Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education
Vol. 16, Issue No. 1, January-2019, ISSN 2230-7540

Reviewed Study on Sequence Spaces

Priya Gupta[1]* Dr. Rajiv Kumar[2]

[1] Research Scholar of OPJS University, Churu, Rajasthan

[2] Associate Professor, OPJS University, Churu, Rajasthan

Abstract – It was trailed by the works because of I. Schur, S. Mazur, W. Orlicz, K'Knopp, G. M. Petersen, S. Banach, G. Kothe and 0. Toeplitz, are a couple to be named. The takes a shot at paranormed sequence spaces was started by H. Nakano and S. Simons. It was additionally concentrated by 1. J. Maddox, C. G. Lascarides, S. Nanda, D. Rath , G. Das , Z.U.Ahmed, B. Kuttner and numerous others. The extension for the investigations on sequence spaces was stretched out because of the utilization of various procedures and ideas of useful examination. All through w, c, c0, f m, c , c0, £p, y , y0, ,v0 will speak to the classes of all focalized, invalid, limited, measurably concurrent, factually invalid, ^-completely aggregate capable, total capable, total ready to zero , limited measurably invalid sequences with non-zero terms separately.

---------------------------------- x ---------------------------------

INTRODUCTION

A sequence space is a straight space of sequences with components in another direct space. The investigations of direct change on sequence spaces are called sum ability. The soonest thought of total capacity hypothesis were maybe contained in a letter composed by Leibnitz to C. Wolf (1713) in which the total of the oscillatory arrangement l - l + l - l+ l - as given by Leibnitz was \ . hey 1880, Fresenius presented the technique for entirety capacity by number juggling mean. Later on this strategy was summed up as the (C, k) technique for total capacity.

first considered entirety capacity techniques as a class of change of complex sequences by complex unending lattices. In this manner it was concentrated by numerous remarkable mathematicians like Kojima, Steinhaus, Schur, Mazur, OrHcz, Knopp, Pali, Agnew, Brudno, Cooke, Iyer, Petersen and numerous others. With the development of useful examination, sequence spaces were concentrated with more prominent knowledge and inspiration.

DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

In this section we list some standard notations and concepts those will be used throughout the thesis .Throughout *N, R* and C denote the sets of **natural, real** and **complex** numbers respectively.

x = *(x*t) denote the sequence whose *k* -th term is *xk*
.

Throughout *w, c,* c0, *£m* denote the spaces of **all, convergent, null** and **bounded** sequences of complex terms respectively.

The zero element of a normed linear space (n.l.s.) is denoted by *Q* . A complete n.l.s. is called as a Banach Space.

It is well known that *£* is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup_k |x_k|,$$

Called the sup-norm or uniform norm.

The spaces *c* and c0 are complete subspaces of *£m.*

l p (0 < / ? < o o) denotes the space of all complex sequences such that *xk* \|*p* <oo, called as the space of *p* - **absolutely summable sequences.** The space for *p* > 1 is complete under the norm defined by

$$\|x\| = \left(\sum_k |x_k|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For 0 <*p* < *l, l p* is a complete - nor med space*, p* - nor med by

$$\|x\| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p .$$

www.ignited.in

If *X* is a linear space and *g:X~> R* is such that

***g(x)* >** 0 ;

(*i*) $g(x) \geq 0$;

(*ii*) $x = \theta \Rightarrow g(x) = 0$;

(*iii*) $g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$;

(*iv*) $g(x) = g(-x)$;

(*v*) $g(\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x) \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$, whenever $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ and $x_n \to x$, for scalars λ_n, λ and vectors x, x_n (for all $n \in N$) $\in X$,

STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE OF SEQUENCES

So as to expand the idea of intermingling of sequences, measurable conver-gence of sequences was presented by Fast in 1951, Buck in 1953 and Schoenberg in 1959 autonomously. It is likewise found in (see lemma in p. 181). Later on it was concentrated from sequence space perspective and connected with whole Salat and Sen [96], Kolk [39] and numerous others. The thought of measurable assembly relies upon the possibility of asymptotic thickness of subsets of the set N of characteristic numbers (one may allude to Niven, Zuckerman and Montgomery).

For any subset A of N, we say that A possesses asymptotic density (or simply density S(a) if

$$\delta(A) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \chi_A(k) \text{ exists},$$

Where % a is the characteristic function of A. Clearly all finite subsets of N have zero natural density and

$$\delta(A^c) = \delta(N - A) = 1 - \delta(A)$$

We write S0 = { {xk) e w : S({k e N : xk * 0})= S (supp [xk)) = 0}

A given complex sequence x = (x,.) is said to be statistically convergent to the sum L, if for any s >0, we have $\delta(\{k \in N : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$. =0 . We write xk — sl'" > L or stat - lim xt = L.

For two sequences (x j and (yk), we say that Xk= y k for almost all k (in short a.a.k) if 8{{k eN:xk* y k})=o. By c and c0 we denote the spaces of all statistically convergent and statistically null sequences respectively. Clearly c, ce are linear spaces. We write m = c r> £n and m0 = c0 n i m. Salat ([76], Theorem 2.1) showed that m and m0 are closed subspaces of under the sup-norm. A sequence x = (xk) is said to be statistically Cauchy (introduced by Fridy [24]), if for every s > 0, there exists = no (s) such that e N: x k ~ x „n >£ i)=0- Fridy Salat and Connor established some relations between statistical convergence and convergence of sequences. Those results are known as decomposition theorems. We procure those results below.

MATRIX TRANSFORMATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MATRIX CLASSES

Let A = (ank) be any boundless network of complex numbers and x = (xk) e w. At that point co Ax = (Anx) is characterized by Anx = '*Tiankxk , at whatever point the correct hand side exists, and A k =I characterizes a sequence to sequence change by partner the sequence x with the sequence Ax. On the off chance that X, Y are two sequence spaces, at that point (X,Y) speaks to the class of every single limitless framework those change sequences in X into sequences in Y. A hypothesis which gives important and adequate conditions for the most part as far as lattice components, for a grid to be in (X,Y), is called as portrayal hypothesis. We presently show some notable portrayal hypotheses. These outcomes can be found in the monographs of others. For any network A = (cink), we will allude to the accompanying conditions in the hypotheses expressed in this area.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Ayhan Esi, B. Hazarika (2014), The investigation of Orlicz and Lorentz grouping spaces was started with a specific explicit reason in Banach space hypothesis. In reality, Lindberg ([Lin 70], [Lin 73]) got intrigued by Orlicz spaces regarding discovering Banach spaces with symmetric shauder premise having corresponding subspaces isomorphic to c0 or lp(1 ≤ p < ∞). Sub-sequently, Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [LiT 71] contemplated these Orlicz grouping spaces in more subtleties and comprehended numerous significant and intriguing basic issue with regards to Banach spaces ([LiT 71], [LiT 72], [LiT 73]). Meanwhile, Woo [Woo 73] gener-alized the idea of Orlicz succession spaces to secluded arrangement spaces and this lead him to hone a portion of the aftereffects of Lindberg and of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri (see [Woo 75]).

Ayhan Esi (2014), Orlicz arrangement spaces are the uncommon instances of Orlicz spaces which were presented in [Orl 32] and broadly concentrated in [KrR 61]. Orlicz spaces locate various helpful application in the hypothesis of non-straight necessary conditions, though the Orlicz succession spaces are speculation of lp-spaces, Lp-spaces wind up wrapped in Orlicz spaces. For more profound outcomes in Orlicz grouping spaces and particular succession spaces one can allude to ([LiT 73], [LiT 77], [Woo 73]) and a few references in that.

Moricz and Rhoades Tripathy (2014) the grouping spaces are summed up a few way by a few mathematicians. Some have considered single succession spaces (see [PrC 94], [Esi 97], [EsE

www.ignited.in

2000], [Mur 83], [Sav 04]), while that some of them have read twofold grouping spaces for more subtleties (see [AlB 05], [Bas 09], [Esi 11], [Mur 04], [SaP 11], [Tri 03]). The underlying work on twofold groupings found in Bromwich [Bro 65]. Later on it was concentrated by Hardy [Har 17],

Basarir and Sonalcan (2014)

Strong [Har 17] presented the idea of customary union for twofold groupings. Recently, Zeltser [Zel 01] in her Ph.D proposition has basically contemplated both the hypothesis of topological twofold arrangement spaces and the hypothesis of summability of twofold successions.

Mursaleen and Edely(2013) have as of late presented the measurable intermingling and Cauchy union for twofold successions and given the connection between factual con-vergent and firmly Cesaro summable twofold arrangements. In this manner, and Mursaleen and Edely have characterized the practically solid regu-larity of grids for twofold successions and applied these networks to set up.

Dwyer P.S (2015), A center hypothesis and presented the M-center for twofold successions and decided those four dimensional lattices changing each limited twofold arrangements x = (xk,l) into one whose center is a subset of the M-center of x. All the more as of late, Altay and Basar [AlB 05] have characterized the spaces BS, BS(t), CSp, CSbp, CSr and BV of twofold arrangements comprising of all twofold arrangement whose grouping of fractional entireties are in the spaces Mu, Mu(t), Cp, Cbp, Cr and Lu, separately and furthermore inspected a few properties of these succession spaces and decided the α-duals of the spaces BS, BV, CSbp and the (v)-duals of the spaces CSbp and CSr of twofold arrangement.

Evgenija D. Popova (2014), have presented the Banach space Lq of twofold successions relating to the notable space 'q of single groupings and analyzed a few properties of the space Lq. By the combination of a twofold grouping we mean the union of the Pring-sheim sense for example a twofold succession x = (xk,l) has Pringsheim limit L (meant by P − lim x = L) gave that given > 0 there exists n ∈ N with the end goal that |xk,l − L| < at whatever point k, l > n see [Pri 1900]. We will compose all the more quickly as P - concurrent. The twofold succession x = (xk,l) is limited if there exists a positive number M with the end goal that |xk,l| < M for all k and l. Orlicz work : An Orlicz work M : [0, ∞) → [0, ∞) is raised and con-tinuous with the end goal that M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0 for x > 0.

Ganapathy Iyer V. (2014), On the space of necessary capacities Let w be the space of all genuine or complex groupings x = (xk). Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [LiT 71] utilized the possibility of Orlicz capacity to characterize the accompanying arrangement. that each Orlicz arrangement space 'M contains a subspace isomorphic to 'p ≥ 1). An Orlicz work M fulfills 2-condition if and just if for any consistent L > 1 there exists a steady K(L) with the end goal that M(Lu) ≤ K(L)M(u) for all estimations of u ≥ 0.

Gardenes, E.; Mielgo (2013), Musielak-Orlicz work: An arrangement M = (Mk) of Orlicz capacities is known as a Musielak-Orlicz work (see [Mal 89], [Mus 83]). A succession N = (Nk) Is known as the integral capacity of a Musielak-Orlicz work M. For a given Musielak-Orlicz work M, the Musielak-Orlicz grouping space tM and its sub-space hM.

Goffman C and Pedrick G(2016), Difference arrangement spaces: The thought of difference grouping spaces was introduction duced by Kızmaz [Kız 81], who considered the difference succession spaces l∞ (Δ), c (Δ) and c0 (Δ). The thought was additionally summed up by ET and C¸olak [EtC¸ 97] by introduction ducing the spaces l∞ (Δn), c (Δn) and c0 (Δn). Let m, n be non-negative whole numbers, at that point for Z = l∞, c, c0 we have arrangement spaces.

Hahn H (2012), The idea of 2-normed spaces was at first evolved by G¨ahler [G¨ah 65] in the mid of 1960's, as an intriguing straight space speculation of a normed direct space which was in this way concentrated by numerous others (see [Mur 10], [MuA 11]) and references in that. As of late, a ton of exercises have been begun to consider summability and related points in these straight spaces (see [RFC 01], [SGSG 07]).

Jacob R.T. (2015), At that point, unmistakably (X, ||.,.||) is a 2-normed space. N-normed spaces: The idea of n-normed spaces was at first evolved by Misiak [Mis 89]. From that point forward, numerous others have considered this idea and gotten different outcomes (see [Gun 01], [Gun 01], [GuM 01]).

Let n ∈ N and X be a direct space over the field K, where K is a field of genuine or complex quantities of measurement d, where d ≥ n ≥ 2. A genuine esteemed capacity ||·, · · ·, ·|| on X^n

Jimin. H and Zhi-Fang (2012), Perfect Convergence: In numerous parts of science and designing we manage different sorts of groupings and arrangement and when we manage these it is critical to check their intermingling. The possibility of factual combination was presented by Fast [Fas 51] and from that point forward a few speculations and utilization of this idea have been researched by different creators (see [Kum 07], [MuA 11], [MuM 09], [MME 10], [MuE 03], [MuE 09], [TrH 11]) and references in that. One of its speculations is the perfect combination or I-assembly which was

www.ignited.in

presented by Kastyrko et. al [KSW 2000] and concentrated by Balcerzak et. al [BDK 07], Komisarski [Kom 08] and Das et. al [DKWM 08].

CONCLUSION

Motivated by the hypothesis of esteemed inward item space over an esteemed field we inferred some fascinating imbalances and related properties of those sorts. Further we gave a number and prime answers for those sort of disparities talked about above. Inspired by the hypothesis of esteemed inward item space we inferred the Cauchy-Schwarz imbalance from the disparities. Further we presented the thought of equal esteemed inward item space and gave different fascinating outcomes to two esteemed internal items dependent on their measurements.

REFERENCES

1. C. Tripathy (1997). Matrix transformation between some classes of sequences, J. Math. Analysis and Appl., 206 (2), pp. 448-450.

2. C. Tripathy (1998). On statistical convergence, Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Phys. Math., 47 (4), pp. 299-303.

3. C. Tripathy (2003). On some class of di erence paranormed sequence spaces associ-ated with multiplier sequences, Internat. J. Math. Sci., 2(1), pp. 159-166.

4. C. Tripathy (2003). A class of di erence sequences related to the p-normed space ‘p , Demonstratio Math., 36(4), pp. 867-872.

5. C. Tripathy (2004). On generalized di erence paranormed statistically convergent sequence, Indian J. pure appl. Math, 35(5), pp. 665-663.

6. C. Tripathy and A. Baruah (2009). New type of di erence sequence spaces of fuzzy real numbers, Math. Model. Anal., 14(3), pp. 391-397.

7. C. Tripathy and A. Baruah (2010). Lacunary statistically convergent and lacu-nary strongly convergent generalized di erence sequences of fuzzy real numbers, Kyungpook Math. Jour., 50, pp. 565-574.

8. C. Tripathy and A. Esi (2006). A new type of di erence sequence spaces, Int. J. Sci. Tech., 1(1), pp. 11-14.

9. C. Tripathy and B. Hazarika (2011). I-Monotonic and I- Convergent Sequences, Kyungpook Math. J., 51(2), pp. 233-239.

10. C. Tripathy and B. Sarma (2008). Statistically convergent di erence double sequence spaces, Acta Math. Sin., 24(5), pp. 737-742.

11. C. Tripathy, M. E.T. and Y. M. Altin (2003). Generalized di erence sequence spaces de ned by orlicz function in a locally convex space, J. Anal. Appl. 1(3), pp. 175-192.

Corresponding Author

Priya Gupta*

Research Scholar of OPJS University, Churu, Rajasthan