Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. 16, Issue No. 1, January-2019, ISSN 2230-7540

Geomorphological Changes in Badland Areas around the Chambal River in India

Sonu*

M.Phil. Geography Student, Net JRF Geography, MDU, Rohtak

Abstract – The badlands wasteland along the lower Chambal valley speaks to the most pessimistic scenario of water disintegration in India. These badlands wildernesses are accepted to have created because of neo-structural exercises and, most likely, reinforcing of southwest storm in late-Pleistocene – Holocene. Because of neo-structural exercises the Chambal River has experienced numerous progressions before coming to its present plan form.

This paper studies around the Chambal River's correct flank along its lower comes to. Remarkable highlights of the palaeo-channels and their connection to show spatial example of badlands wasteland are contemplated.

Keywords: Geomorphologic Evolution, Badlands, Chambal Valley

----------X----------

1. INTRODUCTION

Geomorphology is the logical investigation of the birthplace and development of topographic and bathymetric highlights made by physical, compound or natural procedures working at or close to the Earth's surface.

Geomorphologists try to comprehend why scenes look the manner in which they do, to comprehend landform history and elements and to foresee changes through a mix of field perceptions, physical analyses and numerical demonstrating. Geomorphologists work inside controls, for example, physical topography, geography, geodesy, designing topography, archaic exploration, climatology and geotechnical building. This expansive base of interests adds to many research styles and interests inside the field.

Chambal badlands wasteland

Land debasement is viewed as a standout amongst the most serious worldwide ecological difficulties. It has various financial, social and biological results. Land corruption is additionally a critical geomorphic process in numerous pieces of the world and in a scope of scenes. Its causal determinants, regarding nearby specificities, are yet to be seen completely.

Gorge commencement and development, in the same way as other different procedures of land debasement, has been ascribed to various regular and anthropogenic causes. Normal reasons for gorge disintegration incorporate environmental change, cataclysmic tempests, and isostatic bounce back, structural elevate or base dimension bringing down.

Expulsion of vegetation and deforestation, overgrazing, populace weight, institutional settings and open strategy are among the noteworthy anthropogenic elements causing land corruption.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The arrangement of Himalaya foreland bowl (HFB) prompts a standout amongst the broadest alluvial fields on the planet for example Indo-Gangetic fields (Sinha and Tandon 2014). Where, Ganga Plains speak to the fore-profound (DeCelles and Giles 1996) some portion of the HFB framework (Goswami and Mishra 2014).

The southern edge of foreland bowl is set apart by a local delicate fore-swell (DeCelles 2011) as Bundelkhand-Vindhyan Plateau (Singh 1996) while the northern edge is set apart by the Shiwalik Hills. From north to south, the Ganga Plain can be subdivided into three geomorphic units: 1) Piedmont Zone (PZ), 2) Central Alluvial Plain (CAP), and 3) Marginal Alluvial Plain (MAP) (Agarwal et al. 2002; Goswami and Mishra 2014; Singh 1996). Where, the MAP is encased by the Yamuna River and the Indian craton.

Minimal Alluvial Plains are chiseled with the most serious mind boggling system of gorges and in this manner shaping badlands wasteland along the Chambal, the Betwa, the Yamuna Rivers and their tributaries. Different confirmations of neo-structural

exercises were recorded in the MAP. Truth be told, a standout amongst the most striking confirmations of neo-structural exercises is viewed as the entry point of waterways and nearness of badlands wasteland along them (Agarwal et al. 2002; Ahmad 1968; Mishra and Vishwakarma 1999; Sharma 1968). Mishra and Vishwakarma (1999) saw that alluvium tract of western MAP has encountered up-twisting and downwarping wherein the Chambal River pursues an enemy of formal up-twist.

Agarwal et al. (2002) watched tilted beds in the sedimentary layers, open cracks in the gullied zones along the Chambal and the Yamuna Rivers. They, further, suggested that Indian lithosphere acted inflexibly during the time spent push stacking in the orogen which prompted arrangement of a lot of conjugate blames close to the fringe swell.

Badlands wasteland shows the most staggering view in the generally level geology of kept dregs in the lower Chambal valley, covering a zone of ca. 4800 km2 (Sharma 1979). Alongside the up-twisting of the zone, escalation of SW storm in the late Pleistocene - Holocene is likewise viewed as a conceivable explanation behind badlands wasteland development (Joshi 2014; Tandon et al. 2006).

Be that as it may, the planning of badlands wasteland development isn't very much compelled in the region however Gibling et al. (2005), subsequent to dating a ravine fill in the Kalpi district, found that the most youthful gorge fill silt are ca. 35 ka (±4 ka) old. Since these dregs are, really, gorge fills; ravines and badlands wilderness more likely than not framed preceding that period (Tandon et al. 2006).

Mishra and Vishwakarma (1999), while examining morpho-tectonics along the lower scopes of the Chambal River, watched a 74 km long palaeo-channel on the left flank of the Chambal River. They further opined that the palaeo-channel was a tributary to the Chambal River and it has evaporated before the cut of the last mentioned.

Amid the satellite translations, numerous comparative conditioned dim straight/curvilinear direct highlights were watched running parallel to the lower ranges of the Chambal River, on its correct flank.

Curiously, these highlights appear to be broken connections and seemed to originate from and decrease in the badlands wilderness, in this manner they present a solid connect to badlands wilderness. Dissimilar to the distinguished palaeo-channel (by Mishra and Vishwakarma (1999)), these highlights are straighter, interconnected and without any evident winding.

To the best of our insight, these highlights are not uncovered so far in writing with the exception of in the Survey of India topographic sheets where these are set apart as nearby depletes. Consequently, in this examination, initially, their remarkable highlights alongside their suggestions to spatial examples of badlands wasteland are contemplated while in the second section, a calculated geomorphic advancement display is proposed.

The Chambal River starts from the Vindhyan Range (Jain et al. 2007; Sharma 1979) and move through the Malwa Plateau where Vindhyans are overlaid by the Deccan Traps (Narula et al. 2000). It runs a complete length of 960 km (Jain et al. 2007) where its catchment zone is separated into three sections for example Upper Chambal Valley (UCV), Middle Chambal Valley (MCV) and Lower Chambal Valley (LCV) (Sharma 1979:Chapter 6). An absolute bowl zone of the Chambal River is ca. 143,219 km2 (Jain et al. 2007) with a release of 387 m3/s and 22 M tons/year of residue load, estimated at Dholpur station (Bawa et al. 2014).

The release crests in the storm season which goes on for 3-4 months from mid-end of June till September. The normal yearly precipitation is ca. 800 mm (Ranga et al. 2015), over 85% of which is gotten in the rainstorm season (Tandon et al. 2006). The UCV and MCV are secured essentially with the rough landscape; just the lower achieves rivers on the alluvium, stored by the Chambal River itself. The significant piece of the Chambal River, in the LCV, rivers in parallel to Great Boundary Fault (GBF) and Chambal Jamnagar Lineament (CJL).

GBF is a blame which keeps running along the limit of Vindhyan bowl and stretches out for in excess of 400 km (Srivastava and Sahay 2003). CJL is a 900 km long arrangement of break frameworks and parallel flaws which reaches out from Little Rann of Kutch/Jamnagar to Dholpur (Ramasamy 2005).

GBF and CJL have SW-NE introduction and hence control the river bearing of the Chambal River in the LCV. A scratch point is accounted for at Pinahat along longitudinal profile of the Chambal River by Mishra and Vishwakarma (1999); starting here onwards the River alters its river course from SW-NE to NW-SE. The normal angle of the Chambal River is 0.21m/km in LCV (Jain et al. 2007). Climate of the area can be delegated warm mild (C) steppe (S) with sweltering summers (a) for example Csa as indicated by Köppen-Geiger refreshed arrangement (Kottek et al. 2006).

Badlands wilderness, in the LCV, chiseled the previous dynamic floodplains (which currently are idle) and shaped a restricted valley. Soak scarps structure the limit among badlands wasteland and the contiguous dormant flood plain. At numerous spots leveling exercises on such scarps were recorded, along these lines, on such regions limit ends up ambiguous and land use winds up fleeting (Ranga et al. 2015). The dynamic flood plain is confined to the restricted chiseled valley, set apart

by point bars on the inward bends of winds, which are shaped by wander cut-off and horizontal movement of the river.

3. PHYSICAL FEATURES OF THE AREA

Climate

The territory falls inside the cutoff points of the semi-bone-dry and the sub-damp areas. Moderate precipitation, high temperature, dry summer and cold winter are the principle highlights of climate here. The three fundamental seasons are summer (March-June), blustery (JulySeptember) and winter (October-February). Summer is for the most part dry and sweltering, when the mean greatest temperature ascends to 42°C in May, however the winter is mellow, with a mean least of 7°C in January, despite the fact that it isn't remarkable to encounter >45°C amid summer and 1-3°C amid winter. The mean yearly precipitation changes from 765 mm at Agra to 796 mm at Morena, while Delhi toward the north of the zone gets 714 mm every year and Gwalior at the south gets 900 mm. A great part of the precipitation is gotten amid July-September, the season for summer storm downpours, when about 90% of the all out yearly is gotten.

Geomorphology

The course from Delhi to Agra and from that point to Morena-Bhind zone falls inside the huge Ganga Plains. The zone from the lower regions of the Hiamalayas to the lower Chambal valley close Gwalior can be extensively subdivided from north to south into three geomorphic units: (1) Piedmont Zone (PZ), (2) Central Alluvial Plain (CAP), and (3) Marginal Alluvial Plain (MAP) (Agarwal et al., 2002; Goswami and Mishra, 2014; Singh, 1996). The landform units in the territory can be assembled under three hereditary sorts, viz. fluvial, denudational and basic inception. Landform units of fluvial birthplace are the alluvial fields, flood fields, stream porches, ravinous zones and valley fills. Landform units of denudational source incorporate the leftover slopes and covered pediments, while the landform units of basic starting point incorporate the plateau, buttes and levels. The alluvial fields spread just about 50% of the region.

Chambal River is a noteworthy tributary of the Yamuna that begins from the Himalayas. The gorges are shaped on the two sides of the Chambal River and its tributaries. The all out bowl region of the Chambal River is around 143219 sq. km. The normal angle of the stream in lower Chambal valley is 0.21m/km (Jain et al., 2007). The fundamental tributaries of the Chambal are the Chamla, Kshipra, Kalisindh, Mej and Parvati. The Chambal fills in as a noteworthy wellspring of hydro-electric power age, water system and fisheries for Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan States.

A noteworthy piece of the visit territory in Morena-Bhind tract is under a thick alluvium kept by the Chambal River and its tributaries. The region of intrigue, the Badlands, go under the Marginal Alluvial Plain, which is encased by the Yamuna River and the Indian craton, where the land is portrayed by a multifaceted system of gorges along the Chambal, the Betwa and the Yamuna Rivers just as along their tributaries.

Chambal Badlands are amazingly dismembered, hard to cross and is agronomically unfit. The territory among Bhind and Morena is the most analyzed, with a sporadic geography, and comprises of soak edges, low slopes, profound channels and wide etched wanders. The region is likewise specked with little hillocks of Vindhyan sedimentary arrangement inside the Chambal Valley and its gorges, which are isolated from the principle spread of the Vindhyan Range. Various separated slopes are dispersed likewise in the alluvial tract. Limestone and sandstone comprise the lingering slopes having moderate help of the request of 240-260 m.

4. BADLANDS IN THE LOWER CHAMBAL VALLEY

Badlands wilderness in the lower Chambal valley have chiseled a previous dynamic floodplains (which is currently latent), and have shaped a tight valley. Soak edges, low-slanting slopes, profound channels and expansive winds are normal highlights of the territory. The general slant of the territory is towards north-east (Pani and Carling, 2013). Soak scarps structure the limit between the badlands wasteland and the adjoining latent flood plain. At numerous spots leveling exercises on such scarps can be seen, where the limit winds up ambiguous and land use ends up momentary (Ranga et al., 2015b). The dynamic flood plain is confined to the limited chiseled valley, set apart by point bars on the inward bends of wanders, which are shaped by wind cut-off and horizontal movement of the stream. The palaeo-diverts are altogether seen in the idle floodplains which are presently utilized for development reason

Aside from the Chambal, the principle stream streaming in the Badlands territory to be visited is the Kunwari River. It radiates from the Vindhyan Hills in Shivpuri area of Madhya Pradesh, and after that streams in north-east bearing through the Vindhyan scarps in Bijaipur, Jora, and Sabalgarh tehsils. This stream is the significant wellspring of crisp water supply in the zone. When it enters the Morena plain, it shapes profound gorges and clears out a great part of the supplements of the plain (Singh, 1978). The stream moves through a sloping tract and joins the Chambal River. The seepage design is for the most part dendritic to subdendritic. All waterways for the most part stream from south to north or north east and meet the Chambal River on

its correct bank. Seepage thickness is high in the valley partition and in the fields.

CONCLUSION

Badlands wasteland is described by much dismembered geography with soak slants where between rills, rills, chasms and gorges structure essential parts. The diverse sorts, for example, profound gorges, moderate ravines and shallow crevasses, can be recognized in the Chambal Badlands territory. The headway of the crevasse systems happens particularly through headward disintegration of individual ravines. Different phases of arrangement of the gorges in the zone can be condensed into swallow opening stage, funneling stage, and crumbling stage.

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal, K.K., Singh, I.B., Sharma, M., Sharma, S., & Rajagopalan, G. (2002). Extensional tectonic activity in the cratonward parts (peripheral bulge) of the Ganga Plain foreland basin, India. International Journal of Earth Sciences, 91(5), pp. 897 – 905. doi:10.1007/s00531-002-0265-z

2. Ahmad, E. (1968). Distribution and causes of gully erosion in India. In 21st international geographical congress (p. Section IV). New Delhi.

3. Bawa, N., Jain, V., Shekhar, S., Kumar, N., & Jyani, V. (2014). Controls on morphological variability and role of river power distribution pattern, Yamuna River, western India. Geomorphology, 227, pp. 60–72. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.05.016

4. DeCelles, P. G. (2011). Foreland Basin Systems Revisited: Variations in Response to Tectonic Settings. In C. Busby & A. Azor (Eds.), Tectonics of Sedimentary Basins (pp. 405–426). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9781444347166.ch20/summary. Accessed 4 July 2014 DeCelles

5. P. G., & Giles, K. A. (1996). Foreland basin systems. Basin Research, 8(2), 105–123. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2117.1996.01491.x

6. Faulkner, H. (2006). Piping Hazard on Collapsible and Dispersive Soils in Europe. In J. Boardman & J. Poesen (Eds.), Soil Erosion in Europe (pp. 537–562). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/0470859202.ch40/summary. Accessed 24 July 2014

7. Faulkner, H. (2008). Connectivity as a crucial determinant of badland morphology and evolution. Geomorphology, 100(1–2), pp. 91–103. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.04.039

8. Galiatsatos, N. (2004). Assessment of the CORONA series of satellite imagery for landscape archaeology: a case study from the Orontes valley, Syria. http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/281/. Accessed 5 July 2012

9. Gibling, M. R., Tandon, S. K., Sinha, R., & Jain, M. (2005). Discontinuity-Bounded Alluvial Sequences of the Southern Gangetic Plains, India: Aggradation and Degradation in Response to Monsoonal Strength. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 75(3), pp. 369–385. doi:10.2110/jsr.2005.029

10. Goswami, P. K., & Mishra, J. K. (2014). Morphotectonic evolution of the Piedmont Zone of the west Ganga Plain, India. Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie, 58(1), pp. 117–131. doi:10.1127/0372-8854/2013/0114

11. Jain, S. K., Agarwal, P. K., & Singh, V. P. (2007). Hydrology And Water Resources of India. Springer.

12. Jain, V., & Sinha, R. (2003). Hyperalvulsive-anabranching Baghmati river system north Bihar plains, eastern India. Zietscrift fur geomorphologie, 47(1), pp. 101–116.

13. Jones, A. (1971). Soil Piping and River Channel Initiation. Water Resources Research, 7(3), 602–610. doi:10.1029/WR007i003p00602

14. Joshi, V. U. (2014). The Chambal Badlands. In V. S. Kale (Ed.), Landscapes and Landforms of India (1st ed., pp. 143–149). Dordrecht: Springer.

15. Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B., & Rubel, F. (2006). World Map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated. Meteorologische Zeitschrift, 15(3), pp. 259–263. doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2006/0130

16. Makaske, B. (2001). Anastomosing rivers: a review of their classification, origin and sedimentary products. Earth-Science Reviews, 53(3–4), pp. 149–196. doi:10.1016/S0012-8252(00)00038-6

17. Mishra, M. N., & Vishwakarma, L. L. (1999). Morphotectonics of the Chambal and the Yamuna valleys in the Western Marginal

Gangetic Alluvial Plains. Geological Survey of India. http://www.portal.gsi.gov.in/pls/gsipub/PKG_PTL_PORTAL_LINKS.pGetCaseStudyRegion? inpRegionId=35

18. Mitra, D., Tangri, A. K., & Singh, I. B. (2005). Channel avulsions of the Sarda River system, Ganga Plain. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 26(5), pp. 929–936. doi:10.1080/0143116031000102458

19. Nanson, G. C., & Knighton, A. D. (1996). Anabranching Rivers: Their cuases, character and classification. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 21, pp. 217–239.

20. Narula, P. L., Acharyya, S. K., & Banerjee, J. (2000). Seismotectonic atlas of India and its environs. Calcutta: Geological Survey of India.

21. Ouchi, S. (1985). Response of alluvial rivers to slow active tectonic movement. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 96(4), pp. 504–515. DOI:10.1130/0016-7606(1985)962.0.CO;2

22. Rajawat, A. S., Verma, P. K., & Nayak, S. (2003). Reconstruction of palaeodrainage network in North-western India: retrospect and prospects of remote sensing based studies. In Proceedings Indian National Science Academy 69A (pp. 217–230).

23. Ramasamy, S. M. (2005). Remote Sensing in Geomorphology. New India Publishing.

24. Ranga, V., Van Rompaey, A., Poesen, J., Mohapatra, S. N., & Pani, P. (2015). Semiautomatic delineation of badlands using contrast in vegetation activity: A case study in the lower Chambal valley, India. Geocarto International. doi:10.1080/10106049.2015.1004130

25. Rathore, V. S., Nathawat, M. S., & Champatiray, P. K. (2010). Palaeochannel detection and aquifer performance assessment in Mendha River catchment, Western India. Journal of Hydrology, 395, pp. 216–225. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.10.026

26. Sharma, H. S. (1968). Genesis of Ravines of the Lower Chambal Valley, India. In 21st international geographical congress (p. Section IV). New Delhi.

27. Sharma, H. S. (1979). The physiography of the Lower Chambal Valley and its agricultural development: a study in applied geomorphology

28. Singh, I. B. (1996). Geological Evolution of Gangetic plain - an overview. The Palaeontological Society of India, 41, pp. 99–137.

29. B., & Bajpai, V. N. (1989). Significance of syndepositional tectonics in the facies development of Gangetic Alluvium near Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh. J Geol Soc India, 36, pp. 61–66.

30. Sinha, R., & Tandon, S. K. (2014). Indus-Ganga-Brahmaputra Plains: The Alluvial Landscape. In V. S. Kale (Ed.), Landscapes and Landforms of India (First., pp. 53–63). Dordrecht: Springer.

31. Sohn, H.-G., Kim, G.-H., & Yom, J.-H. (2004). Mathematical modelling of historical reconnaissance CORONA KH-4B Imagery. The Photogrammetric Record, 19(105), pp. 51–66. doi:10.1046/j.0031-868X.2003.00257.x

32. Srivastava, D. C., & Sahay, A. (2003). Brittle tectonics and pore-fluid conditions in the evolution of the Great Boundary Fault around Chittaurgarh, Northwestern India. Journal of Structural Geology, 25(10), pp. 1713–1733. DOI:10.1016/S0191-8141(03)00012-9

33. Tandon, S. K., Gibling, M. ., Sinha, R., Singh, V., Ghazanfari, P., Dasgupta, A., et al. (2006). Alluvial valleys of the Gangetic Plains, India: causes and timing of incision. In R. W. Dalrymple, D. A. Leckie, & R. W. Tillman (Eds.), Incised Valley (Vol. 85, pp. 15–35).

34. Tulsa. Thornbury, W. D. (1968). Principles of geomorphology. Wiley.

35. Verachtert, E., Van Den Eeckhaut, M., Poesen, J., & Deckers, S. (2009). Characteristics and distribution of soil piping erosion in loess-derived soils of Belgium. In Congreso Internacional sobre Desertification.

Corresponding Author

Sonu*

M.Phil. Geography Student, Net JRF Geography, MDU, Rohtak

sonu852952@gmail.com