracious Seok-Hyun, (2015) [5] in the outcome of the impact of the South East Asian Crisis on Korean economy discusses the lacunae in Korea in the field of corporate governance. He demonstrates the basic lopsided characteristics in the Korean economy and particularly in the manner chaebols are run. The best governance rehearses in Korea which was seen mostly in three sorts of corporations: (1) recently privatized companies; (2) huge corporations kept running by professional management; and (3) saves money with significant value proprietorship in the hands of foreign investors. The governance practices of a large number of these companies satisfied the worldwide guideline. At the opposite end, of the range, in any case, were numerous substantial chaebol-partnered or family-run firms that would not change and bypassed administrative change measures. Great governance builds up a brand name for the company and it improves the certainty of investors and stakeholders of the company. Presence and synthesis of the board (counting the quantity of official and non-official directors to be specific independent directors and subsidiary/nominee directors), compensation to the board individuals, relations with shareholders (counting investment in the AGM), responsibility and review, panels set up to administer basic methods are not many parameters with which governance can be estimated. Quantitative estimation of these components isn't adequate, the reason being variables, for example, autonomy of the directors or freedom of the evaluator must be estimated from the subjective viewpoints and not quantitative.

Lacker, et al (2015)[6] propose explicit connections among four board qualities (piece, attributes, structure and procedure) and three basic board jobs (service, technique and control). The connection between an expansive arrangement of

corporate governance factors and different proportions of hierarchical conduct and managerial execution

Singhvi and Desai (2014) [7] Investors depend vigorously on financial statements and reports arranged and published by the company for any information about it. One of such reports is the yearly report. Because of neighborhood enactments and necessities it has been seen that yearly reports of the vast majority of the companies have a different segment on corporate governance. This segment covers the majority of the required exposures like board working and its freedom, shareholders rights and irreconcilable situation talk about the nature of corporate financial divulgence.

Maher and Andersson(2015)[8]A speculator searches for return in a company while contributing. Increment in returns can be examined by the ascent in offer cost. In view of the thought that investors depend on yearly reports for the financial information and other information about the management group to know the manner in which the company capacities which incorporates corporate governance and they are searching for returns to put or keep their venture made in the company flawless, in this paper the creator examinations and studies whether any connection exists between corporate governance and different return parameters considered significant by investors, for example, Return on Assets, Return on Equity and the development in offer costs. Profit for resource estimates company's income in connection to every one of the funds it has available to it. It is trusted better the governance model; increasingly effective would be the benefit use. Profit for Equity estimates how much return is being created by the company on the cash contributed by the shareholders. It is a standout amongst the most significant parameters for the investors in the company and impact of corporate governance pursued by companies on their financial performance.

3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To know the connection between corporate governance and Company performance with explicit thoughtfulness regarding Indian Companies
2. To know the possibility of stakeholder theory focuses on the meeting up of the different concerned gatherings through unprejudiced exchanges without scaring the long haul corporate goals.
3. To know the stakeholder theory offers a social viewpoint to the destinations of the firm and, to a degree, clashes with the monetary perspective on value maximization

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

With the end goal of this investigation, Companies were picked, in particular, India. The countries were picked on the premise that these two countries are among the main four countries in Asia based on GDP in 2016. From both these countries five multinational companies were picked. The criteria for picking the companies was that they ought to have a universal nearness, are notable brands and are among the top companies in their very own nation based on turnover. Likewise care was taken to pick companies having a place with various enterprises and there was a blend of manufacturing and service companies. All the ten companies picked are recorded companies in their own countries on significant stock exchanges and in certain occasions on other countries' stock exchanges also. Data was gathered from the sites of the companies, published reports and yearly reports of the companies. Every one of the data gathered was optional in nature (Kolawole and Tanko, 2015).

This data was gathered from different sites. Profit for Assets is determined as $\text{Net Income/Total Assets}$. It is communicated as percentage. So also, Return on Equity is determined as $\text{Net Income/Shareholder's Equity}$. It is likewise communicated in percentage terms.

Parameters explicit to Corporate Governance were considered and data was gathered about them for all the ten companies for the period FY 2015-16 to FY 2017-18. Following were the parameters picked:

Board Structure

This parameter discusses all out number of directors, number of official, non-official and independent directors. This demonstrates the freedom of the board in its working.

Committees and subtleties

This parameter discusses the quantity of boards of trustees identified with corporate governance that each company has and the constitution of these advisory groups.

Disclosure of Information

This parameter discusses how open the company is viewing exposures, for example, board pay, related gathering transactions, execution of corporate governance principles, connecting of senior management's compensation with profits of the company and an internationally perceived independent auditor.

In the event that a company is open for scrutiny and is eager to impart all information to the

shareholders, its stock price is relied upon to improve as contrast with a company which does not unveil all information. Essentially, financial performance of the company is likewise expected to be better if better governance rehearses are trailed by the company (Momoh and Ukpong, 2017).

Corporate Governance rehearses however are just a solitary one of the elements influencing the offer prices.

Corporate governance in India is confined to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. The Corporate governance standards are severe and revelations are required. These standards are just appropriate to public constrained companies in India which are recorded. Table 1 gives the corporate governance divulgences in the five companies chose from India. These five companies were picked as they have universal nearness, an unmistakable brand name and are from differing enterprises including both manufacturing and services sector. As every one of the five of these are recorded companies in India, they need to carefully pursue the rules given by SEBI (Securities and Exchange board of India) in regards to corporate governance. Out of these five companies, Infosys is the world head in divulgence. It has won various honors for being a standout amongst the most straightforward company and going past obligatory exposures. Infosys has set the pattern in India for intentional revelation of a great deal of things. The standards don't characterize what number of committees ought to be there. Each company has set up the corporate governance committees as indicated by its very own necessities and prerequisites. All the Indian companies have internationally perceived external auditors.

5. DATA ANALYSIS

If there should arise an occurrence of Indian companies, Infosys has dependably delighted in high market capitalization as it has a standout amongst the best corporate governance models on the planet. It shares information both compulsory by law just as non-required in its published reports. Subsequently the offer price of Infosys has dependably performed well. In spite of the fact that if there should arise an occurrence of Tata Motors and Larsen and Toubro, there are extremely less or no independent directors ready, it has not influenced their offer prices much. Be that as it may, if there should arise an occurrence of Bharti Airtel and Videocon, on paper they appear to pursue all the governance standards set by the legislature yet as a general rule taking a gander at their multi year history of corporate governance, it appears to be a touch of whitewash (Narayanan, et. al., 2016). The equivalent is likewise valid at their offer costs which have been moping for a similar timeframe.

Table 1 Corporate Governance Report of Indian Companies

		Bharti	Infosys	L. & T	Tata Motors	Videocon
Area of Business		Telecom Services	Software	Manufacturing and Engineering	Automobile	Electronic Appliances
Exchanges Listed		India	India/US	India	India/US	India
Board Structure	Executive Directors	2	6	8	2	2
	Non-Executive Directors	8	Nil	9	4	Nil
	Independent Directors	8	9	Nil	6	8
Different Committees	Audit Committee	Yes (3I)	Yes (4I)	Yes (3NE)	Yes (3I)	Yes (3I)
	Remuneration Committee	Yes (2NE & 4I)	Yes (4I)	No	Yes (2 NE & 2I)	Yes (3I)
	Investor Grievance Committee	Yes (1E & 3NE)	Yes (3I)	Yes (2E & 1NE)	Yes (1E, 1NE & 1I)	Yes (3I)
	Nomination Committee	No	Yes (3I)	Yes (1E & 3NE)	Yes (1E, 1NE & 2I)	No
	Others	ESOP	Risk Management	Nil	Ethics	Finance
Disclosure	Board Compensation	Disclosed	Disclosed	Disclosed	Disclosed	Disclosed
	Related Party Transaction	Disclosed	Disclosed	Disclosed	Disclosed	Disclosed
	CG Report	Full	Full	Full	Full	Full
	External Auditor	Batliboi	BSR	Sharp & Tannan	Delloitte	Khandelwal, Jain & Co.

6. CONCLUSION

In the investigation, it was discovered that India pursues progressively stringent corporate governance rehearses dependent on US model which pursue stakeholder type of corporate governance (Organization, 2015). The distinction in compulsory divulgences and governance rehearses is enormous among the two countries. India at first did not have confidence in pariahs meddling in company's business and does not have compulsory necessities of independent directors and different committees to take care of the company's works.

Yet, gradually changes are coming to fruition. It has established different enactments with respect to corporate governance practices and exposure standards however can't completely execute it because of the power focus in the hands of family run businesses, chaebols. It is found in the examination corporate governance rehearses do affect the offer prices of the companies.

7. REFERENCES

1. Coram, P., T.J. Mock, and G. Monroe (2016). An investigation into the use of non-financial performance indicators by financial analysts. Working paper, university of India
2. Faisal J. and Abdul S. (2015). Impact of Corporate Governance index on firm performance: evidence from Indian manufacturing sector.

3. Jayati S., Subrata S., and Kaustav S. (2017). A Corporate Governance Index for large listed companies in India
4. Afsharipour, A. (Spring 2015, Vol. 29, Issue 2). Corporate Governance Convergece: Lessons from Indian Experience. Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business, pp. 335-402.
5. Gracious Seok-Hyun (2015). The Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance. European Corporate Governance Institute.
6. Lacker, et al. (June 2015, Vol.15, Issue 2). Board of Directors and Corporate Financial Performance: A review and Integrative Model. Journal of Management , pp291, 44 pages
7. Singhvi, S. S., & Desai, H. B. (Jan2014). An Empirical Analysis of the Quality of Corporate Financial Disclosure. Accounting Review, Vol. 46 Issue 1, p129,10 p
8. Maher and Andersson. (Winter 2015, Vol 20, Issue 1). Changes in Korean Corporate Governance: A Response to crisis. Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, pp. 47-58.
9. Kolawole, O. O. and Tanko, M. (2015). Corporate governance and firms "performance in Indian Global journal of Management and Business Research.8 (10) pp. 15-23.
10. Momoh, O. A. and Ukpong, M.S. (2017). Corporate governance and its effects on insurance industry, Indian Journal of Globalization and Development Research, 8(1)
11. Narayanan, V.K., G.E. Pincus, K.M. Kelm, and D.M. Lander (2016). The influence of voluntarily disclosed qualitative information. Strategic Management Journal, 21(7): pp. 707-722
12. Organization for Economic Co-operational and Development OECD (2015). Principles of Corporate Governance, Paris – OECD Publication Services.

Corresponding Author

Parminder Kaur*

Assistant Professor, D.A.V. College, Pundri, Kaithal,
Haryana, India