Journal of Advances in Science and Technology

Vol. V, Issue No. IX, May-2013, ISSN 2230-9659

A STUDY ON FUNCTIONAL INTEGRATION AND QUANTUM PHYSICS ITS APPLICATION

Journal of Advances in Science and Technology
Vol. V, Issue No. XI, May-2013, ISSN 2230-9659

A Study on Functional Integration and Quantum Physics Its Application

Dr. Jay Prakash Verma[1]* Dr. S. K. Prasad[2]

[1] Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, L. N. Mithila University, Darbhanga

[2] Professor, Department of Mathematics, College of Commerce, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, Patna

Abstract – This analysis of the survey paper by I. M. and A. M. Gel'fand. M. Yaglom was prepared on integration theory and implementations in the practical space in the problems of quantum physics since he thought that such an analysis would be interesting and beneficial for mathematical physicists employed in various fields.

It starts with a description of the Wiener test, after which the complex test proposed by Feynman is broadened to provide descriptions of the application of these measures in quantum mechanics, quantum fields and quantum statistical physics. The article offers explanations of these measures.

Keywords: Function Space, Functional Calculus, Separable Hilbert Space, Feynman Integral

---------------------------------x---------------------------------

INTRODUCATION

In both physics and mathematics, functional integration has shown its use. This utility is supposed to be dramatically improved if the problem can be developed rigorously. This formulation has been established by Cartier and DeWitt-Morette ([1],[2],[3]). However, the scheme is confined to practical integral components over track spaces for physical implementations, i.e. maps defined on single domains. This paper suggests an expansion for functional integrals from Cartier / DeWitt-Morette to field spaces, i.e. maps of d-dimensional domains. Their system makes it nominal and reasonably clear that the planned improvements. The scope of the proposal is restricted to an overview of fields of even parity between Grassmann and its correspondence with quantum field theory. The analysis would be developed and subsequently published on symplectic geometrical situations, fermionic fields, renormalisation, topological problems and external / inner symmetry problems. Note that the extension is a proposal: only a detailed analysis and implementation will determine the correctness / utility of the extension. Details of the proposal could well be needed to be revised.[1]

Functional Integration

Functional integration is a set of mathematical and physical findings in which the scope of an integral is no longer a vacuum, but a field of functions. Probability, the study of partial differential equations, and the path integral solution to the quantum mechanics of particles and fields contribute to practical components.[2]

An incorporated feature (the integration) and an area of space over which a feature (the integration domain) is incorporated (within the context of Lebesgue integration). The integration method includes inserting the integrand values for any aspect in the integration domain. A restricted method where the area of interconnected operations is separated into smaller and smaller regions is important to render this protocol rigorous. The value of the integrand cannot be any different with each small area, so a single value may be substituted. The field of integration is a field of functions in a functional integral. The integrate returns a value to be applied for each function. Strict application of this technique raises problems that are still the subjects of current study.

In a series of experiments culminating in his 1921 paper on the Brownian motion, Percy Jean Daniell established practical integration. They also developed a systematic procedure for assigning likelihood to the random direction of a particle (now known as Wiener). The path integral, useful for the estimation of the quantical properties of the processes, was developed by Richard Feynmann. The classical notion of an only trajectory for a particle in Feynman's path integral is replaced by an infinity of classical lines, each weighed according to its classic characteristics differently.[3]

In quantizing strategies in theoretical physics, functional integration is central. In order to measure properties in quantum electrodynamics and the basic model of partition physicals, the algebraic properties of functional integrals are used.

In theoretical physics, functional formulations arise frequently in a broad spectrum of areas. In the 1914 paper, where he suggested to use functions for the definition of physical systems, he dated from the principle of utilising functional calculus to explain the mechanics of certain particle systems and continuous media according to infinite number of variables. The articles of the Wiener treating Brownian Motion and Feynman on a modern approach to quantum mechanics on the representational issue in quantum field theory have marked the incorporation of functional integration into theoretical physics. Many other applications are collected e.g. in the analysis papers bibliography, however the three classes of papers described above have in common that new forms of integrals are designed by writers to deal with a special physical problem class. The second generations were accompanied by works on rigorization of the newly developed principles, which were positioned in the context of general integration theories, which offered computational laws, generalisations, and so on. Much appeared in mathematical newspapers. My speech is intended to provide a compilation of this second generation of mathematical findings. In time, it is almost difficult to prove all but samples of questions, theorems and computing devices that one experiences with practical integration theories, such that you get to grasp what all this entails, about what is nice and where mathematical details can be obtained.[4]

In this segment the scheme Cartier / DeWitt-Morette for practical integration in point-path spaces is briefly reviewed; $\mathrm{p} : (\mathbb{T}, \mathrm{t}_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}, \mathrm{m}_0)$ where $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and M is a distinctive diversity. We then propose to expand their wording to cover land areas $\mathrm{f} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{M} \text{ where } \mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$.[5]

Integrals over function spaces

As limit for N=∞ component of an N-tuple integral, Wiener and Feynman proposed path integrals. Feynman points out that N-tuple component are a harsh way at all to describe route components. In reality, there are several drawbacks:

- How does one choose the short time probability amplitude $(q'_{t+\delta t}|q'_t)$ and the undefined normalization constant?
- How is the N-tuple integral calculated?
- How do you know if N = ∞ has a single limit?[6]

The solution is to delete N-tuples integration elements and define the spaces for practical integral integration. Promeasures theory, together with Schwarz distributions, offers a realistic approach for integrating in functional spaces (project measurements on topological vector spaces locally convex, but not usually locally compact). The step from promises to distributions (first implemented as pseudomatics) is simple. There is an upgraded edition. It has been used for non-trivial example computation as well as the explicit cross section of Schwarzschild black holes for glory spreading of waves in its original shape.[7]

Some basic notions

In the years 1890, VOLTERRA started to establish the functional philosophy, which he defined as 'line function' or functions according to other functions. We discriminate between the notions of feature and functionality by the spaces in which they are mapped. All mappings f of a finite-dimensional dot space such as the R_n space of actual n-tables into a space of numbers, vectors, operators etc would be labeled scalars, vectors, operators, etc.

$$f: \quad (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \rightarrow f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

Whereas we say functional mapping F, which has a certain meaning domain, say[8]

$$F: \quad x(t) \rightarrow F[x]$$

Where F can again be a scalar, variable, operator or whatever you like. The key distinction is that, for the dimension of point x, a set of indices is no longer finite, like 1. "N but endless"

$$a \leqslant t \leqslant b$$

Therefore, in the functional calculus, we have limitless numbers. The continuum dimensions of all functions of the real variable t must be set to {x(t)}: {x(t) must be defined for all true t functions. Only x(t) must be understood to be known everywhere for every rational T, but the spectrum of continuation functions is suitable. Another example of the second class is the (separable) Hilbert space L2, with square incorporated functions, any component is provided in terms of an accounting orthonormal collection via its extension $\varphi_n(t)$, i.e. by the countable set of Xn in

$$x(t) = \sum x_n \varphi_n(t)$$

Any notions, for example partial distinction, can be conveyed more or less explicitly via the end dimension case[9]

Dr. Jay Prakash Verma[1]* Dr. S. K. Prasad[2]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\nu} \rightarrow \frac{\delta}{\delta x(t)}$$

Examples

In truth, most functional components are infinite, yet the quotient limit of two associated functional components will still be finite. The functional integrals which can be precisely calculated typically begin with the following Gaussian integral

$$\frac{\int e^{i\int -\frac{1}{2}f(x)\cdot K(x,y)\cdot f(y)\,dx\,dy+\int J(x)\cdot f(x)\,dx}[Df]}{\int e^{i\int -\frac{1}{2}f(x)\cdot K(x,y)\cdot f(y)\,dx\,dy}[Df]} = e^{i\frac{1}{2}\int J(x)\cdot K^{-1}(x,y)\cdot J(y)\,dx\,dy},$$

By differing this functionally from J(x) and setting 0 it becomes an exponential compounded by a polynomial in f. For example, setting $K(x,y) = \Box\delta(x-y)$, we find

$$\frac{\int f(a)f(b)e^{i\int f(x)\Box f(x)\,dx^4}[Df]}{\int e^{i\int f(x)\Box f(x)\,dx^4}[Df]} = K^{-1}(a,b) = \frac{1}{|a-b|^2},$$

Where a, b and x are vectors in 4 dimensions. This is based on the method for the distribution of a quantum electrodynamic photon. The logical delta function is another valuable part[10]

$$\int e^{i\int f(x)g(x)\,dx}[Df] = \delta[g] = \prod_x \delta\big(g(x)\big),$$

This is beneficial in assessing limits. Grassmann-assessed roles can also be used to have practical integrals $\psi(x)$, where $\psi(x)\psi(y) = -\psi(y)\psi(x)$, useful for simulations involving fermions in quantum electrodynamics.

Approaches to path integrals

There are several ways of describing the practical integrals where the integration space is made of paths (v = 1). Definitions fell in two classes: constructions arising from the principle of Wiener have an intrinsic measurement basis, whereas constructions that adopt Feynman's trajectory are not incorporated. Also in these two large categories, the integrals are not the same, that is, for separate function groups they are described differently.

The Wiener integral

A class of Brownian movement paths in the Wiener integral is given a probability. The class contains pathways W, which are considered at some periods to travel across a small area of room. The movement through various spatial regions is believed to be independent and the gaussian-distributed interval between any two points in the Brownian way is expected to be dependent on the times t and the diffusion constant D.:

$$\Pr\big(w(s+t), t \mid w(s), s\big) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi Dt}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|w(s+t)-w(s)\|^2}{2Dt}\right)$$

The likelihood for the route class can be defined by calculating the odds of being in one area and then being in another. Taking into account the limit of several small areas, the Wiener measure can be established.

The Feynman integral

- The Cartier DeWitt-Morette relies on integrators rather than measures
- The Kac idea of Wick rotations.
- Trotter formula, or Lie product formula
- Using x-dot-dot-squared or i S[x] + x-dot-squared.

The Lévy integral

- Fractional statistical mechanics
- Fractional Schrödinger equation
- Fractional quantum mechanics
- Lévy process

QUANTUM PHYSICS ITS APPLICATION

In the area of analogue and digital applications, quantum modelling becomes a central part of nano-electronics science. Instruments such as resonant tunnelling or graphic plates demonstrate solid state frameworks of significant significance for high-speed and miniaturised applications in contemporary nanotechnology. Unlike traditional transport, in which electrical currents move in a single band, this modern solid state system provides remarkable characteristics, which are able to achieve sharp communication between states belonging to the numerous bands. In such cases, a major contribution to interband tunnelling particle transport may be found and the single band transport and the classical definition of phase-space charge motion based on Boltzmann's equation are consequently no longer precise. For the complete quantum explanation of the electron transport, various methods have been suggested, including the interband processes. The quantum mechanics phase-space formulation among them provides a context in which a classical vocabulary is used to explain the quantum phenomenon, with clear investigation of the topic of quantum-classical correspondence. The quantity mechanical motion visual ization of phase-plane pathways is, in fact, a precious method for the analysis of the quantum coherence of particles and particles. However, since the quantum mechanical operators do not commute the system, a quantum system cannot be represented with a phase-space distribution function in a special manner. The Wigner function, the Glauber-Sudarshan P and Q functions, the Kirkwood and the Husimi distribution have all achieved a major interest among all potential meanings of phase-space distribution functions. In quantum optics and in the field of solid state physics, the Glauber-Sudarshan distribution function was especially useful and Wigner formalism is a natural choice to provide quantum corrections in traditional phase-space motions. This article intends to demonstrate numerous ways to model the quantum transport of the nano-structures focused on or, more broadly, on the formalism of the quantum phase space. We would concentrate our discussions on extending the Weyl quantization method to different topics. In specific, we illustrate the presence and implementation of a very generic multi-band formality in a variety of situations. We expand the original Wigner definition by taking a larger class of representations in keeping with the Schrödinger representation where a physical structure can be characterised by a series of projectors. The applications of this formalism cover many topics: multi-band transport and its usage in nano-equipment, quasi-classical approximations of movement and the device characterization in the Berry phases, or more broadly, the description of a quantum system with an appropriate relation using Riemann manifold. The key lines for the derivation of the models and their implementation are discussed in these contexts. The approaches used to estimate the solution are to be presented with special importance. Such is an exceptionally significant feature of the theory, but sometimes underestimated: in the quantum phase-space the device definition typically requires an incredibly complicated mathematical formula, and only through numerical approximations is the solution to the equation of travel. Moreover, in some situations the approximation of the quantum-phase-space solution is not only a mathematical trick to demonstrate the solution but may also prove to be useful for an additional methodological analysis of the system's properties. In the case of multi-band applications, some asymptotic methods for approximating the quantum Wigner solution have shown a very enticing relation with the Dyson principle of the interaction between particles. In addition, the systematic relation between the systematic Wigner and conventional Boltzmann method implies several clear and general approximations in which the dynamics of dispersion and relaxation can be introduced into the mechanical quantum system.

CONCLUSION

Quantum mechanics in Copenhagen can be defined as falsified on the basis of both logical and experimental contradictions (light transfer through a triple polariser). It can be used not only in quantum mechanics but in classical physics; Schrödinger equation itself (or secret variable theory) may be used to apply the whole matter truth (microscopic as well as macroscopic). Always classical properties are obtained by the fundamental solutions of Schrodinger Equation (caracterized by a Hamiltonian function).

REFERENCES

[1] N.Bohr: (1928) The quantum postulate and the development of atomic theory; Nature 121, pp. 580-90.

[2] A.Einstein, B.Podolsky, N. Rosen (1935): Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete?; Phys. Rev. 47, pp. 777-80.

[3] N.Bohr: (1935) Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete?; Phys. Rev. 48, pp. 696-702.

[4] R.G.Newton: (2009) How physics confronts reality: Einstein was correct but Bohr won the game; World Scientific.

[5] D.Bohm: (1952) A suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of "hidden variables"; Phys. Rev. 85, pp. 180-93.

[6] J.S.Bell: (1964) On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox; Physics 1, pp. 195-200.

[7] A.Aspect, P.Grangier, G.Roger (1982): Experimental realization of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-Bohm Gedankenexperiment: A new violation of Bell's inequalities; Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 91-4.

[8] M.V.Lokají˘cek: (2010) Einstein-Bohr controversy and theory of hidden variables; NeuroQuantology (section: Basics of Quantum Physics) 8, issue 4, pp. 638-45; see also /arXiv: 1004.3005[quant-ph].

[9] M.V.Lokají˘cek (2009): Quantum theory without logical paradoxes; Concepts of Physics 6, No.4, pp. 581-604; see also /arxiv: 09050140[quant-ph].

[10] M.V.Lokají˘cek (2007): Physical theory of the twentieth century and contemporary philosophy; Concepts of Physics 4, no.2, pp. 317-39; arXiv:quant-ph/0611069.

Corresponding Author

Dr. Jay Prakash Verma*

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, L. N. Mithila University, Darbhanga