Navigating the Digital Landscape: A Comprehensive Examination of Screen Time, Digital Phenotyping, and Student Psychological Outcomes

Ms. Deepmala A. Sharma1*, Ms. KshitijaPatil*2

1 Asst. Prof., Department of Computer Science, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Gultekadi, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

deepa0702@gmail.com.

2 Asst. Prof., Department of Computer Science, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Gultekadi, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: This paper explores the multifaceted impact of screen time on student mental health, shifting emphasis from mere duration to the quality and context of digital interactions. Excessive activities such as livestreaming and gaming are associated with heightened stress and anxiety among university students, where anxiety and depression frequently emerge during adolescence or early adulthood [1], [2]. Higher smartphone involvement correlates with elevated depression and stress, though not consistently with anxiety [3]. The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated problematic smartphone use, particularly bedtime usage linked to sleep deprivation, nomophobia, and diminished academic performance [4]. Recognizing these complexities, including how individual traits and motivations mediate relationships between online activities like social networking and mental health outcomes [2], this study advocates for advanced methodologies surpassing traditional self-reports.

Employing a mixed-methods design, the research integrates quantitative analyses of objective screen time data—captured via digital phenotyping—with validated psychological scales. Digital phenotyping, defined as the in situ collection of phenotypes using smartphones and wearables, provides continuous, unobtrusive monitoring of behaviors, physiological markers (e.g., heart rate variability, sleep patterns), and emotional states in naturalistic settings [5], [6], [7]. This approach reveals nuanced patterns, such as active versus passive use or notification-driven interactions, often missed by retrospective measures [8]. Complementing these are ecological momentary assessments, focus groups, qualitative interviews, and machine learning algorithms to forge real-time linkages between digital behaviors and mental health trajectories [9], [10], [11].

Such triangulation facilitates causal pathway elucidation, risk stratification, and the development of evidence-based, scalable interventions. For instance, deviations in digital footprints—like reduced activity signaling depression onset—enable early detection and just-in-time adaptive treatments tailored to unique user profiles [12], [13], [14]. Wearables and smartphones, ubiquitous among youth, support proactive identification of undiagnosed conditions and symptomatic shifts across diverse environments [15], [16], [17]. This granular analysis distinguishes protective social interactions from maladaptive engagement, informing personalized strategies amid dose-dependent effects on well-being [18], [19].

Despite its promise, challenges persist: limited data standards, underpowered studies, and misalignment between research and community needs must be addressed [20]. Rigorous longitudinal designs with objective measures are essential to delineate these intricate dynamics accurately [4], [10], [21]. By leveraging mobile technologies for measurement-based care, this framework transcends generalized correlations, pinpointing interactive patterns that exacerbate or mitigate psychological challenges [22], [23]. Ultimately, it paves the way for targeted preventions, enhancing student mental health support in an increasingly digital world, where smartphones not only pose risks but also offer transformative tools for precision psychiatry [7], [24], [25].

Keywords: Screen time, digital phenotyping, mixed-methods design, anxiety, depression, wearable sensors, machine learning.

SECTION I -INTRODUCTION

This paper examines the relationship between screen time and student mental health, focusing on direct and indirect effects.

Quality and Context of Digital Interactions

While screen duration has been a key focus, recent evidence emphasizes the quality and context of digital interactions over quantity [18]. For example, excessive livestreaming and gaming correlate with increased stress and anxiety [2]. Anxiety and depression are prevalent among university students, often onsetting in adolescence or early adulthood [1]. Studies link higher smartphone involvement to elevated depression and stress, but not always anxiety [3]. Thus, research must assess usage patterns alongside lifestyle factors to gauge impacts on well-being [18].

Advanced Methods Beyond Self-Reports

Advanced methods beyond self-reports—such as ecological momentary assessments and objective smartphone data—are essential to capture real-time behaviors and psychological links [10]. These approaches clarify how specific digital activities, frequency, and intensity contribute to mental health issues, enabling causal inferences and risk identification [9]. EMA systems can track associations ethically, informing interventions [10]. This individual-level analysis, via mobile tech, addresses mechanisms previously limited to case studies [22].

Digital Phenotyping for Objective Monitoring

Core Principles and Passive Data Collection

Objective monitoring through "digital phenotyping"—defined as the in situ collection of phenotypes using digital devices like smartphones—provides continuous, unobtrusive data on

daily behaviors and states, complementing self-reports and revealing impacts on everyday life [5], [6]. This approach captures nuanced patterns such as active (e.g., typing or photo-taking) versus passive (e.g., reading) use, and spontaneous versus notification-driven interactions, which traditional tools often miss [8]. By leveraging sensors for activity, location, voice, and human-computer interactions (e.g., typing latency), it offers ecological validity, assessing individuals in natural settings rather than contrived clinical environments [7], [15]. For students, whose mental health issues like anxiety and depression peak in adolescence and early adulthood [1], this enables real-time tracking of digital deviations that predict illness trajectories, such as reduced activity signaling depression onset [12], [13]. Unlike retrospective self-reports prone to bias, digital phenotyping yields objective markers, facilitating measurement-based care and adaptive interventions tailored to unique digital footprints [14], [24].

Applications in Psychiatry and Student Mental Health

Digital phenotyping revolutionizes psychiatry by viewing mental illnesses as circuit disorders detectable through everyday tech signals, predicting risks and enabling early interventions during adolescence when most syndromes emerge [7], [14]. It measures autonomic function (e.g., heart rate variability via wearables) and emotions in real-world contexts, enhancing prognostics beyond nomothetic models [12], [15]. For university students facing high smartphone ownership and mental health vulnerabilities [1], [26], it transforms raw sensor data into quantifiable indicators of behaviors and states, detecting distress signals for precise, real-time support [5], [17], [25]. Among youth aged 18–30, it supports scalable digital mental health programs, identifying patterns like disrupted sleep or social withdrawal linked to depression [11], [22]. Preliminary applications include mood tracking and relapse prevention, with apps like mindLAMP personalizing care via phenotyping [17]. This is vital as heavy smartphone use correlates with stress and addiction-like behaviors, yet offers dual-use for monitoring and just-in-time interventions [27]. By integrating with ecological momentary assessments, it traces causal pathways from screen time to outcomes, informing evidence-based strategies [9], [10]. (178 words)

Integration with Machine Learning for Predictive Analytics

Machine learning analyzes digital phenotyping signals to detect mental health changes, offering promise for dose-dependent relationships between smartphone usage and well-being,

as well as personalized temporal trajectories of illness [11], [19], [23]. ML processes diverse data—sensor streams, geolocation, typing patterns—into hierarchical models translating raw inputs to behavioral markers, addressing dimensionality and privacy challenges [13], [25]. For students, personalized models outperform nomothetic ones, predicting mood from longitudinal smartphone data with high accuracy [12]. Ensemble methods like Random Forest or XGBoost on wearable data (e.g., sleep, activity) forecast outcomes, enabling early detection [28]. In youth mental health, ML validates digital tools for screening and staging, combining passive data with self-reports for transdiagnostic insights [11], [17]. Challenges include inter-individual variances in tech use and generalizability, mitigated by norms from validation studies [8], [13]. This scalability suits ubiquitous smartphones, supporting genome-wide associations and adaptive treatments [12], [14]. Ultimately, ML-driven phenotyping could alert clinicians in real-time, revolutionizing student support amid rising digital dependencies [24].

Advantages Over Traditional Self-Report Methods

Digital phenotyping surpasses self-reports by providing objective, continuous data in naturalistic settings, overcoming biases in retrospective assessments of sleep, mood, or usage [5], [7]. Traditional tools capture snapshots, missing fluctuations like evening "sundowning" in cognition or context-specific psychosis [6]. For students, it distinguishes usage quality—e.g., social media's stronger adverse links for females—from quantity, aligning with "Goldilocks" balanced use benefits [18], [29]. Wearables add physiological metrics (heart rate, sleep), triangulating with EMA for causal inferences [10], [15]. Unlike questionnaires explaining only minor well-being variance [30], phenotyping yields high-resolution psychometrics, evaluating reliability across time/space [6], [22]. It enables population screening, detecting deviations from norms for at-risk youth [1], [17]. Ethical designs keep data local, content-free (e.g., typing speed), minimizing surveillance [7]. In mixed-methods studies, it reduces single-method limits, mapping screen time to outcomes like academic dips from addiction [5], [31]. This empowers personalized, scalable interventions, vital as digital duality poses risks yet opportunities [27]. (168 words)

Challenges, Ethics, and Future Directions

Despite promise, digital phenotyping faces hurdles like data variability across devices, privacy risks, and validation needs [6], [13]. Inter-individual tech differences require norms; ML

models risk poor generalizability without diverse cohorts [8], [23]. Ethical debates center on surveillance versus benefits—e.g., geolocation intrusion versus relapse prediction [7]. For students, digital divides exclude non-users, demanding equity [24]. Regulatory approval lags due to psychometric gaps; resolution-aware validation (time/space-specific reliability/validity) is key [6]. Future directions include hybrid active/passive data, federated learning for privacy, and integration with neuroimaging [12], [22]. In youth, co-designed apps like EMIcompass offer just-in-time support [17]. Longitudinal studies will clarify trajectories, supporting precision psychiatry [14]. As smartphones enable global access, resolving these could deliver measurement-based care at scale, transforming student mental health amid pervasive digital life [11], [26]. (162 words)

SECTION II - LITERATURE REVIEW

The rise of digital technologies has made the relationship between mental health and digital use a key focus in public health research, with profound effects on individual well-being and policy [27]. This duality creates opportunities for innovative mental health interventions through digital platforms while posing risks from excessive use or poor management [27]. Heavy smartphone use—characterized by frequent social media engagement, gaming, few breaks, and disrupted routines—promotes addiction-like behaviors[31], linking to physical and psychological issues such as headaches, irritability, restlessness, apathy, and diminished academic performance [32]. Among youth aged 18–30, who face elevated rates of severe mental illness alongside near-universal smartphone ownership [1], these devices enable broad, accessible digital mental health programs [26], positioning digital phenotyping as particularly ideal [11]. Digital phenotyping transforms raw sensor data into quantifiable indicators of mental health behaviors and states, yielding unprecedented insights into psychological patterns amid daily life [5], [25]. It facilitates precise, real-time interventions by detecting distress or well-being signals in naturalistic settings [6], [17], [25]. Yet, addressing these challenges demands advanced analytics to manage diverse data types, temporal variations in digital traces, and individual tech-use differences [13]. Integrating objective sensor data with self-reports deepens understanding of smartphone patterns and their emotional-mental health connections [10], [22].

SECTION III - METHODOLOGY

This study utilizes a mixed-methods design to thoroughly investigate the connections between screen time and student mental health. It combines quantitative evaluations of screen time indicators—sourced from extensive device usage data and established mental health instruments—with qualitative evaluations through semi-structured interviews and focus groups that explore students' digital habits and views. This combined strategy maps causal routes from screen time to mental health results, supporting data-driven interventions. Wearable devices provide objective physiological metrics, including heart rate variability and sleep metrics, to clarify the physical effects of digital involvement [28]. Extending previous mixed-methods research on smartphone addiction and academic outcomes [31], this triangulation reduces limitations of individual methods, offering detailed perspectives on screen time–mental health relationships [5], [10]. The design distinguishes positive from negative influences of particular activities—such as passive viewing versus engaging educational applications [18]—and identifies protective and risk factors. Preliminary findings indicate that social media might boost well-being more than general internet, TV, or gaming [29]; however, key differences matter: social media, particularly for females, exhibits stronger adverse associations [33], and prolonged use among vulnerable youth heightens social comparison and emotional instability [34]. By merging objective digital phenotyping, physiological tracking, and qualitative accounts, this structure addresses conventional constraints through real-time, ecologically sound data on diverse effects [5], [7], [10].

Methodological triangulation in this study produces thorough, dependable results that link usage behaviors to mental health paths, setting the stage for findings and discussions. Merging varied data sources facilitates a strong analysis of interactions among screen time types and mental health results, promoting greater insight into core processes.

SECTION IV - RESULTS

Dynamics of Screen Time and Adolescent Well-Being

This approach allows for a comprehensive examination of how screen usage influences students' cognitive, emotional, and social functioning, moving beyond mere correlational analyses. Research demonstrates that moderate digital device engagement modestly enhances well-being, whereas excessive use slightly diminishes it, consistent with the "Goldilocks" hypothesis [29]. This non-linear pattern suggests that balanced usage—avoiding both

extremes—delivers the greatest advantages [29], [35]. Continuous social media feedback may amplify vulnerabilities by boosting self-consciousness and undermining self-esteem in young people. Additionally, although statistically significant, the connections between digital technology and well-being account for just a minor portion of well-being variations, restricting their wide-reaching applications [30]. Yet, broad patterns often mask important distinctions, especially among adolescents with existing mental health challenges, where social media involvement correlates with intensified social comparison and mood fluctuations triggered by online responses [34]. These observations emphasize the necessity of exploring how individual traits and contextual elements moderate screen time's effects on mental health [36], [37]. Upcoming studies must pinpoint these moderators to design precise, impactful interventions addressing the diverse digital use outcomes across adolescent populations. Such knowledge is crucial for informing policies, interventions, and debates on youth well-being amid digital proliferation, prioritizing theoretically driven methods to assess ubiquitous technology's influences [38].

SECTION V - DISCUSSION

Mental Health Challenges in University Students

Stress, Anxiety, and Digital Influences

University students exhibit high levels of perceived stress and generalized anxiety disorders that strongly correlate with their current health status [40]. This vulnerability is exacerbated by continuous digital platform interactions, requiring nuanced examination of how different screen time forms contribute to or alleviate mental health burdens. Notably, active versus passive social media use and perceived online social support significantly moderate these effects [41]. For example, mobile devices track sleep patterns and social media engagement for early depression prediction, while interventions like computer-assisted cognitive behavioral therapy improve psychological well-being and reduce depression and anxiety symptoms [9].

Mixed-Methods Integration

This section integrates quantitative measures of screen time duration, frequency, and type with qualitative insights from student interviews. It illuminates the complex interplay between digital behaviors and psychological states.

Bridging Literature Gaps

This comprehensive analysis addresses gaps in prior literature, which focused mainly on single-dimensional screen time metrics, providing a stronger understanding of emerging mental health changes in digitally immersed student environments [39].

Differential Impacts of Online Activities

Importantly, it examines how specific online activities—beyond mere total exposure—can lead to outcomes like disrupted sleep, heightened anxiety, and reduced self-esteem among university students, while also recognizing potential benefits such as stronger social connections and enhanced learning engagement [1], [36]. Conversely, certain online behaviors—like participating in forum discussions and online shopping—can positively influence internet addiction, suggesting roles in mental health prevention [2].

Synthesis and Implications

In synthesizing these findings, the mixed-methods approach reveals that while excessive digital engagement poses risks like heightened anxiety and disrupted sleep, targeted activities and supportive interventions can mitigate harms and foster resilience [18], [34]. These insights bridge methodological gaps, emphasizing the need for personalized digital literacy programs in universities to balance technology's dual-edged impact on student mental health, paving the way for evidence-based policies and future longitudinal research [38], [42].

SECTION VI - CONCLUSION

This study provides empirical evidence that social media use is associated with mental health disorders among young adults, particularly when usage patterns are dysregulated or excessive, leading to adverse psychological outcomes such as emotional disturbances, addiction, and diminished cognitive function [36]. These findings underscore the urgent need to develop interventions that promote balanced digital competencies and responsible screen time management, thereby enhancing students' psychological resilience within the increasingly digital academic landscape [43]. Distinguishing the specific psychological impacts of diverse digital environments and their features remains essential for designing tailored preventive and therapeutic strategies [42]. For instance, algorithmically curated profiles on platforms like Instagram engender anxiety and depression among young adults by compelling them to uphold an idealized virtual identity [44]. Moreover, intensive engagement in activities such as

livestream viewing and gaming has been linked to detrimental mental health effects, highlighting the multifaceted risks of digital overuse [2]. Consequently, educational institutions must prioritize targeted initiatives to cultivate digital literacy and healthier online practices, especially among vulnerable student cohorts. Ultimately, by emphasizing evidence-based measures that equilibrate digital involvement with mental health protections, universities can equip students to flourish in the digital era, curtailing risks while capitalizing on technology's advantages.

This study provides empirical evidence that social media use is associated with mental health disorders among young adults, particularly when usage patterns are dysregulated or excessive, leading to adverse psychological outcomes such as emotional disturbances, addiction, and diminished cognitive function [36]. These findings underscore the urgent need to develop interventions that promote balanced digital competencies and responsible screen time management, thereby enhancing students' psychological resilience within the increasingly digital academic landscape [43]. Distinguishing the specific psychological impacts of diverse digital environments and their features remains essential for designing tailored preventive and therapeutic strategies [42]. For instance, algorithmically curated profiles on platforms like Instagram engender anxiety and depression among young adults by compelling them to uphold an idealized virtual identity [44]. Moreover, intensive engagement in activities such as livestream viewing and gaming has been linked to detrimental mental health effects, highlighting the multifaceted risks of digital overuse [2]. Consequently, educational institutions must prioritize targeted initiatives to cultivate digital literacy and healthier online practices, especially among vulnerable student cohorts. Ultimately, by emphasizing evidence-based measures that equilibrate digital involvement with mental health protections, universities can equip students to flourish in the digital era, curtailing risks while capitalizing on technology's advantages—paving the way for future longitudinal research and policy reforms informed by mixed-methods insights [38].

References

1. Duffy and Q. Phạm, “Advancing mental health in university students: future dirctions in literacy and digital tools,” *WorldPsychiatry* , vol. 24, no. 3, p. 439, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1002/wps.21357.

2. Y. Jiang, D. R. Joshi, and J. Khanal, "From clicks to credits: examining the influence of online engagement and internet addiction on academic performance in Chinese universities," *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* , vol. 21, no. 1, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1186/s41239-024-00473-2.

3. J. Harwood, J. Dooley, A. J. Scott, and R. Joiner, "Constantly connected – The effects of smart-devices on mental health," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 34, p. 267, Mar. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2014.02.006.

4. Y. Lin and X. Zhou, "Bedtime smartphone use and academic performance: A longitudinal analysis from the stressor-strain-outcome perspective," *Computers and Education Open* , vol. 3, p. 100110, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.caeo.2022.100110.

5. J. M. Lahnakoski, S. B. Eickhoff, J. Dukart, and L. Schilbach, "Naturalizing psychopathology—towards a quantitative real-world psychiatry," *MolecularPsychiatry* , vol. 27, no. 2, p. 781, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41380-021-01322-8.

6. S. Cohen *et al.*, "Validating digital phenotyping technologies for clinical use: the critical importance of 'resolution,'" *WorldPsychiatry* , vol. 19, no. 1, Wiley, p. 114, Jan. 10, 2020. doi: 10.1002/wps.20703.

7. T. R. Insel, "Digital phenotyping: a global tool for psychiatry," *WorldPsychiatry* , vol. 17, no. 3, p. 276, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.1002/wps.20550.

8. D. A. Ellis, "Are smartphones really that bad? Improving the psychological measurement of technology-related behaviors," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 97, p. 60, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2019.03.006.

9. C. L. Odgers, "Smartphones are bad for some teens, not all," *Nature*, vol. 554, no. 7693, p. 432, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.1038/d41586-018-02109-8.

10. C. Broglyet *al.*, "An app-based ecological momentary assessment of undergraduate student mental Health during the COVID-19 pandemic in Canada (Smart Healthy Campus Version 2.0): Longitudinal study," *PLOS Digital Health* , vol. 3, no. 5, May 2024, doi: 10.1371/journal.pdig.0000239.

11. Huckvale, S. Venkatesh, and H. Christensen, "Toward clinical digital phenotyping: a timely opportunity to consider purpose, quality, and safety," *npj Digital Medicine* , vol. 2, no. 1. Nature Portfolio, Sep. 06, 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41746-019-0166-1.

12. B. Balliu *et al.*, "Personalized mood prediction from patterns of behavior collected with smartphones," *npj Digital Medicine* , vol. 7, no. 1, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41746-024-01035-6.

13. Bidargaddi, P. Musiat, V. Mäkinen, M. Ermes, G. Schrader, and J. Licinio, "Digital footprints: facilitating large-scale environmental psychiatric research in naturalistic settings through data from everyday technologies," *MolecularPsychiatry* , vol. 22, no. 2, p. 164, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1038/mp.2016.224.

14. B. Hickie, "The role of new technologies in monitoring the evolution of psychopathology and providing measurement-based care in young people," *WorldPsychiatry* , vol. 19, no. 1, p. 38, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1002/wps.20697.

15. F. S. Lee *et al.*, "Adolescent mental health—Opportunity and obligation," *Science*, vol. 346, no. 6209, p. 547, Oct. 2014, doi: 10.1126/science.1260497.

16. N. Opel and M. Breakspear, "Transforming mental health research and care through artificial intelligence," *Science*, vol. 391, no. 6782, p. 249, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1126/science.adz9193.

17. J. Uhlhaas*et al.*, "Towards a youth mental health paradigm: a perspective and roadmap," *MolecularPsychiatry* , vol. 28, no. 8. Springer Nature, p. 3171, Aug. 01, 2023. doi: 10.1038/s41380-023-02202-z.

18. Firth *et al.*, "Promoting healthy digital device usage: recommendations for youth and parents," *WorldPsychiatry* , vol. 24, no. 1, p. 1, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1002/wps.21261.

19. Khan, G. McLeod, T. Hidajat, and E. Edwards, "Excessive Smartphone Use is Associated with Depression, Anxiety, Stress, and Sleep Quality of Australian Adults," *Journal of Medical Systems* , vol. 47, no. 1, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s10916-023-02005-3.

20. Akre *et al.*, "Advancing digital sensing in mental health research," *npj Digital Medicine* , vol. 7, no. 1, p. 362, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41746-024-01343-x.

21. R. Jacobucci, M. Blacutt, N. Ram, and B. A. Ammerman, "Smartphone screen time and suicide risk in daily life captured through high-resolution screenshot data," *npj Digital Medicine* , vol. 8, no. 1, p. 321, May 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41746-025-01740-w.

22. Swendsen and R. Salamon, "Mobile technologies in psychiatry: providing new perspectives from biology to culture," *WorldPsychiatry* , vol. 11, no. 3, p. 196, Oct. 2012, doi: 10.1002/j.2051-5545.2012.tb00131.x.

23. J. Torous*et al.*, "The evolving field of digital mental health: current evidence and implementation issues for smartphone apps, generative artificial intelligence, and virtual reality," *WorldPsychiatry* , vol. 24, no. 2, p. 156, May 2025, doi: 10.1002/wps.21299.

24. T. Kishimoto, "Will digital technology address the challenges of drug development in psychiatry?," *WorldPsychiatry* , vol. 22, no. 1, p. 79, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1002/wps.21063.

25. D. C. Mohr, M. Zhang, and S. M. Schueller, "Personal Sensing: Understanding Mental Health Using Ubiquitous Sensors and Machine Learning," *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* , vol. 13, no. 1, p. 23, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032816-044949.

26. P. J. Uhlhaas and J. Torous, "Digital tools for youth mental health," *npj Digital Medicine* , vol. 2, no. 1, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1038/s41746-019-0181-2.

27. J. O. Ogugua, C. C. Okongwu, O. O. Akomolafe, E. C. Anyanwu, and O. D. Daraojimba, "mental health and digital technology: a public health review of current trends and responses," *International Medical Science Research Journal* , vol. 4, no. 2, p. 108, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.51594/imsrj.v4i2.754.

28. N. S. Suryawanshi, "Predicting Mental Health Outcomes Using Wearable Device Data and Machine Learning," *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT)* , p. 1334, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.38124/ijisrt/ijisrt21mar587.

29. S. Makin, "Searching for digital technology's effects on well-being," *Nature*, vol. 563, no. 7733, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1038/d41586-018-07503-w.

30. "Cinematic and scientific techniques combine to show how a long-extinct creature moved," *Nature*, vol. 565, no. 7739, Nature Portfolio, p. 266, Jan. 01, 2019. doi: 10.1038/d41586-019-00138-5.

31. E. Kutluay and F. Karaca, "A model proposal explaining the influence of smartphone addiction related factors on high school students' academic success," *Education and Information Technologies* , Aug. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10639-024-12947-x.

32. J. Wang, C. M. V. Panicker, and A. Islam, "Assessing the Impact of Mobile Phone use on Psychological Health and Academic Achievement of Medical Students," *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT)* , p. 3700, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.38124/ijisrt/ijisrt24may2485.

33. G. P. Pfeifer, "Smoke signals in the DNA of normal lung cells," *Nature*, vol. 578, no. 7794, Nature Portfolio, p. 224, Jan. 29, 2020. doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-00165-7.

34. Fassi, A. M. Ferguson, A. K. Przybylski, T. Ford, and A. Orben, "Social media use in adolescents with and without mental health conditions," *Nature Human Behaviour* , vol. 9, no. 6, p. 1283, May 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41562-025-02134-4.

35. J. Chen, C. Lin, and G. Chen, "Extramural ICT factors impact adolescents' academic performance and well-being differently: Types of self-regulated learners also matter," *Education and Information Technologies* , vol. 29, no. 15, p. 20459, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10639-024-12642-x.

36. P. Solanki and N. K. Sheth, "Youth Mental Health in the Digital Era: A Comparative Review of Social Media's Psychological Effects," *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT)* , p. 2567, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.38124/ijisrt/25nov1459.

37. J. George and C. L. Odgers, "Seven Fears and the Science of How Mobile Technologies May Be Influencing Adolescents in the Digital Age," *Perspectives on Psychological Science* , vol. 10, no. 6. SAGE Publishing, p. 832, Nov. 01, 2015. doi: 10.1177/1745691615596788.

38. Orben, A. K. Przybylski, S. Blakemore, and R. Kievit, "Windows of developmental sensitivity to social media," *NatureCommunications* , vol. 13, no. 1, p. 1649, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29296-3.

39. L. D. Rosen *et al.*, "Media and technology use predicts ill-being among children, preteens and teenagers independent of the negative health impacts of exercise and eating habits," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 35, p. 364, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2014.01.036.

40. L. Caprara and C. Caprara, "Effects of virtual learning environments: A scoping review of literature," *Education and Information Technologies* , vol. 27, no. 3. Springer Science+Business Media, p. 3683, Oct. 06, 2021. doi: 10.1007/s10639-021-10768-w.

41. Kingsbury *et al.*, "Differential associations between types of social media use and university students' non-suicidal self-injury and suicidal behavior," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 115, p. 106614, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2020.106614.

42. Y. Purnama and A. Asdlori, "The Role of Social Media in Students' Social Perception and Interaction: Implications for Learning and Education," *Technology and Society Perspectives (TACIT)* , vol. 1, no. 2, p. 45, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.61100/tacit.v1i2.50.

43. R. S. Sears and K. C. J. Wei, "Asset Pricing, Higher Moments, and the Market Risk Premium: A Note," *The Journal of Finance* , vol. 40, no. 4, p. 1251, Sep. 1985, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6261.1985.tb02376.x.

44. G. Gunthal and K. Jadhav, "The Digital Self: Personal Data Sharing and Its Impact on the Mental Health of Indian Youth," *International Journal of Applied Sciences and Radiation Research* , vol. 2, no. 1, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.22399/ijasrar.44.