# Study of Ternary Complexes of Bivalent Metal lons with Dipyridyl and β-Diketones

# Mukta Sharma\*

Abstract – Dipyridyl functions as a typical bidentate chelating agent through the N-atoms with the formation of a five-membered ring



#### Figure 1

The metal ion chelates of dipyridyl are formed at low pH values and these remain stable at high pH also. So, dipyridyl has been used as a primary ligand in the formation of mixed ligand complexes. The lowering of the potentiometric curve for the mixed system in comparison to the curve for binary complex of the metal with the primary ligand indicates the formation of a mixed ligand complex.

The mixed ligand complexes of bivalent metal ions using dipyridyl as the primary ligand and  $\beta$ -diketones (p-Bromobenzoylacetone and p-Chlorobenzoylacetone) as the secondary ligands. The stability constants for these systems have been determined potentiometrically. The competition of these ligands in the inner sphere of the bivalent ions has been studied by calculating the reproportionation constants.

## INTRODUCTION

The presence of  $\beta$ -carbonyl group with proton on the carbon atom allows a Keto  $\rightleftharpoons$  Enol tautomerism. By the replacement of the proton by a metal ion  $\beta$ -ketoenolate complexes are obtained.

p-Bromobenzoylactone (p-BBA) and p-Chlorobenzoylacetone have been chosen to study the interaction with metal ions along with dipyridyl.

## EXPERIMENTAL

A digital pH meter, model pH 5651 (EC, India) was used for pH measurements. The solutions of p-BBA and p-BCA were prepared in freshly distilled dioxane. Dipyridyl was (E Merck) was dissolved in doubly distilled water. The solutions of bivalent metal ions were prepared from reagent-grade bottles. Chemically pure sodium perchlorate was used to maintain the ionic strength of the medium Tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) in 75% (v/v) aqueous dioxane was used as titrant

#### pH TITRATION PROCEDURE

The following solutions were titrated potentiometrically against 0.04 M TMAH.

- (i) 3.0 ml HClO<sub>4</sub> (0.02M) +1.0 ml NaClO<sub>4</sub> (2.0 M) + 0.5 ml K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.02 M) + 15 .0 ml dioxan +0.5 ml H<sub>2</sub>O
- (ii) 3.0 ml HClO<sub>4</sub> (0.02M) + 1.0 ml NaClO<sub>4</sub> (2.0 M) + 0.5 ml K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.02 M) + 0.5 ml dipyridyl (0.02 M) + 15.0 ml dioxan.
- (iii) 3.0 ml HClO<sub>4</sub> (0.02 M) + 1.0 ml NaClO<sub>4</sub> (2.0 M) + 0.5 ml K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.02 M) + 0.5 ml sec. ligand (0.02 M) +0.5 ml H<sub>2</sub>O +14.5 ml dioxan.
- (iv) 3.0 ml HClO<sub>4</sub> (0.02 M) + 1.0 ml NaClO<sub>4</sub> (2.0 M) + 0.5 ml dipyridyl (0.02 M) +0.5 ml metal ion (0.02 M) +15 ml dioxan.



Fig. 1: Formation curves for mixed ligand complexes of bivalent metals with dipyridyl and p-bromobenzoyl acetone in 75% aqueoudioxanean at  $\mu = 0.1$  M NaClO<sub>4</sub> and T =  $30^{\circ}$ C.



#### Fig. 2: Formation Curves for mixed ligand complexes of bivalent metals with dipyridyl and p-chlorobenzoyl acetone in 75% aqueous dioxane at $\mu = 0.1$ M NaClO<sub>4</sub> and T = 30°C.

(v) 3.0 ml HClO<sub>4</sub> (0.02 M) + 1.0 ml NaClO<sub>4</sub> (2.0 M) + 0.5 ml dipyridyl (0.02 M) + 0.5 ml metal ion (0.02 M) + 0.5 ml sec ligand (0.02 M) + 14.5 ml dioxan.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The values of stability constants and reproportionation constants are given in table 1. The  $\overline{n}$  values obtained lie below 1.0 in all the cases. Hence only 1:1:1 mixed ligand complex is formed in each system.

The complex formation between metal ions and dipyridyl was found to take place at low pH whereas with secondary ligands it takes place at a high pH range. The titration curves for the solutions (iv) and (v) overlap in the lower pH range, indicating that secondary ligands do not combine with metal ions in this pH range and only complexation with dipyridyl takes place. At high pH values, the titration curve of solution (v) diverges from the solutions (iii) and (iv) shows the coordination of the secondary ligands with [M-dipy]<sup>2+</sup>. The reaction may be represented as

 $M^2 + dipy \rightleftharpoons [M (dipy)]^{2+}$ 

$$\left[\mathsf{M} (\mathsf{dipy})\right]^{2+} + \left[\mathsf{L}\right]^{-} \rightleftharpoons \left[\mathsf{M} (\mathsf{dipy}) (\mathsf{L})\right]^{+}$$

K<sub>M.dipy.L</sub> can be written as

$$\beta_{l,1} = \frac{[M(dipy)(L)]^{+}}{[M(dipy)]^{2+}[L]^{-}}$$

The reactions can be written as



The values of stability constants of the mixed ligand complexes have been calculated and are given in Table 3.

## **Reproportionation constants**

The correlation between the stability constants of the parent complexes and the mixed ligand complex formed with the same ligands is given as

Table 3: Stability constants and reproportionation constant (K<sub>d</sub>) values of mixed ligand complexes of p-chloro-benzoyl acetone (p-CBA) and p-bromobenzoyl acetone (p-BBA) at  $\mu = 0.1$  M NaC1O<sub>4</sub> and T = 30°C

Complex	log K <sub>MAL</sub>	Reproportionation constant, K <sub>d</sub>		
1) Mn(II)-dipy-pBBA	6.5528	0.71		
2) Co(II)-dipy-pBBA	7.1049	0.58		
3) Ni(II)-dipy-p-BBA	7.8762	0.57		
4) Cd(II)-dipy-pBBA	5.8064	0.63		
5) Mn(II)-dipy-pCBA	6.7572	0.72		
6) Co(II)-dipy-pCBA	7.8726	0.63		
7) Ni(II)-dipy-pCBA	8.7657	0.63		
8) Cd(II)-dipy-pCBA	6.9937	0.55		

#### Journal of Advances in Science and Technology Vol. 18, Issue No. 1, March-2021, ISSN 2230-9659

#### Table 4: Stability constants of Binary Complexes of p-CBA, p-BBA and dipyridyl at $\mu$ = 0.1 M NaC10<sub>4</sub> and T = 30°C

Ligand	Mn(II)		Co(II)		Ni(II)		Cd(II)	
	log <sub>β1</sub>	log <sub>B2</sub>	$log\beta_1$	log <sub>β2</sub>	log <sub>β1</sub>	logβ <sub>2</sub>	log <sub>B1</sub>	log <sub>β1</sub>
p-chlorobenzoylacetone	6.89	10.80	6,98	13.41	8.13	14.52	5.63	19.76
p-bromobenzoylacetone	6.73	10.48	6.93	13.13	7.97	14.40	5.92	10.56
dipyridy1*	4.16	8.10	6.06	11.42	6.80	13.26	4.50	8.00

#### Table 5: Change in bond energies of M-A and M-L bonds during mixed ligand complex formation of bivalent metal ions at $\mu = 0.1$ M NaClO<sub>4</sub> and Temp. = 30°C

Scondary ligand	<b>Metal</b> ion	M-dipy bends			M-L bonds		
		F's (parent) Cal mole <sup>-1</sup>	V <sub>A</sub> (mixed) Cal node <sup>-1</sup>	F <sub>A</sub> - F <sup>1</sup> <sub>A</sub> (change in bood chergy) Cal mole <sup>24</sup>	F's (parent) Cal male <sup>-1</sup>	E <sub>4</sub> (mixed) Cal mole <sup>-1</sup>	F <sub>1</sub> - F' <sub>2</sub> (Change in bond energy) Cal mole <sup>-1</sup>
p-chlorohenzoyl acetone	Ci(ll)	3944	2400	-1544	4632	30.56	+1396
	Ma(II)	2798	1391	+1407	3736	3270	- 454
	Calls	2763	2024	- 739	6825	2804	- 4021
	Nidlo	4580	2566	-2014	5015	3485	- 1530
p-bronobauayl acctore	Co(II)	1944	2152	- 1792	4535	2553	-1762
	Ma(III)	2298	1375	- 1423	3630	1151	- 469
	CAID	2763	1513	- 1259	3647	3494	+1153
	NIID	4580	2314	- 2266	4974	3122	-1852

$$\beta_{j,i} = K_d \cdot \beta_{m,o}^{1/m} \cdot \beta_{0,m}^{j/m}$$
(i + j = m)

The value of  $K_d$  = Reproportionation constant. The value of  $K_d$  gives an idea about the compatibility of the two ligands in the coordination sphere of the metal ion. If the  $K_d > 1$  then the ligands are compatible and the mixed ligand complex formed should be more stable than the parent complexes. In the present case,  $K_d$  values are less than one (Table 3) so the mixed ligand complex formed is less stable than the parent complexes. Hence dipyridyl and  $\beta$ -diketones (p-BBA and p-CBA) are non-compatible ligands.

## **Change in Bond Energies**

The strength of the individual M–A and M–L bonds in the complex  $MA_jL_i$  have been calculated from the stability constants of the mixed complex ( $MA_jL_i$ ) and parent complexes ( $MA_m$  and  $ML_m$ ) by using the following relations –

$$F_{A} = \frac{RT}{4j} \ln \beta_{j,i} \frac{\beta_{j,0}}{\beta_{0,i}}$$
$$F_{L} = \frac{RT}{4i} \ln \beta_{j,i} \frac{\beta_{0,i}}{\beta_{j,0}}$$

The strengths of the metal-ligand bonds in the parent complexes  $MA_{\rm m}$  and  $ML_{\rm m}$  have been calculated from

their stability constants by using the following relations:

$$F'_{A} = \frac{RT}{2m} \ln \beta_{m,0}$$
$$F'_{L} = \frac{RT}{2m} \ln \beta_{0,m}$$

The results obtained are given in table 5. From the values of bond energies calculated it is found that the mixed ligand complexes have weaker bonds as compared to the parent complexes hence their stabilities will also be lower.

## REFERENCES

- 1. K. Yamasaki and M. Yasuda (1956), J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78, pp. 1324.
- 2. M. Yasuda, K. Sane and K. Yamasaki (1956), J. Phys. Chem., 60, pp. 1667.
- 3. R. Griesser and H. Sigal (1970), Inorg. Chem., 9, pp. 1238.
- 4. G. Anderegg (1963), Helv. Chim. Acta, 46, pp. 2397.

## **Corresponding Author**

## Mukta Sharma\*