

Literature review on teachers' role in students' leaning behaviour

Rajesh Kumar^{1*}, Dr. Anant Jha²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Education, Capital University, Koderma, Jharkhand

² Professor, Department of Education, Capital University, Koderma, Jharkhand

Abstract - Historically, empirical studies of the education production function have looked at how teachers and the personal traits they bring to the classroom affect their students' results on standardised tests. But a large body of data indicates that learning is multidimensional, with many aspects other than a student's basic academic knowledge as major contributors to both short-term and long-term success. Despite the fact that fundamental academic knowledge has a role in developing student's learning. Thus, this paper aims to analyse the existing literature related to student's development and teachers' role in their wholistic development

Keywords - Students' development, learning behaviour, and teachers' role

-----X-----

INTRODUCTION

Historically, empirical studies of the education production function have looked at how teachers and the personal traits they bring to the classroom affect their students' results on standardised tests. But a large body of data indicates that learning is multidimensional, with many aspects other than a student's basic academic knowledge as major contributors to both short-term and long-term success. Despite the fact that fundamental academic knowledge has a role, this is the case. 1 Psychologists, for instance, have shown that a person's emotions and personality features affect one's thought quality and one's ability to learn. Studies that follow their participants over time have revealed that early assessments of self-control, emotional stability, persistence, and drive are highly predictive of health and labour market results in adulthood. In fact, studies have shown that some mindsets and actions are more predictive of future success than standardised exam results.

In accordance with these findings, thinking that has been developed over many decades describes education as having several aspects. High-quality educators are not just expected to help their students do better on standardised exams, but also to manage classroom behaviours, provide correct material, encourage critical thinking, and contribute to their students' social and emotional growth. With the goal of testing this theory using real-world data, two separate schools of thought in the field of research have emerged in recent years. First, there is the history of relying on first-hand classroom observation to identify various pedagogical techniques. Many of these

spheres, such as teachers' connections with students, classroom organisation, and a concentration on critical thinking within particular curricular areas, aim to help students develop beyond their core academic skills. In the second stream of research, scholars have tried to pin down just how much classroom teachers may affect their students' performance. This area of study is sometimes referred to as "teacher impacts." Results from standardised exams aside, these studies show that teachers' individual abilities to shape their pupils' social and emotional growth and a variety of student behaviours vary widely from one another. Furthermore, test results alone are not adequate to establish a teacher's overall performance in the classroom due to the weak to moderate correlations between the influences of instructors on different student outcomes.

Since their inceptions, these two lines of inquiry have developed independently, making our work groundbreaking in that it is one of the first to combine them. Working at the nexus of these schools of thought, we want to do two things: (1) shed light on the mysterious phenomenon of teacher impacts and (2) protect our study's internal validity as much as possible. As a means toward this end, we will examine whether or not certain pedagogical strategies really do help anticipate students' beliefs and actions. The teaching impacts are the connections between good instruction and improved student performance. More specifically, we ask the three research questions below.

How much do teachers influence their students' behaviour and outlook when they're in class?

Is there a correlation between how a teacher conducts class and how much of an impact it has on students' behaviour and outlook?

Why do teachers who are able to raise their pupils' test scores also have a track record of success in getting their students to change their behaviour and outlook in the classroom?

The National Center for Teacher Effectiveness gathered a big dataset from upper-elementary classes, and we were able to use that information to answer our study objectives. Students' mathematical performance on high- and low-stakes tests, as well as a student survey that captured their attitudes and behaviours in class, were all included in this dataset along with links between teachers and their students and observations of teaching practise scored on two established instruments. We used this poll to develop three major outcomes: students' perceived self-efficacy in mathematics, students' pleasure of class, and students' behaviour in class. All three of these indicators are important outcomes, therefore researchers, policymakers, and parents are all interested in them. They also coincide with theories that attribute students' success to more than just their teachers, giving us a chance to put such theories to the test. We find that teachers at the higher levels of the primary school system have a significant impact on their pupils' beliefs and behaviours, in addition to the children's arithmetic skills. We estimate that the extent of the difference in teachers' impacts on students' arithmetic performance on standardised exams is about similar to the amount of variation in teachers' effects on students' math self-efficacy and behaviour in class. Educators have a wider range of tools at their disposal to influence their students' emotional states in the classroom. These results are in line with theory and provide strong face and construct validity to the measures, and they may be predicted using the instructional approaches that are most closely aligned with them. More specifically, students' feelings of arithmetic self-efficacy and classroom happiness are linked to the teachers' ability to give emotional support.

OBJECTIVE OF THE RESEARCH STUDY

- To understand the reasons of instructors who are successful at improving students' test scores also tend to be successful at encouraging students to adopt good attitudes and behaviour in the classroom
- To understand the general behaviour of teachers and students for education and learning.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Vygotsky (1978) held the belief that higher mental functionings are socially produced and are passed down via cultures. Language exchanges between a

person who is knowledgeable (the teacher) and a person who is still learning are essential for the development of cognitive abilities (student). According to Vygotsky's theory, the instructional message makes its way progressively from the conversation between the instructor and the student to the student's inner speech, where it serves to organise the student's thinking and eventually becomes an internal mental function. The idea that a skilled teacher may mould the thought process of a student via the use of intentional contact is based on Vygotsky's theory of mediated development. According to Vygotsky, "learning awakens a variety of internal development processes that are only able to operate when a child is interacting with people in his environment and cooperating with his peers." This is something that can only happen when a child is exposed to other people and is able to work together with others. He believed that the development in idea creation that a kid made via contact with an adult was a far more feasible approach to identify the capacities of learners than standardised examinations, which he considered to be an unsatisfactory evaluation of a child's learning aptitude. This form of contact between kid and adult was necessary, according to his hypothesis of the zone of proximal development, for the youngster to come to terms with and grasp the logic of adult thinking in order to acquire new ideas. Vygotsky defines the word "the difference between the current developmental level and the level of prospective growth as established via problem solving under adult direction" when referring to the zone of proximal development. Jackson's key research, which was conducted in 1968, focused on the dynamics of classroom life and came to the conclusion that "there is a social closeness in schools that is unequalled elsewhere in our culture." According to Jackson, it is the responsibility of the instructor to direct the flow of conversation inside the classroom. According to what he has said, "teachers may participate in as many as one thousand interpersonal contacts every day" in primary school classes. Given this circumstance, the investigation of those interactions between individuals has the potential to provide significant new knowledge on the education gained as a consequence of such encounters. Perspectives on the Relationships Between Teachers and Students In the field of study that has been conducted over the last several decades in the domain of interactions between instructors and students, a wide variety of views have been investigated; nonetheless, they all adhere to a set of fundamental principles. In the following sections of this literature review, you will find an overview of a selection of those perspectives in relation to the impact that teacher-student interactions have on the learning environment, including findings and implications, organised according to the types of researchers who have studied the topic. Educators Examine the question, "What does a healthy connection between a teacher and a student look like in the classroom?"

Downey (2008) did a study that analysed and compiled previous educational studies on the elements that influence scholastic achievement. The purpose of the research was to investigate teaching strategies that were beneficial not just for all of the students in the class but, in particular, for the students who were most likely to struggle academically. It was shown that the amount of personal engagement a teacher had with his or her pupils was directly related to the amount of difference that occurred. The findings of Downey's investigation led to the formulation of many suggestions, one of which said that "students need instructors to create strong interpersonal ties with them, emphasising on strengths of the students while keeping high and reasonable expectations for success." These kinds of interactive interactions need to be founded on respect, trustworthiness, concern, and coherence. A solid connection between a teacher and their students is one of the most essential factors that contributes to a student's academic achievement, and one of the crucial byproducts of this relationship is a feeling of belonging. Downey summarises the findings of the research by stating that it "acted as a forceful reminder that daily teacher-student interactions in the classroom count."

According to **Ravitch (2010)** states, "the purpose of education is not to get greater test scores but rather to teach students to become responsible adults with well-developed brains and excellent character." She claims that "accountability in its current form is not beneficial to our schools because the metrics it uses are too specific and imprecise, and the repercussions it imposes are too harsh." The No Child Left Behind Act operates on the presumption that American education can be improved by imposing accountability based simply on test results. This is a serious error. It is possible that a focus on test results at the expense of other essential aspects of education would have the unintended consequence of diminishing students' zeal for school and their thirst for information (Ravitch, 2010). The existing method for evaluating teachers does not place sufficient weight on the role of the emotional domain in assessing successful instructors and effective teaching techniques. This is one of the components that needs to be improved. The extent to which students learn, as evaluated by standardised test results, is almost universally acknowledged to be the single most important factor in determining whether or not a school or a teacher is deemed to be highly successful.

According to the research that was conducted in **1997 by Langer**, "if the source of information is someone who we respect, we are more likely to be persuaded and remember the knowledge than if we see the source as untrustworthy." The first phase of information collection is heavily dependent on the information's source. When we learn knowledge consciously, we are able to have an open mind about the ways in which that information could be different depending on the context. Teachers foster critical thinkers and people who continue to educate

themselves throughout their lives when they develop strong ties with their pupils. Although, as time passes, it is possible that the origin of the knowledge may be forgotten, the information that was received is kept (Langer, 1997).

According to **Cazden (2001)**, "children's intellectual functioning, at school as well as at home, is closely tied to the social interactions in which it develops enmeshed." This is true both in the classroom and at home. Being familiar with something makes it easier to respond, and responsiveness is an essential component of learning. Cazden is a firm believer that it is critical to design educational settings that prioritise the development of meaningful interpersonal connections between teachers and the pupils they teach. The creation of a learning environment in which the participation of all relevant stakeholders is encouraged will have a beneficial effect on the learning that ultimately takes place. According to what Cazden has said, "What Counts are Relationships Between the Teacher and Each Student, as an Individual," and this applies to both the whole-class teachings and the individual seat work assignments. Now, each individual student becomes an important component of the recognised educational setting. The question "What influence does an individual teacher have on a student other than what the school does?" is one that

Marzano (2003) suggests is a useful question for anyone who wishes to understand the factors that improve student achievement. This question is suggested as a useful question for anyone who wishes to understand the factors that improve student achievement. According to him, all academics agree that the influence of choices made by a single teacher has a far bigger impact than the impact of decisions made at the school level. According to what Marzano has to say about the subject, "the basis of excellent teacher-student interactions is a healthy balance between dominance and collaboration." According to Marzano, demonstrating an interest in kids on a personal level has a beneficial effect on the education they get.

According to **McCombs and Whisler (1997)**, it is essential for the instructor to have a personal interest in the pupils in order for them to be successful in their education. There is a consensus among experts that the relationship that develops between a teacher and a student has a substantial bearing on the amount that the student learns in the classroom.

Sarason (1999) examines the act of teaching as if it were a performance art and talks about the "art of teaching" as well as the part that teacher interaction plays in creating a setting that is conducive to "productive learning." It is his contention that, in the decades following World War II, the education system has placed a greater emphasis on subject matter in the process of training teachers, to the

detriment of pedagogy. He defines pedagogy as "the obligation of the teacher to know who the learner is and make the subject matter interesting, motivating, and compelling for their students." He poses the question, "Aren't there any qualities of a good teacher that may be detected in the way the teacher interacts with children?" Someone who is competent of understanding children, inspiring them, and directing both their academic and their social-personal growth would be an ideal candidate for this position. According to Sarason, "If you do not know the minds and hearts of learners, you sabotage effective learning," and he believes that this is the place at which all learning should begin. According to Sarason, there are three broad characteristics that contribute to fruitful learning. The first of these characteristics is recognising and valuing the uniqueness of the learner. The second need is that the instructor has adequate knowledge of the material to be able to detect when the student may be having difficulties and to be able to intervene in order to avoid the learner from having the issue from occurring. The third principle is that the instructor should always be seeking for new methods to engage and excite the student in order to make it so that the learner wants to learn. Teachers are able to achieve what Sarason considers to be the primary objective of education, which is to drive learners to experience personal and cognitive development. This may be accomplished through creating connections with pupils. According to Jaiswal et.al (2022) , the lack of a system in the field of education that evaluates how teachers interact with children is a major problem, one that will continue to short change future generations of students and teachers. Sarason is of the opinion that this problem will continue to short change future generations of students and teachers. The connection that teachers build with their students should be one that is based on trust, respect, and an awareness of the students' roles as learners. It is something he thinks to be a crucial component of both teaching and learning, and he requests of instructors that they be "both skilled performers and smart psychologists."

Eccles and Wigfield (2002) conducted research on the ideas and values that motivate students to study and act as a catalyst for that learning. They believe that the study of action, and more specifically accomplishment motivation, is what constitutes the field of motivation. They postulate that individuals have expectations about achievement in addition to values and motivations for participating in a certain activity. When people are motivated to complete activities – particularly tough tasks – they have connected attitudes that include an expectation for success and a feeling of control over outcomes. Some individuals have a high feeling of self-efficacy, whereas others have a much less sense of it. "not understanding the source of one's triumphs and failures diminishes one's drive to work on linked tasks," as observed by Eccles and Wigfield. They came to the conclusion that the key to success was having a strong feeling of control over one's results as well as having confidence in those outcomes. Eccles and Wigfield make reference to a research that was conducted in 1998 by Skinner,

Zimmer-Gembeck, and Connell. In this study, the authors tracked the evolution of students' ideas over the course of many years of schooling. They contrasted the children's perceptions of how much control they had with the children's impressions of how the instructors treated them. His research led him to the conclusion that "children who felt instructors were warm and helpful acquired a more positive feeling of their own control over outcomes."

METHODOLOGY

In this research we will go through the various literatures and articles which will be exploring theories. From the literature review we will develop a sense of emergence of political parties and their role in nation building. Secondary sources of information are used to get the idea of the political science and its theories like Journals, books and reports of various department which used educational institution to formulate the policies.

DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF LITERATURE

Language exchanges between a person who is knowledgeable (the teacher) and a person who is still learning are essential for the development of cognitive abilities (student). According to Vygotsky's theory, the instructional message makes its way progressively from the conversation between the instructor and the student to the student's inner speech, where it serves to organise the student's thinking and eventually becomes an internal mental function. The idea that a skilled teacher may mould the thought process of a student via the use of intentional contact is based on Vygotsky's theory of mediated development. According to Vygotsky, "learning awakens a variety of internal development processes that are only able to operate when a child is interacting with people in his environment and cooperating with his peers." This is something that can only happen when a child is exposed to other people and is able to work together with others. He believed that the development in idea creation that a kid made via contact with an adult was a far more feasible approach to identify the capacities of learners than standardised examinations, which he considered to be an unsatisfactory evaluation of a child's learning aptitude. This form of contact between kid and adult was necessary, according to his hypothesis of the zone of proximal development, for the youngster to come to terms with and grasp the logic of adult thinking in order to acquire new ideas. Vygotsky defines the word "the difference between the current developmental level and the level of prospective growth as established via problem solving under adult direction" when referring to the zone of proximal development. Jackson's key research, which was conducted in 1968, focused on the dynamics of classroom life and came to the conclusion that "there is a social closeness in schools that is unequalled elsewhere in our culture." According to Jackson, it is the responsibility of the instructor to direct the flow of conversation inside the

classroom. According to what he has said, "teachers may participate in as many as one thousand interpersonal contacts every day" in primary school classes. Given this circumstance, the investigation of those interactions between individuals has the potential to provide significant new knowledge on the education gained as a consequence of such encounters. Perspectives on the Relationships Between Teachers and Students In the field of study that has been conducted over the last several decades in the domain of interactions between instructors and students, a wide variety of views have been investigated; nonetheless, they all adhere to a set of fundamental principles. In the following sections of this literature review, you will find an overview of a selection of those perspectives in relation to the impact that teacher-student interactions have on the learning environment, including findings and implications, organised according to the types of researchers who have studied the topic. Educators Examine the question, "What does a healthy connection between a teacher and a student look like in the classroom?"

It was shown that the amount of personal engagement a teacher had with his or her pupils was directly related to the amount of difference that occurred. The findings of Downey's investigation led to the formulation of many suggestions, one of which said that "students need instructors to create strong interpersonal ties with them, emphasising on strengths of the students while keeping high and reasonable expectations for success." These kinds of interactive interactions need to be founded on respect, trustworthiness, concern, and coherence. A solid connection between a teacher and their students is one of the most essential factors that contributes to a student's academic achievement, and one of the crucial byproducts of this relationship is a feeling of belonging. Downey summarises the findings of the research by stating that it "acted as a forceful reminder that daily teacher-student interactions in the classroom count."

The existing method for evaluating teachers does not place sufficient weight on the role of the emotional domain in assessing successful instructors and effective teaching techniques. This is one of the components that needs to be improved. The extent to which students learn, as evaluated by standardised test results, is almost universally acknowledged to be the single most important factor in determining whether or not a school or a teacher is deemed to be highly successful. The first phase of information collection is heavily dependent on the information's source. When we learn knowledge consciously, we are able to have an open mind about the ways in which that information could be different depending on the context. Teachers foster critical thinkers and people who continue to educate themselves throughout their lives when they develop strong ties with their pupils. Although, as time passes, it is possible that the origin of the knowledge may be forgotten, the information that was received is kept (Langer, 1997).

This is true both in the classroom and at home. Being familiar with something makes it easier to respond, and responsiveness is an essential component of learning. Cazden is a firm believer that it is critical to design educational settings that prioritise the development of meaningful interpersonal connections between teachers and the pupils they teach. The creation of a learning environment in which the participation of all relevant stakeholders is encouraged will have a beneficial effect on the learning that ultimately takes place. According to what Cazden has said, "What Counts are Relationships Between the Teacher and Each Student, as an Individual," and this applies to both the whole-class teachings and the individual seat work assignments. Now, each individual student becomes an important component of the recognised educational setting. The question "What influence does an individual teacher have on a student other than what the school does?" is one that

According to him, all academics agree that the influence of choices made by a single teacher has a far bigger impact than the impact of decisions made at the school level. According to what Marzano has to say about the subject, "the basis of excellent teacher-student interactions is a healthy balance between dominance and collaboration." According to Marzano, demonstrating an interest in kids on a personal level has a beneficial effect on the education they get.

Researchers examined the act of teaching as if it were a performance art and talks about the "art of teaching" as well as the part that teacher interaction plays in creating a setting that is conducive to "productive learning." It is his contention that, in the decades following World War II, the education system has placed a greater emphasis on subject matter in the process of training teachers, to the detriment of pedagogy. He defines pedagogy as "the obligation of the teacher to know who the learner is and make the subject matter interesting, motivating, and compelling for their students." He poses the question, "Aren't there any qualities of a good teacher that may be detected in the way the teacher interacts with children?" Someone who is competent of understanding children, inspiring them, and directing both their academic and their social-personal growth would be an ideal candidate for this position. According to Sarason, "If you do not know the minds and hearts of learners, you sabotage effective learning," and he believes that this is the place at which all learning should begin. According to Sarason, there are three broad characteristics that contribute to fruitful learning. The first of these characteristics is recognising and valuing the uniqueness of the learner. The second need is that the instructor has adequate knowledge of the material to be able to detect when the student may be having difficulties and to be able to intervene in order to avoid the learner from having the issue from occurring. The third principle is that the instructor

should always be seeking for new methods to engage and excite the student in order to make it so that the learner wants to learn. Teachers are able to achieve what Sarason considers to be the primary objective of education, which is to drive learners to experience personal and cognitive development. This may be accomplished through creating connections with pupils. According to Sarason, the lack of a system in the field of education that evaluates how teachers interact with children is a major problem, one that will continue to short change future generations of students and teachers. Sarason is of the opinion that this problem will continue to short change future generations of students and teachers. The connection that teachers build with their students should be one that is based on trust, respect, and an awareness of the students' roles as learners. It is something he thinks to be a crucial component of both teaching and learning, and he requests of instructors that they be "both skilled performers and smart psychologists." Researchers postulate that individuals have expectations about achievement in addition to values and motivations for participating in a certain activity. When people are motivated to complete activities – particularly tough tasks – they have connected attitudes that include an expectation for success and a feeling of control over outcomes. Some individuals have a high feeling of self-efficacy, whereas others have a much less sense of it. "not understanding the source of one's triumphs and failures diminishes one's drive to work on linked tasks," as observed by Eccles and Wigfield. They came to the conclusion that the key to success was having a strong feeling of control over one's results as well as having confidence in those outcomes. Eccles and Wigfield make reference to a research that was conducted in 1998 by Skinner, Zimmer-Gembeck, and Connell. In this study, the authors tracked the evolution of students' ideas over the course of many years of schooling. They contrasted the children's perceptions of how much control they had with the children's impressions of how the instructors treated them. His research led him to the conclusion that "children who felt instructors were warm and helpful acquired a more positive feeling of their own control over outcomes."

CONCLUSION

The classroom arrangements of teachers may provide pupils hints on how to judge their own performance in class. When instructors make errors in how they convey the subject matter, their students' mathematical self-efficacy, classroom satisfaction, and long-term success suffer. To summarise, we find that instructors' capacity to improve learning outcomes varies greatly. Although there is a 0.64 correlation between teacher impacts on our two math performance assessments, the correlation between teacher effects on students' attitudes and behaviours is just 0.19.

When taken together, these data support the premise that teaching is multifaceted and highlight the need for academics, policymakers, and practitioners to study

approaches for strengthening these abilities. We examine a variety of measures that policymakers and practitioners may take to get started on this in the last portion of this article. Designing and implementing evaluation systems for educators, providing continuing training for educators, discovering and recruiting suitable applicants, and strategically distributing classroom seats are examples of methods in this area.

REFERENCES

- Vygotsky, L.S. (1978). *Mind in Society*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Downey, J.A. (2008). Recommendations for fostering educational resilience in the Classroom. *Preventing School Failure*, 53, 56-63.
- Ravitch, D. (2010). *The death and life of the great American school system: how testing and choice are undermining education*. New York: Basic Books.
- Langer, E.J., (1997). *The power of mindful learning*. Reading, MA: Perseus Books.
- Marzano, R. J. & Marzano, J.S. (2003). *Building Classroom Relationships*. *Educational Leadership*, 61:1, 6-13.
- Marzano, R.J., Marzano, J.S. & Pickering, D. (2003). *Classroom management that works: research-based strategies for every teacher*. Alexandria, VA: Association For Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Cazden, C.B. (2001). *Classroom discourse the language of teaching and learning*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- McCombs, B. L., & Whisler, J. S. (1997). *The Learner-Centered Classroom and School: Strategies for Increasing Student Motivation and Achievement*. The Jossey-Bass Education Series. Jossey-Bass Inc., Publishers, 350 Sansome St., San Francisco, CA 94104.
- Sarason, S. B. (1999). *Teaching as a performing art*. Teachers College Press. NY
- Eccles, J.S., & Wigfield, A. (2002). *Motivational Beliefs, Values, and Goals*. *Annual Review Psychology*, 53:109-32.
- Jaiswal, J., Ghai, D. N., Singh, P., Tyagi, P., & Chauhan, S. S. (2022). *Impact of Education and Traditional Psychology of Farmers to Upgrade Farm Entrepreneurship: An Assessment of Requirement of Training of Technology into the Farm Community*. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 7740-7750.

Corresponding Author

Rajesh Kumar*

Research Scholar, Department of Education, Capital University, Koderma, Jharkhand