Journal of Advances in Science and Technology
Vol. 22, Issue No. 2, April-2025, ISSN 2230-9659

DOI: https://doi.org/10.29070/6e3r0a06

Study of Exposure to Pesticides, safety concerns, and indicators for risk Assessment

B Venktesham [1] * , Dr. Munshi Lal Patel [2]

1. Research Scholar, Shri Krishna University, Chhatarpur, M.P., India
swapnavenkatesh2006@gmail.com ,
2. Assistant Professor, Shri Krishna University, Chhatarpur, M.P., India

Abstract: Many studies have looked at pesticide toxicity and fate, but there are still gaps in our knowledge that make it hard to say how they will affect people and the environment in the long run. Because Scientists and the public have rightfully argued over the dangers that pesticides provide to the health of humans and the environment due to these contradictory results in the scientific literature. Exposure to pesticides when using pesticides residues of pesticides in food and water, and the usage of pesticides themselves are all potential dangers by consumers.

Keywords: sustainable, Scientists, health, humans, pesticides, environment

X

INTRODUCTION

A lot of agricultural output uses pesticides to cut down on or get rid of insect losses. This practice improves crop output and quality, including the aesthetic attractiveness of the products, which is frequently valued by customers. Using pesticides may enhance food's nutritional content and, in certain cases, make it safer to eat. The public at large often fails to recognize the many additional advantages that may be linked to pesticides. So, looking at it this way, pesticides are a popular, effective, and cost-effective method of controlling pests in most areas of agricultural production.

In spite of pesticides' widespread usage and widespread acclaim, some worry that they pose substantial health dangers to farmers and others who labor in treated fields, as well as to the general public via contaminants in food and water. Many people have been poisoned unintentionally as a result of these practices, and regular pesticide usage is harmful to farmers' health in the long and short term as well as to environmentally. The misuse of obsolete pesticide storage containers used to hold food and water, incorrect ways of applying the pesticide, spraying equipment that is either broken or not fit for purpose, inadequate means of storing the pesticide, and the application of hazardous chemicals that are prohibited or heavily controlled in other nations. pose significant risks to farmers in developing nations.

Without a doubt, pesticide exposure is an ongoing health risk, particularly for those who work in agriculture. Because they are intended to kill certain species, most pesticides exhibit a high level of toxicity by definition. So, there is a certain degree of danger involved. Concerns about the possible consequences of pesticide usage concerns about the impact on animals, humans, and fragile ecosystems have been raised in this regard. Taking away helpful creatures, such bugs' natural predators and the subsequent rise in the likelihood that pests may develop a resistance to pesticides make pesticide treatments seldom effective. In addition, many people who will be using pesticides do not fully understand the dangers of doing so, particularly the need of getting the application right and taking all the required safety measures. Despite knowing full well that pesticides are bad for you, some farmers still use them.

In spite of the results that are published don't necessarily support the idea that pesticides are safe for both people and the environment, even if they were intended to be effective with a high degree of certainty. Although uncertainty considerations are taken into account when developing pesticide toxicity reference values, it is still possible that We might never be certain if a pesticide is completely safe. conditions or how it would perform in hypothetical ones. to achieve this regulatory standard. The methods and equipment at our disposal limit scientific inquiry, therefore technological advancements constantly reshape our capacities.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Ravi Kant Upadhyay (2016) Plants and many insect and pathogen groups have a long history together. Since the beginning of time, plants have evolved chemotypic and genotypic variances and adaptations in response to biological infections and infestations as well as changes in climate. In order to shield plants against herbivorous insects, it altered and enhanced their genomes to produce potent compounds that are poisonous, repulsive, or hinder the development of insects. Despite having some insect-specificity, the majority of these compounds are found in both host and non-host plants. Plants are mostly known for their anti-feedant or repellent compounds, which provide a defense function by discouraging insect pests from their hosts. These compounds produced from plants work at the cellular level by generating molecular interactions or reactions that cause organogenesis to default, resulting in the creation of deformed pupae with poor texture, weight loss, and structural abnormalities.

Tamer Üstüner (2018) GC and GC-MS were used in this work to analyse the chemical make-up derived from Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehnh's volatile oil. Monoterpene hydrocarbons, oxygenated monoterpenes, and oxygenated sesquiterpenes were the components of the sample that was being studied. The capacity of the oil to kill Rhizopertha dominica F. (Col.: Bostrychidae), Sitophilus granarius L. (Col.: Curculionidae), Tribolium confusum Duv. (Col.: Tenebrionidae), Callosobruchus maculatus F., and Acanthoscelides obtectus Say. (Col.: Bruchidae) were among the storage insect pests examined. Furthermore, our results showed that essential oil significantly influenced the growth of fungal mycelial proliferation and weed stem and root development. The mycelial development of S. sclerotiorum, F. oxyporum, P. debaryanum, and V. dahliae were all numbed down in 10 and 20 µL in just seven days, however R. solani did not exhibit any effect during this period. However, depending on the species being studied, different results were obtained when the oil was applied to the weeds. Although E. camaldulensis essential oil at concentrations of 5, 10, and 20 µL had no effect on the root and stem development of C. arvensis, it did inhibit the growth of M. officinalis and A. retroflexus simultaneously. concentration and time. Scientific evidence suggests that E. camaldulensis essential oil could have use as a natural fungicide and insecticide.

Barro's dos Santos, Eliza de Jesus, et al. (2024) Ingredients in essential oils made from plants in the Myrcia genus and their uses are thoroughly examined in this research. The combined findings demonstrate the biological activity and chemical variety oils, drawing attention to their possible importance for many medical and industrial applications. In addition to a variety of bioactive compounds, the essential oils of Myrcia include both sesquiterpenes and monoterpenes, which exhibit antibacterial characteristics against a wide range of microbes, including yeasts and both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Additionally, this research emphasizes these oils' phytotoxic action, suggesting that they may be used to manage weeds. The findings further demonstrate the effectiveness of Myrcia essential oils as a substitute for synthetic pesticides by demonstrating their insecticidal capabilities against a variety of pests. Furthermore, Myrcia species have shown encouraging hypoglycemic effects, indicating that they may be used to treat diabetes.

Vera Sergeeva (2015) Disease and pest management is still a topic of interest and demands a lot of focus. For crops to be produced sustainably in terms of both quantity and quality, pest management is essential. The preservation of ecosystems and biodiversity is being taken into consideration. A range of techniques are used in modern pest control to keep yield quality high and avoid crop loss. The utilization of Natural antimicrobials derived from plants, such as essential oils and extracts, are gaining popularity among them. The antimicrobial, Plant properties that are antifungal, antiviral, antiparasitic, antifeedant, antitermitic, and antinematicidal oils suggest that these naturally occurring, biologically active products may one day supplant synthetic pesticides in the fight against soil-borne plant diseases, the prevention of fruit and vegetable rotting due to fungus, and the management of pests in both the field and stored goods. The creation of all-natural integrated pest management (IPM) technologies will ensure the safety of our food supply in the future that are low in toxicity to mammals and the environment.

Henry Ivanz A. Boy et.al (2018) One place where drugs come from is natural products, and medical plants are one of the most well-known places where natural products come from. Some diseases can be cured by medicinal plants, and they could also be used to make new drugs. Ten The Plant Medicines Board of the Philippines gave its stamp of approval to these plants. They are The purpose of this study was to demonstrate that the following plants—Allium sativum (Garlic/Bawang), Blumea balsamifera (Nagal camphor/sambong), Cassia alata (Ringworm bush/akapulko), Clinopodium douglasii (Mint/yerba Buena), Ehretia microphylla (Scorpion bush/Tsaang Gubat), Momordica charantia (Bitter Melon/Ampalaya), Peperomia pellucida (Silver bush/ulasimang Bato), Psidium guajava (Guava/Bayabas), Quisqualis indica (Rangoon creeper/niyug-niyogan), and Vitex negundo (Five-leaste Tree/lagundi). can be used to treat illnesses and some diseases. As a result, Allium sativum was found to be good for wounds, high blood pressure, and toothaches. Blumea balsimifera was found to be good for treating high blood pressure by making people pee more, Cassia alata was found to be good for scabies, ringworm, tinea flava, and athlete's foot.

PESTICIDE REGISTRATION AND SAFETY

The administrative, scientific, and legal process of pesticide registration evaluates the many possible consequences of a pesticide product's use on human and environmental health. The registration process is a crucial part of pesticide management because it allows authorities to control the following: the use and purpose of pesticide products; the quality, quantity, claims, labelling, packaging, and advertising of pesticides; and last but not least, the protection of end-users and the environment. The registration procedure also presupposes that pesticides are used exclusively for their intended purpose and plans to demonstrate that this usage does not cause unjustified harm to humans or the environment. Consequently, a battery of tests is run on every pesticide before it can be sold to the public. These tests look for signs that the pesticide could harm people or animals, especially endangered species or risks to non-target species, as well as the possibility of pollution of surface and underground water supplies due to runoff and spray dispersion or leaching. Ecosystem imbalance and food chain disruption caused by effects on any non-target species might have consequences for human health and edible species in the long run.

It requires a lot of work and knowledge ln order to register a pesticide, many public interest groups, the pesticide manufacturing industry, and the registration authorities. There is an ever-expanding battery of tests that accurately identify pesticide residues and toxicological factors in an effort to allay public worries evaluations. The pesticide registration process is also evolving and improving due to new ways of predicting hazards, innovative ways of reducing those hazards, and the increasing amount of relevant scientific information that is incorporated into policy choices by both business and government.

Initial research is carried out by the manufacturer before deciding to register the pesticide. The manufacturer then submits information to the agency in charge of registration. The data is reviewed by the registration authority, which subsequently determines if the pesticide should be registered. or not. When deciding whether or not to register a pesticide, the relevant authorities weigh the benefits and risks of doing so. Full information on the results of the several stages of the registration process must be provided with the applicant, and the whole process must be open and based on well-established standards and published guidelines. Furthermore, the registration authority makes sure that any pesticides that are already on the market still fulfil the most stringent safety requirements to safeguard both people and the environment. These requirements have been tightened over the years to account for the growing uncertainty around pesticide effects. The purpose of this evaluation is to determine whether or not these older pesticides still comply with all applicable regulations and scientific consensus.

The term "re-registration" describes the procedure that takes into account the concerns about pesticides' impacts on human and environmental health and leads to measures to mitigate such risks. In fact, the European Union's regulations for commercially available pesticides, which came into effect in 1993 (via Directive 91/414/EEC) and remained in effect until 2008, caused significant revisions to the list of lawfully sold pesticides in recent years. Insecticides accounted for 26% of the 704 active chemicals outlawed during this time, herbicides for 23%, and fungicides for 17%. A number of Reassessment of pesticide tolerance and re-registration of pesticides have been decided upon by the US EPA (the findings of these evaluations are summarized in papers called Re-registration Eligibility judgements), which have led to better food safety, health for humans, and environmental protection at home.

The manufacturer (registrant) is typically responsible for conducting, analyzing, and funding a battery of scientific studies in the course of registering pesticides. The pesticide's environmental destiny, the effects on non-target species, the hazards to people and domestic animals, and the product's chemistry are all defined by these tests. All pertinent product data needed to support a registration application should span the product's complete lifecycle. Chemical and physical characteristics details on the pesticide's active ingredient and the final product, including analytical procedures, possible human and environmental toxicity, labeling, usage advice, safety data sheets, and residues, container management, and waste disposal should all be part of these documents. The time and resources needed to generate this kind of data for a single chemical would easily exceed a decade. Furthermore, all toxicological tests adhere to predetermined protocols, use validated methods, and must meet certain reporting standards. For consistent assessments of pesticide safety and for comparisons among pesticides, exacting requirements are required. Ecological risk assessments are conducted to identify potential dangers that pesticides pose to humans, animals, and the environment, and to decide whether any modifications to the product's intended usage are required. Collectively, the data is analyzed by the experts of the registration body to assess the pesticide product's environmental dangers. Further testing and data may be required by the registration authority, or certified persons may be required to apply the pesticide, in the event that the results of the risk assessment indicate a significant potential for harm to non-target plants or animals (i.e., limited use). On the other hand, it might be rejected by the registration authorities.

EXAMINING THE FACTORS INFLUENCING HUMAN EXPOSURE TO PESTICIDES

Employees of the pesticide industry, farmers in open fields and greenhouses, and pest control technicians all put themselves at risk of exposure to chemicals on the job. Pesticides may be used in any number of occupations, but having these substances on site at all times might cause occupational exposure. As a result of the nature of their employment, employees who are responsible for mixing, loading, transporting, and applying formulated pesticides are likely to be the most exposed and, hence, at the greatest risk of experiencing acute intoxications. Toxic exposure to pesticides may happen in rare cases due to leaks, unintentional chemical spills, or malfunctioning spraying equipment. If employees do not follow the pesticide use instructions to the letter, they put themselves at greater risk of exposure. This is especially true when employees disregard PPE recommendations and basic hygiene practices like prior to consuming food or after handling pesticides, is important.

How much exposure occurs while handling pesticides depends on a number of things. Pesticide products' formulations may impact the amount of exposure. Accidental splashing or spilling may cause liquids to come into direct touch with skin or contaminate garments, which can lead to indirect skin contact. Loading the application equipment with solids might cause dust to be released, which can irritate the eyes, nose, and lungs. Exposure risk may be influenced by the packaging of pesticide products as well. If you open a pesticide bag, for instance, you can be exposing yourself to the active chemical, depending on the package and its composition. Another factor that could influence the likelihood of splashing and spilling is the size of the container. In addition, pesticide formulations often include adjuvant chemicals that aim to improve the biological activity of the primary component and the exact molecular target of that component. These chemicals also help with application and reaching target species, but they can also be toxic on their own, adding to the overall exposure effect. Product chemical volatility, human sweat rate, and PPE usage are all susceptible to environmental factors including air temperature and humidity while the product is being applied. Spray drift and the applicator's exposure are both amplified by wind. A higher wind speed often results in more drift because an increase in wind velocity increases both the quantity of pesticide the area that the pesticide misses and the distance it travels travels. The spray droplets will evaporate between the target and the nozzle at a faster rate under conditions of low relative humidity and high temperature compared to extremes of the opposite. There is a strong correlation between the general hygiene practices of workers and the amount of pesticide exposure. Those working in windy situations might lessen their exposure by, for instance, not mixing or spraying. An key habit related with lower chemical exposures is the proper use and maintenance of protective equipment. Furthermore, exposure is affected by the length and frequency of pesticide handling, both seasonally and throughout one's lifetime. When compared to professional applicators, who often apply compared to a farmer who uses pesticides annually, the exposure of a farmer who uses pesticides for many weeks at a time is lower.

The majority of people get their daily dose of pesticides from the food and water they consume, but those who work in pesticide-using industries or who live in close proximity to such facilities might also be at high risk. Low-dose, long-term One prevalent non-occupational health risk is being exposed to pesticide traces in water, food, and air. Doses used in animal experiments are significantly higher than those permitted by law. required limits, and there is no proof that certain pesticides cause health problems in humans. Thus, it seems that there is no danger to human health from these investigations. Acute exposure may be more than expected owing to factors such as personal dietary preferences, differences in residue levels across different foods, and eating more of a given meal at once than usual. People can be exposed to pesticides in two ways: first, while the pesticides are being prepared and applied; second, after the applications are finished; and third, through delayed exposure, which can happen when people breathe in dust, residual air concentrations, surfaces, apparel, bedding, food, discarded pesticide containers, or tools used for application. A further risk associated with pesticide usage in the home or garden is the potential for unintentional poisoning. If you don't read the pesticide label before use, you increase your risk of exposure via spillage, incorrect application, or inadequate storage. Possible exposure sources include pesticides that have been mishandled, such as when they are transferred from their original packaging into domestic containers or when the label instructions are not followed.

PESTICIDE AND HUMAN HEALTH

Because different pesticides have different exposure durations and intensities, different pesticides have different toxicity levels, different pesticide Due to the usage of combinations or cocktails and the fact that various agricultural locations have distinct geographic and climatic features, it is challenging to do a risk evaluation of the health effects of pesticides. Field mixologists, pesticide sprayers, and nearby residents are the primary subjects of these variations. High exposure to Compared to low-toxicity pesticides, moderate-toxic ones are more likely to be harmful to humans' exposure to a highly toxic one, given that the danger to humans is proportional to the product of the toxicity of the pesticide and the amount of time someone spends in contact with it. Many scientists are the question of whether Human health is at risk from pesticide residues in food and water remains contentious.

Permission to sell pesticides in Europe now requires details on the possible harmful impacts on health of the active components, notwithstanding the challenges in quantifying such risks. These details are typically gleaned from a battery of tests that concentrate on things like metabolic types, irritancy trials, carcinogenicity, genotoxicity, teratogenicity, patterns, chronic toxicity, generation studies, sub-chronic or sub-acute toxicity, and sometimes the use of dogs or rabbits as test subjects. The respective toxicity tests for human health risk assessments required by EPA are (1) the acute toxicity test, which assesses the effects of short-term exposure to a single dose of pesticide (oral, dermal, and inhalation exposure, eye irritation, skin irritation, skin sensitization, neurotoxicity), (2) the sub-chronic toxicity test, which assesses the effects of intermediate repeated exposure (oral, dermal, inhalation, nerve system damage) over (3) the chronic toxicity test, which evaluates the effects of repeated exposure over an extended period of time (30–90 days) and is designed to ascertain the effects of a pesticide product after repeated and prolonged exposures (e.g., chronic non-cancer and cancer effects); (4) the developmental and reproductive tests, which evaluate any potential effects in the foetus of an exposed pregnant female (i.e., birth defects); and (5) how pesticide exposure may affect a test animal's capacity to reproduce successfully, (5) the test for mutagenicity, which shows if a pesticide may change the genetic material of a cell; and (6) the test for hormone disruption, which shows whether a pesticide can interfere with the endocrine system, which is involved in regulating almost every aspect of an animal's life, including its development, reproduction, and behavior.

How much pesticide the median lethal dosage (LD50) is the amount needed to kill half of the tested animals, and it can only be found via acute toxicity tests. when given using a certain method of administration. Consider the distinction between an oral and a dermal LD50, which apply when the chemical is ingested and when it is absorbed via the skin, respectively. The acute inhalation lethal concentration (LC50), which is the quantity of pesticide needed to kill half of the test animals after four hours of exposure, is also calculated. When the delivery route is breathed or water is drinking (as opposed to being taken orally, topically, etc.), lethal concentration values are employed. According to Tables 1, 2, and 3, the Environmental Protection Agency and the World Health Organization utilize these endpoints for the toxicity categories of pesticides.

Table 1: What the World Health Organization considers to be the acute toxicity of pesticides (derived from).

Class	Classification	LD_{50} for the rat (mg/kg b.w.)			
		Oral		Dermal	
		Solids	Liquids	Solids	Liquids
Ia	Extremely hazardous	<5	<20	<10	<40
Ib	Highly hazardous	5–50	20–200	10–100	40–400
II	Moderately hazardous	50–500	200–2,000	100–1,000	400–4,000
III	Slightly hazardous	>501	>2,001	>1,001	>4,001
U	Unlike to present acute hazard	>2,000	>3,000	–	–

Table 2: Chemicals' acute toxicity as categorized by the EPA (derived from).

Class	Signal words	Acute toxicity to rat		
		Oral LD_{50} (mg/kg)	Dermal LD_{50} (mg/kg)	Inhalation LC_{50} (mg/L)
I	DANGER	<50	<200	<0.2
II	WARNING	50–500	200–2,000	0.2–2.0
III	CAUTION	500–5000	2,000–20,000	2.0–20
IV	CAUTION (optional)	>5,000	>20,000	>20

Table 3: The EPA categorizes pesticides based on their acute toxicity, which manifests as skin and ocular damage (taken from).

Class	Signal words	Acute toxicity to rat	
		Eye effects	Skin effects
I	DANGER	Corneal opacity not reversible within 7 days	Corrosive
II	WARNING	Irritation persisting for 7 days	Severe irritation at 72 hours
III	CAUTION	Irritation reversible within 7 days	Moderate irritation at 72 hours
IV	CAUTION (optional)	No irritation	Mild or slight irritation at 72 hours

Because pesticides may reach the circulation more quickly via the stomach rather than the skin, the LD50 in the mouth is often lower than the LD50 on the skin. Keep in mind that the global health organization's LD50 figures are for the active ingredient and that you'll have to tweak them to fit the actual pesticide formulation concentration. This is because the formulation has a significant role in determining the real toxicity of a commercial pesticide. A very poisonous pesticide, for instance, would be far more lethal in an emulsifiable concentrate form compared to a microcapsule solution. This is due to the fact that the emulsifiable concentrate has a much larger concentration of the hazardous active component compared to the microcapsule solution when applied. Furthermore, due to the presence of often harmful chemical solvents, the emulsifiable concentration is more poisonous than solution containing microcapsules. And since solids aren't very good at penetrating skin, liquid formulas are often much more poisonous than their solid counterparts.

Research on the safest pesticide dosage for animals over a long length of time is required to determine the cutoff point at which adverse effects become noticeable. When calculating the ADI for humans, the NOEL stands for No Observed Effect Level, and NOAEL for No Observed Adverse Effect Level. serves as a benchmark. A chemical's ADI is the maximum allowable dose eaten daily without harming someone for the rest of their lives. When determining the appropriate daily intakes of food for people, a safety or uncertainty factor that is one hundred times larger is considered. This accounts for human and animal variations (inter-individual variability) in the testing process.

When individuals consume certain foods, each of which may have varying degrees of pesticide contamination, all at once, the Acute Reference Dose (ARfD) is determined since this consumption is much greater than the ADI. The ARfD value is calculated by taking the lowest NOAEL and adjusting it with a suitable uncertainty factor. The AOEL is a level that is determined by short-term toxicity tests of pesticides taken orally and is intended for use by people who are often exposed to these chemicals in their employment.

The IARC is the world's leading cancer research organization also provides a framework for classifying pesticides, which is often referred to as the IARC class. The level of evidence from human epidemiological research, animal tests, mechanistic data, the classification of a pesticide in this group is decided by this and other pertinent sources. When faced with enough proof that a pesticide causes cancer in people, it is included in this category. On rare occasions, this classification may be given to a pesticide If there is not enough proof of carcinogenicity in humans, yet there is strong proof in exposed persons and enough proof in experimental animals This is the pesticide's carcinogenic effect. Group 1 pesticides are known to cause cancer in humans; group 2A pesticides are likely to cause cancer in humans based on limited evidence of carcinogenicity in humans and sufficient evidence in animals; group 2B pesticides are possibly carcinogenic to humans based on limited evidence in humans Additionally, group 4 pesticides are probably not carcinogenic to people, whereas group 3 pesticides are not considered carcinogens in humans due to insufficient or restricted data in experimental animals. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) uses this categorization scheme. The EPA has established the following carcinogenicity classifications: (1) possibly carcinogenic to humans, (2) very probable to cause human cancer, (3) showing signs of having cancer-causing properties, (4) lacking sufficient data to determine cancer risk, and (5) probably not cancer-causing to humans.

Based on data from the Pesticide Action Network, EPA, IARC, and WHO toxicity characterization studies of 276 active substances available for sale in Europe's legal market have linked 32 fungicides, 25 herbicides, and 24 insecticides to various health effects, including carcinogenicity, disturbance of the endocrine system, impact on fertility and development, short-term poisoning, and more. Specifically, the following pesticides have been classified as carcinogenic: 51 according to the EPA database and 8 according to the IARC database; 24 as per the database maintained by the Pesticide Action Network; twenty-two as per the Pesticide Action Network; and twenty-eight as per the World Health Organization classification as chemicals that cause acute toxicity.

In Europe, 84 out of 276 active chemicals with approval were found to be harmful, with 81 of them being insecticides (karabelas et al., 2008). There were discrepancies in the reported numbers of harmful pesticides between The United Kingdom's Pesticides Safety Directorate and KEMI, the Swedish Chemical Agency. Specifically, KEMI determined that under the 91/414/EEC Directive, which includes 271 active chemicals listed in Annex I and several others with decision pending) that met the new strict EU criteria for active substance approval, out of all the active compounds, only 23 would be kept: Three insecticides, eight herbicides, eleven fungicides, and one plant growth regulator. Among the twenty-three compounds, seven have been shown to be eleven are known to affect the endocrine system, four are long-lasting, and a number of them are carcinogenic, mutagenic, or reproductively harmful. bio-accumulating, or harmful pollutants. Of the 278 active chemicals evaluated, 60 were determined to be harmful by the Pesticides Safety Directorate. This decision was based regarding the conditions for approval as stated in the proposal by the Commission and the Environmental, Public Health, and Food Safety Committee of the European Parliament. Importantly, the Pesticides Safety Directorate and KEMI conducted the testing differ in the number of compounds deemed dangerous. While Karabelas et al. categorized 37 substances as toxic, KEMI only categorized 14. Based on these findings, it's evident that different criteria for evaluating pesticides' harmful effects Concerns about human health have prompted varying assessments of pesticides with European approval status, which in turn has the potential to affect future decisions on future compounds.

The results presented above should be viewed with great care by those responsible for making policy decisions. These findings obtained from toxicological tests carried out on rabbits, dogs, and rats rather than human cause-and-control research. In certain instances, they were derived from epidemiological studies that examined the health effects of low-concentration pesticide exposure over extended periods of time, it comes with a lot of unknowns when trying to estimate the relevant human exposure pattern. It is crucial to use extreme care when interpreting the findings of epidemiological studies, especially when making judgments on the present state of health, because of the substantial amount of dangerous active chemicals that have been prohibited in Europe in the last nine years (~704). effects of pesticides. Additionally, policymakers should consider the worries voiced by a number of European independent experts on the adverse impacts of the reduced number of pesticides that have been authorized.

PESTICIDE AND THE ENVIRONMENT

In addition to the risks to human health, pesticides are known to pollute water, soil, and air, and may have hazardous effects on creatures that aren't intended targets. In particular, Inappropriate usage of pesticides may have a variety of detrimental effects.

A number of factors, including the physicochemical characteristics of the pesticide (including its stability, vapor pressure, solubility, and pKa), the adsorption capacity and persistence of the soil, the plant species, the climate, and the soil's organic components and inorganic surfaces, as well as soil moisture, microflora, and fauna. Pesticides may have different impacts on different ecosystems depending on factors such as its toxicity, dose, the time it takes for the pesticide to break down in the environment, and the weather conditions that occur immediately after application. Pesticide activity, selectivity, and environmental harm are all affected by soil and weather parameters, which have long been acknowledged as the most significant environmental determinants.

A field investigation on the pesticide's destiny and behavior can only provide data for a single place and season given that these factors vary between locations and years next. In order to begin evaluating a pesticide's behavior and potential environmental impacts, one must first determine its anticipated environmental concentration (PEC), also known as its estimated environmental concentration (EEC) in the US. In order to determine the toxicity of pesticides to important the concentrations of non-target species are calculated for four distinct media: air, soil, water, and sediment. To validate the results, they are compared with data from the three levels of testing that are necessary for approval-registration reasons Figure 4. Another measure used to evaluate the degree of hazard to the organism is the toxicity exposure ratio (TER). Divide the organism's relevant environmental PEC by its sensitivity's LC50 or similar metric (LD50, NOEC = no observable effect concentration) to get its TER. If the TER is less than 100, a thorough higher-level risk assessment (2,3) is usually required; if it is less than 10, It is necessary to do a chronic risk assessment. According to Annex VI of EU Directive 91/414 EEC, no authorization will be given if "under field conditions no unacceptable impact occurs after the use of the product under the proposed conditions of use.", if the TER is less than 5. The risk quotient (TER inversed) may be found in the US by dividing the PEC by the specified toxic dose. That is, it's the proportion of the anticipated exposure level to the predicted no impact concentration.

Table 4: The three-tiered technique for determining the toxicity of pesticides to creatures that aren't intended targets (derived from).

Species	Tier 1 Acute toxicity	Tier 2 Reproduction test	Tier 3 Field test
Birds (bobwhite quail or mallard ducks)	LD_{50} (8–14 days)		Fish life cycle study
Freshwater fish (rainbow trout or minnows)	LC_{50} (96 h)	Effects on spawning	
Aquatic invertebrate (Daphnia, shrimp)	LC_{50} (48 h)	Full life cycle	
Non-target invertebrate (honey bee)	LD_{50} (48 h)	Effects of residues on foliage	Pollination field test
Non-target invertebrate (earthworms)	LC_{50} (14 days)	Effects of residues on foliage	
Aquatic plants (algae)	LC_{50} (96 h)	Plant vigour	
Other beneficial species	LD_{50} (48 h)		

Pesticides eventually end up in water bodies that are near farms, even though the soil is where they mostly land. This problem is why, in order Before pesticides could be commercialized in Europe, European regulators sought proof of the potential harm that pesticides may cause to non-target land and water creatures.

In light of the issues related to pesticide use in agriculture, it is becoming apparent that criteria for selecting these insecticides that are economical, effective, and safe for the environment and operator are required. When direct measuring of pesticide impacts is impossible owing to methodological issues (e.g., the system's complexity) or when time and money are not available, some environmental risk indicators have been used as a substitute. Prior work Pesticide dangers to water pollution Reus et al. and Bock staller et al. have used these markers to evaluate soil organisms (mostly earthworms), bees, air emissions, bioaccumulation, and human health. The environmental variables were measured in every experiment were calculated using the following parameters: pesticide persistence in soil (DT50), soil mobility (Koc), and toxicity to water (LC50) and soil organisms (NOEC). According to the research the following were determined by the research of Reus et al., who used eight environmental factors to analyze fifteen distinct pesticide applications: is how these indicators contribute to pesticide selection: (1) The environmental score varied significantly when considering the 15 pesticide applications, even though some of them had a high ranking across all indicators; (2) The 'kilograms of active component' indication resulted in a rating that was not in line with most of the other risk indications' values. for pesticides; (3) When it came to polluting surface water, groundwater, and soil, all fifteen pesticide applications were in the same league in each region, according to the pesticide risk indicators; and (4) when considering the individual regions, the rankings varied significantly. In the case of the latter, DT50 and Koc had a much larger role in determining scores for groundwater contamination than they did for surface water pollution due to pesticide toxicity to aquatic creatures. It should be noted that two pesticides, despite their very modest application rates, were shown to be hazardous or mobile. We need new, more reliable markers to forecast pesticide risks, according to these findings, so we can help lessen the environmental toll that these chemicals take.

MINIMIZING THE NEGATIVE IMPACT OF PESTICIDES

The level of danger that pesticides cause is still up for debate, but it seems like more and more people are worried about pesticides and how they affect people's health and the environment. Reduced faith on production methods in agriculture and industry, as well as in the authority's laws meant to safeguard human and environmental health is largely to blame for these heightened worries. Consequently, while determining the potential beneficial usage of a pesticide within the boundaries of an acceptable risk, it is necessary to use scientific evidence, regulatory requirements, and professional judgement, keeping in mind that there are various uncertainties in evaluating pesticide safety.

Since producers assume that reducing risk would need either reduced output or greater input due to the replacement of pesticide inputs, the likelihood to lessen the 'kilograms of active component' indication resulted in a rating that was not in line with most of the other risk indications' values. minimal. The agricultural community will bear the expenses of laws that try to reduce the dangers connected with pesticide usage, and this will affect the pricing of agricultural commodities. Paul et al.'s use of a cost-function based production model corroborated this finding, showing that the agriculture sector would face substantial expenses as a result of regulations meant to mitigate pesticide-related environmental risks. A rise in the demand for effective pesticides, relative to agricultural production, drives up their costs, which in turn encourages innovation to improve the quality of pesticides, which drives up their prices.

In Europe adopted a "Thematic Strategy on Sustainable Use of Pesticides" in response to growing environmental and public health concerns about pesticides. Additionally, in an effort to lessen the environmental and health risks associated with farming—which is mostly dependent on pesticide usage for crop protection—agricultural experts began to create alternative crop management techniques. In instance, the Integrated Crop Management (ICM) provides farmer unions with rules to follow in order to ensure the development of environmentally friendly agricultural goods without compromising product safety. Moreover, ICM incorporates procedures for the use of good agricultural practices (GAP), worker and product safety, complete measurement traceability, and targeted environmental preservation efforts. To keep Integrated pest management (ICM) promotes supplementary pest management strategies, such as cultural practices, biological control, and insect and fungal resistance in crops, to keep insect populations below the threshold for economic harm and to lessen the negative consequences of pesticides on other parts of the agro-ecosystem or physical measures. The use of pesticides is strictly regulated via Integrated Pest Management (IPM) programs in ICM. These programs ensure that pesticides are selected according to particular criteria, that they are applied to crops according to precise instructions, and that residue analysis is utilized as a tool for enforcement.

To be considered for integrated pest management (IPM), a pesticide must fulfil the following requirements: (1) it must be biologically effective, meaning it has a low risk of resistance, good plant tolerance, and optimal residual effect; (2) it must be user friendly, meaning it has It should have minimal acute and chronic toxicity, an ideal formulation, secure packaging, a simple application process and long-term stability in storage. 3. It should have a low application rate, limited soil mobility, and minimal toxicity to species that are not its target. 4. It must be lucrative and financially feasible, with a favorable cost/profit ratio for the farmer. It should be competitive, patentable, have a broad variety of applications, be suitable to integrated pest control, and have unique product qualities. The following is the correct method for applying insecticides to crops: (1) if a problem is seen or a preventative measure is taken is needed, apply the recommended dose of pesticide; (2) to save money, adjust the doses according to the density of the pest population; and (3) to reduce the need for pesticides, change the cultivation system so that pests are less likely to be a problem. Environmentally friendly and novel chemicals may be more expensive than old, harmful ones, and the number of active components has nothing to do with environmental activity. That is why you should only use these factors as ballpark figures when estimating the quantity of active ingredient used or the cost of insecticides. Agricultural yields may be stabilized and the chances of crop failure reduced with the use of an integrated pest control system, which also significantly reduces the harmful impacts of pesticides on people and the environment.

CONCLUSION

Environmentally friendly and novel chemicals may be more expensive than old, harmful ones, and the number of active components has nothing to do with environmental activity. That is why you should only use these factors as ballpark figures when estimating the quantity of active ingredient used or the cost of insecticides. Agricultural yields may be stabilized and the chances of crop failure reduced with the use of an integrated pest control system, which also significantly reduces the harmful impacts of pesticides on people and the environment.

References

1. Upadhyay, Ravi. (2016). Botanicals; Its Safe Use in Pest Control and Environmental Management. International Journal of Zoological Investigations. 2. 58-102.
2. Üstüner, Tamer & Kordali, Saban & Usanmaz Bozhüyük, Ayse. (2018). Investigation of Pesticidal Activities of Essential Oil of Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehnh. Records of Natural Products. 12. 10.25135/rnp.64.18.02.088.
3. Santos, Eliza & Bezerra, Fernanda & da Silva, Marcilene & Oliveira Ferreira, Oberdan & Martins, Luiza Helena & Chaves Neto, Antonio & Botelho, Anderson & Kumar, Ravendra & Bargali, Pooja & Vilhena, Karyme & Andrade, Eloisa & Oliveira, Mozaniel. (2024). Exploring the Potential of Myrcia Genus Essential Oils: A Review of Biological Activities and Recent Advances. Molecules. 29. 2720. 10.3390/molecules29122720.
4. Sergeeva, Vera. (2015). Medicinal Plants to Control Diseases and Pests. 10.1007/978-94-017-9810-5_13.
5. Boy, Henry & Rutilla, Alfred & Santos, Kimbberly & Ty, Allister & Yu, Alicia & Mahboob, Tooba & Tangpong, Jitbanjong & Nissapatorn, Veeranoot. (2018). Recommended Medicinal Plants as Source of Natural Products: A Review. Digital Chinese Medicine. 1. 131-142. 10.1016/S2589-3777(19)30018-7.
6. Joseph Shaba, Peter & Oguh, Collins & Ogechi, Okpaka & Ubani, Chibuike & Okekeaji, Uchechukwu & Ugochukwu, Eustace. (2019). Natural Pesticides (Biopesticides) and Uses in Pest Management-A Critical Review. 2. 1-18.
7. Ganguly, Paramita & Chatterjee, Sandip & Kumari, & Pati, Prangyan & Julekha, Kazi. (2024). Pharmacognostical, phytochemical and phytopharmacological activities of Solanum torvum utilized as a traditional medicinal herb: A review. Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 16. 1317 - 1334. 10.31018/jans. v16i3.5799.
8. Baral, Dipti & Chaudhary, Manisha & Lamichhane, Ganga & Pokhrel, Binod. (2022). Ageratum conyzoides: A Potential Source for Medicinal and Agricultural Products. Turkish Journal of Agriculture - Food Science and Technology. 10. 2307-2313. 10.24925/turjaf.v10i12.2307-2313.5146.
9. Sadati, Nargess & Sepanlou, Masoumeh & Ardakani, Mehran. (2019). Ethnobotanical and traditional uses, phytochemical constituents and biological activities of Eryngium species growing in Iran. 4. 148-159. 10.12032/TMR20190412114.
10. Namita, & Srivastava, Nimisha. (2013). Formulation and evaluation of herbal shampoo having antimicrobial potential. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 5. 708-712.

11. Davidović, Vesna & Joksimović-Todorović, Mirjana & Maksimović, Zoran & Hristov, Slavca & Stanković, Branislav & Relić, Renata. (2011). Review of plants used in ethnoveterinary medicine. Macedonian Journal of Animal Science. 1. 377-382. 10.54865/mjas112377d.

12. Xu, J.; Lv, M.; Fang, S.; Wang, Y.; Wen, H.; Zhang, S.; Xu, H. Exploration of Synergistic Pesticidal Activities, Control Effects and Toxicology Study of a Monoterpene Essential Oil with Two Natural Alkaloids. Toxins 2023, 15, 240. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins15040240

13. Khursheed, Aadil & Rather, Manzoor & Jain, Vikrant & Wani, Ab & Rasool, Shahid & Nazir, Rukhsana & Malik, Nisar & Majid, sheikh. (2022). Plant based natural products as potential ecofriendly and safer biopesticides: A comprehensive overview of their advantages over conventional pesticides, limitations and regulatory aspects. Microbial Pathogenesis. 173. 105854. 10.1016/j.micpath.2022.105854.

14. Shameem Al Mamun, Dr. Mohammad & Ahmed, M.. (2011). Prospect of Indigenous Plant Extracts in Tea Pest Management. 1. 10.3329/ijarit.v1i1-2.13924.

15. Meles, Teklay & Prasad, S & Etana, Behailu & Belay, Kinetibeb & Aregai, Tewodros. (2012). Insecticidal And Repellent Properties of Selected Medicinal Plants Collected from Sofoho, Axum, North East Africa. 2278-1145.