

# Analyze the Cost Effective Engineering System and Life Cycle Cost Analysis

Shubham Sutar\*

Student, M.E. Construction Management, PVPIT, Bavdhan, Pune-411021, Maharashtra, India

**Abstract** – Life cycle cost investigation may be a apparatus utilized to assess the entire fetched of a framework over its whole life span. Life cycle taken a toll investigation (LCCA) as connected to gracious designing, in some cases moreover referred to as esteem designing or life cycle costing, includes accounting for all costs related to development, operation, maintenance, and transfer at the conclusion of the useful life of a structure. Choices taken during the introductory arranging, plan and up-grade, can significantly impact the entire Cost of Possession of a framework. Most of the life cycle costing models and applications are far from ideal. This research will highlight the difficulty of conducting a reliable life cycle cost analysis and point out typical problems that should be carefully considered before drawing conclusions from the life cycle costing analysis in Indian context.

**Key Words** – Life Cycle Cost Analysis, Engineering Economy, Life Cycle, Project Cost, LCCA Cost Analysis

-----X-----

## INTRODUCTION

LCCA may be a cost assessment device which is regularly utilized at the introductory stage arranging in development, and which analyzes all the costs related with the venture. Whereas planning a venture, a number of choices are to begin with proposed. These choices may have different starting costs, support costs, etc. Considering a particular elective, LCCA makes a difference in deciding the full taken a toll of the extend for a specific life span. It incorporates economical examination of different options that considers all of the significant costs of possession over the valuable life. At long last, the extend elective with the leading financial achievability is chosen.

The various costs considered in LCCA include:

- Initial costs
- Financing costs
- Maintenance and repair costs

The precision of expectation of costs is exceptionally critical in LCCA, any mistake in estimation of these costs can radically alter the final result.

## OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To appraise the in general costs of extend choices.

- To investigate the extent to which LCC estimation is utilized within the construction industry today.
- To recognize different costs included in Life Cycle Taken a cost Examination.
- To analyze the LCCA of a G+12 Private Building (Beneath Development) by Net Display Esteem strategy.
- To examine the guaranteed office that will give the most reduced generally fetched of possession reliable with its quality and function.
- Minimize the whole taken a toll of possession of the Utility's foundation to its clients and giving a craved level of supported execution.
- To know the concept of Life Cycle Taken a toll Examination, its significance, employments and preferences to the development organizations in case it is actualized effectively in choice making

## THEOROTICAL FORMWORK

### INTRODUCTION

Advancements of lifetime quality and cost viability of buildings is thus of common intrigued for the proprietor, the client and society. Life cycle fetched

(LCC) for buildings is hence an critical device for including the development client way better in early arrange plan choices. In any case, notwithstanding of its significance, life cycle costing has found constrained application so distant. An office building will devour approximately three times its beginning capital taken a toll over a 25 year period, but still distant more consideration is paid to the starting capital taken a toll. It ought to be considered that higher generation costs can diminish the overall LCC for a building.

**Application areas of LCCA**

1. Long-range arranging and budgeting.
2. Controlling an continuous extend.
3. Comparing competing ventures Life Cycle Costing Essentials.
4. Choosing the substitution of maturing gear.
5. Development segment.
6. Machineries.
7. Instruments.
8. Natural, inorganic consumable and non-consumable items.
9. Comparing co-ordinations concepts.
10. Selecting among competing bidders for a project.

**Various costs involved in Life Cycle Cost Analysis**

- Net present value (NPV) is the contrast between the display esteem of cash inflows and cash surges over a period of time.
- Future value (FV) is the esteem of a current resource at a future date based on an accepted rate of growth
- Analysis Period or Study Period - The interim of time over which is LCCA is performed
- Discount Rate-The rate of return that the speculators anticipate or the taken a toll of borrowings.

**LIFE CYCLE COSTING IN PERSPECTIVE**

There are diverse terms utilized within the writing nowadays like, “cost in use”, “life cycle costs” (LCC), “whole life costing” (WLC) and “whole life appraisal” (WLA). Where (Flanagan and Jewell, 2005) characterized that the phrasing has changed over the a long time from “cost in use” to “life cycle

costing” and encourage to “whole life costing”. They characterized the unused term “whole life appraisal” which is universally utilized nowadays and which contains thought of the fetched benefits and execution of the office/ resource over its lifetime.

- Evaluation of LCC strategies

The writing appears a wide variety of financial assessment strategies for LCC investigation. They all have their preferences and drawbacks. The strategies have been shaped for distinctive purposes and the client ought to be mindful of their impediments. The 3 checked on writing is organized in table 1. The table outlines the six primary financial assessment strategies for LCC, their focal points and impediments and for what purposes they can be used.

- Main sources of data

There are three main sources for data for LCC purposes.

- 1) From the manufacturers, suppliers, contractors and testing specialists;
- 2) Historical data
- 3) Data from modelling techniques.

Data from manufacturers, suppliers, contractors and testing specialists can often be seen as a best guess. They may have a detailed knowledge of the performance and characteristics of their material and components, but do not have knowledge of the ways in which facilities are used. However, extensive knowledge and experience of specialist manufacturers and suppliers are a valuable source for life cycle information.

**PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Venture decisions relating to residential buildings have based on initial construction cost, with small or no consideration for costs relating to operation and maintenance throughout the life of the building. Construction industry is focusing only on aesthetic plan of buildings and its functional goal to fulfill the clients’ expectation. Also the clients are looking only at its initial construction cost. Instead of looking at its structural cost alone, owners have to broaden their perspective to include whole cost of a structure over its expected life along with operational and maintenance cost to reduce overall cost of the project over its whole lifespan.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Life cycle cost of residential building was carried out utilizing Net Present Value (NPV) method. Salvage value is taken 10% of the Total initial construction

cost. Discount rate is calculated utilizing intrigued rate and inflation rate. Intrigued rate is taken from State Bank of India site and Inflation rate is taken from government of India's service of statistics and programme implementation central statistics office. The long run operation, maintenance, non annually recurring and replacement costs are assumed to increase by 10% each year. Net present value of operation and maintenance cost is calculated by adding all present values.

### **Cost Estimation Models**

In this segment, an impression of commonly utilized life cycle costing models will be secured. Inadequacies will be pointed out; in the event that conceivable, the demonstrate will be adjusted with respect to these particular ranges of intrigued. Speculations with respect to these models are basically depicted in common. The models have ended up increasingly expound and their complexity has expanded over the a long time. Life cycle costing models can be broadly classified into the taking after categories:-

- Bookkeeping models (models that entirety life cycle fetched components).
- Fetched assessing relationship (CER) models (models utilized to examine plan options).
- Heuristic models (sick organized expository demonstrate).
- Disappointment free guarantee models (models utilized to examine guarantee periods)
- Unwavering quality models (utilized for unwavering quality and viability)
- Financial investigation models (models managing with common fetched effectiveness)

### **Life of an Asset/Equipment in Life Cycle Cost Model**

The prediction of an asset is a major affecton life cycle analysis. There are five possible determinants of anasset's life expectancy :-

- Functional life - the period over which the desideratum for the asset is anticipated.
- Physical life - the period over which the asset may be expected to last physically, to whensuper session or major rehabilitation is physically required.

- Technological life - the period until technical obsolescence dictates super session due to thedevelopment of a technologically superior alternative.
- Economic life - the period until economic obsolescence dictates super session with a lowercost alternative.

### **Uncertainty and Sensitivity**

The objective for skepticism evaluations radiates from the reality that input information for a lifecycle fetched examination are predicated on gauges instead of kened amounts. The information input is, consequently, questionable. Irregularity subsists in all circumstances when things are obscure, fickle, open-ended or involute. Life cycle costing is exceedingly subordinate on the stores and gauges made while collecting information. It is conceivable to ameliorate the quality of these gauges with the help of verifiable data and factual strategies, there's continuously an component of skepticism related with these gauges and postulations

### **Proposed Framework for Life Cycle Costing Model**

The Demonstrate characteristics ought to be inspected. Framework life span, execution and taken a toll are the three characteristics that are acclimated to assess the impact of life cycle costing on the framework. The whole taken a toll of any framework is subordinate on the costs brought about to design, make and keeps up the framework. A fundamental objective of life-cycle costing is to oversee and minimize the long term framework support costs. Life Cycle Taken a toll Increment in useable life & execution Life cycle costing not hopeful Life cycle taken a toll no change but a few fetched go up/down No change in useable life & execution More inquire about to ascertain why changes transpiring Life cycle fetched goes down Increment in Performance parameters Affluent Life cycle costing calculation and Demonstrate affirmed As specified inthe table over, a life-cycle costing rule isn't as it were concerned with costs but withal cognate performance and the life span of the framework. Life cycle costing is driven by reliability, maintainability and back ability.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

The LCCA Analysis for NPV method for the residential building in Pune. The analysis shows the values for initial cost, replacement cost, operation and maintenance cost, non annually recurring costs and the salvage value for 80 years life span of the building at 3.24% discount rate. Total LCC is obtained by adding all these costs together except for the salvage value which is being subtracted

## METHODOLOGY

The literature survey started with the focus on life cycle cost analysis and required data for an LCCA. The key words have been life cycle cost (LCC) and life cycle costing. The field of life cycle cost is wide and to be able to keep focus on the construction sector all words have been combined with construction or building. This has narrowed the field. Whereas reading the primary literature it came clear that often terms like whole life cost (WLC) and whole life costing been utilized within the literature, indeed whole life appraisal (WLA). These words been added to the list of key words.

## LIMITATIONS

Research discoveries are dependent upon a valid cull of research methodology, the reliability of the data amassed, and the applicability of the statistical implements used-

- The sample is too humble for quantitative analysis utilizing statistical implements.
- The sample was obtained through accomodation sampling approach which has no controls to precision.
- The authors had no control to ascertain the most oportune person was assigned by each company to be met, they had done their best
- The disposition of the respondents to reveal impotencies in their respective organization was skeptical.
- Due to work commitment, some respondents could not plenarily concentrate on amid the interview sessions, this caused the planning in depth meet could not be plenarily achieved.

## CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Costs included in LCCA are beginning development fetched, operation and upkeep fetched, substitution costs, non-yearly repeating costs.
2. This is worthwhile if the project is big enough. When historical data are collected and updated over time, their use can become more reliable and the LCC analysis more trustworthy.
3. The lifetime quality and the cost effectiveness of buildings would improve by using LCC in the early stage design.

## REFERENCES

1. **\*Ravinder Singh & \$Rajesh Matai**, LIFE CYCLE COSTING IN THE INDIAN CONTEXT, \*Col Ravinder Singh, Dte Gen of EME, 88, B Block, IHQ of MoD(Army), New Delhi -11, India-2005
2. **Douglas D. Gransberg**, MAJOR EQUIPMENT LIFE-CYCLE COST ANALYSIS, Principal Investigator Institute for Transportation Iowa State University-April-2015
3. **Diego Galar, Peter Sandborn, and Uday Kumar**, Maintenance Costs and Life Cycle Cost Analysis, © 2017 by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC
4. **Wesam Salah Alaloul<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Altaf<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Ali Musarat<sup>1,\*</sup>, Muhammad Faisal Javed<sup>2</sup> and Amir Mosavi<sup>3,4,5,\*</sup>**, Systematic Review of Life Cycle Assessment and Life Cycle Cost Analysis for Pavement and a Case Study, Sustainability 2021, 13, 4377. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084377>
5. **Gui Wen LIU<sup>1</sup>, Qiang LUO<sup>1</sup>, Qi Ping SHEN<sup>2</sup>, Min WU<sup>3</sup>**, THE NEED FOR VALUE MANAGEMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS OF CONSTRUCITON PROJECTS IN CHINA: A SYSTEMATIC PERSPECTIVE, The CRIOCM 2006 International Symposium
6. **Dhruv J. Desai<sup>1</sup>, Tejas H. Jain<sup>2</sup>, Atul A. Dwivedi<sup>3</sup>, Anjum D. Attar<sup>4</sup>**, ENGINEERING ECONOMICS AND LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS, Volume: 05 Issue: 03 | Mar-2016
7. **Stanford University**, GUIDELINES FOR LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS, Stanford University Land and Buildings October 2005
8. **Nikhil Dilip Jadhav**, IMPORTANCE OF LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS IN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY-REVIEW,|| Volume 2 || Issue 11 || 2017 ||
9. **Rahman, S.; Vanier, D. J.**, Life cycle cost analysis as a decision support tool for managing municipal infrastructure, May 2-9, 2004, pp. 1-12
10. **Jutta Schade<sup>1</sup>**, LIFE CYCLE COST CALCULATION MODELS FOR BUILDINGS, 2005

**Corresponding Author**

**Shubham Sutar\***

Student, M.E. Construction Management, PVPIT,  
Bavdhan, Pune-411021, Maharashtra, India